DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 414 313 TM 027 789

AUTHOR Fenton, Ray

TITLE Anchorage School District Profile of Performance 1996-97.

Assessment and Evaluation Report #97-3.

INSTITUTION Anchorage School District, AK.

PUB DATE 1997-09-00

NOTE 453p.

AVAILABLE FROM Public Affairs Office of the Anchorage School District, 4600

DeBarr Avenue, Anchorage, AK.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Evaluative

(142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC19 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement; Elementary Secondary Education;

*Ethnicity; Low Income Groups; Minority Groups; Norm Referenced Tests; Parent Attitudes; *Profiles; Racial

Differences; *School Districts; Student Attitudes; *Student Characteristics; Tables (Data); Teacher Attitudes; Teacher

Characteristics; Test Results; Urban Schools

IDENTIFIERS *Anchorage School District AK; California Achievement Tests;

Educational Indicators

ABSTRACT

This report focuses on student achievement across the Anchorage (Alaska) school district and within its individual programs. It does not include information on the social and physical wellness of the district's students. The first section of the report provides an overview of district performance on critical achievement indicators, and the second section examines the districtwide performance of students by their ethnic group membership. A third section explores the satisfaction of students, parents, and staff with Anchorage programs, and the fourth section presents profiles for each school in the district with information or staff and student characteristics as well as achievement. The 4986-97 school year saw more than 47,900 students enrolled at the beginning of the school year. Anchorage is a district characterized by mobility. One in five students entered or left one or more district schools during the school year. Three of 10 elementary school students in the district were eligible for subsidized lunches due to low income. The primary measure of academic achievement in 1996-97 was a norm-referenced test of basic skills, the California Achievement Tests (CAT), which were the required measure for the state's student assessment program. Overall, the performance of Anchorage students in reading, language arts, and mathematics, was above the national average on the CAT, as it has been consistently. There was considerable disparity among ethnic groups on the academic and economic indicators in this report. Minority students had lower test scores on average, and were twice as likely to be from low-income families. Detailed information is given about the performance of racial and ethnic groups and the performance of students in particular programs. (Contains 193 tables.) (SLD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

PROFILE OF PERFORMANCE

1996-97



ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION DEPARTMENT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ray Fenton

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Assessment and Evaluation Report #97-3 September 1997



Acknowledgments

This document could not have been completed without the effort and cooperation of thousands of District staff and students. Information included in the Profile of Performance has been prepared by staff in the Management Information Systems, Demography, Curriculum, and Assessment and Evaluation Departments. Dr. Norman Holthouse, Dr. Fred Stofflet, Dr. Tom Straugh, Dr. Carol Mell and Shanna McPheters provided analysis and tabular information for the report. Mrs. Judy Ware of the Assessment and Evaluation Department developed many of the tables and prepared the final document. The document could not have been prepared without them.

Errors of omission and interpretation are my own.

ay Fentøn, Ph.D.

Assessment and Evaluation



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

PROFILE OF PERFORMANCE

1997-98

SCHOOL BOARD

Debbie Ossiander, President

Harriet A. Drummond

Rita J. Holthouse

Kathi Gillespie

Dave Werdal

Kelly Haney

Peggy Robinson-Wilson

Robert Christal

Superintendent

Prepared September 1997

Assessment and Evaluation Department Ray Fenton, Ph.D., Supervisor Tom Straugh, Ph.D., Coordinator Districtwide Testing Steve Garrison, Ph.D., Research Associate Judy Ware, C.P.S., Assessment Systems Specialist Shanna McPheters, Secretary

Fred Stofflet, Ph.D., Executive Director Curriculum, Assessment, and Support Services



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE OF PERFORMANCE 1996-97

Table of Contents

Question	Page
What is the Profile of Performance?	1
What student outcome measures are reviewed in the profile of the Anchorage School District?	3
What are the demographic characteristics of the student population served by the Anchorage School District in 1996-97?	5
How did Anchorage students do on the norm referenced tests administered in March of 1997?	11
How well did the Anchorage School District meet School Board goals for 1996-97?	21
How well did Anchorage students perform on the <u>Curriculum Referenced Tests</u> administered during the 1996-97 school year?	27
What does the Integrated Language Arts and Social Studies Grade 4 Jamestown Performance Assessment show?	31
How well did Anchorage seniors perform on college entrance examinations in 1996-97?	35
What is the relationship between family economic status and student achievement?	41
What is the relationship between student achievement and the length of time a student has been enrolled in the Anchorage School District?	47
What is the relation between transience during the school year and achievement?	53
How do grades and credits relate to secondary school performance in 1996-97?	59
What was the level of attendance for District students in 1996-97?	63
How many students dropped out of the Anchorage School District last year?	65
Do the performance patterns of the various ethnic-racial groups which make up the Anchorage student population differ from overall District performance?	71
What is the level of satisfaction among students, staff and parents with the programs of the Anchorage School District?	97
What is the budgeting process?	115
How do the performances of individual schools vary on the indicators used in the profile?	117



Table of Tables

Table Number	Title Pa	age
1	Beginning of Year (September 30) Membership 1992-93 through 1994-95 Beginning of Year (October 2) Membership 1995-96 Beginning of Year (October 21) Membership 1996-97	6
2	Stability Rates of Students in One School September 30 through End-of-Year	7
3	Continuity Rates of Students Beginning in Same School as Previous Year	7
4	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information	8
5	Special Need Population, 1992-93 to 1996-97 Active Membership at End of School Year	9
6	Five-Year Special Programs Population Active Membership at End of School Year	.10
7	Percentile Rank Scores and Number of Students Tested CAT	. 13
8	Breakdown of CAT Reading Scores by Sub-test Area Spring 1997	. 14
9	Breakdown of CAT Language Arts Scores by Sub-test Area Spring 1997	15
10	Breakdown of CAT Mathematics Scores by Sub-test Area Spring 1997	16
11	Quartile Distribution of Students' Individual Performances CAT Spring 1997	17
12	Five-Year History Percentile Rank Scores ITBS/TAP Reading Comprehension Spring 1993 through Spring 1995 and CAT Total Reading Spring 1996 and 1997 Grades 3 through 11	18
13	Five-Year History Percentile Rank Scores ITBS/TAP Total Language Arts Spring 1993 through Spring 1995 and CAT Total Language Arts Spring 1996 and 1997 Grades 3 through 11	18
14	Five-Year History Percentile Rank Scores ITBS Total Mathematics and TAP Mathematics Spring 1993 through Spring 1995 and CAT Total Mathematics Spring 1996 and 1997 - Grades 3 through 11	. 19
15	Four-Year History Percentile Rank Scores Observed Performance for Sample on Science Spring 1994 and 1995 CAT Science Spring 1996 and 1997 Grades 3 through 11	. 19
16	Four-Year History Percentile Rank Scores Observed Performance for Sample on Social Studies Spring 1994 and 1995 and CAT Social Studies Spring 1996 and 1997 Grades 3 through 11	20
17	Two-Year History Percentile Rank Scores CAT Spelling Spring 1996 and 1997 Grades 3 through 11	20
18	Two-Year History Percentile Rank Scores CAT Word Analysis Spring 1996 and 1997 Grade 3 CAT Study Skills Spring 1996 and 1997 Grades 4 through 11	20
19	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Reading Mean NCE by Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97	22
20	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Language Arts Mean NCE by Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97	22



6

Table of Tables

Table <u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	Page
		_, <u>ugo</u>
21	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Mathematics Mean NCE by Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97	· 22
22	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Reading Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97	23
23	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Language Arts Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97	23
24	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Mathematics Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97	23
25	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Spelling Mean NCE by Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97	24
26	Comparison of Percentile Rank of Spelling Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97	24
27	CRT Performance by Grade Level Spring 1997	29
28	Percentage Distribution of Writing Scores by Grade Level CRT Testing Spring 1997	29
29	Integrated Performance Assessment Language Arts and Social Studies	33
30	Average (Mean) SAT Scores by Geographic Region1996-97 Seniors	37
31	Average (Mean) ACT Scores by Geographic Region 1996-97 Seniors	37
32	Anchorage Performances on the Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) 1992-93 through 1994-95 Estimated Scores 1995 Recentered Scale* 1995-96 and 1996-97 Recentered Scores	38
33	Average (Mean) American College Testing (ACT) Scores by Sub-test Area Anchorage seniors - 1992-93 through 1996-97	
34	Average ACT Scores by Level of Academic Preparation Anchorage - Nation 1996-97	39
35	CAT Total Reading Scores by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997	42
36	CAT Total Language Arts Scores by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997	42
37	CAT Total Mathematics Scores by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997	43
38	CAT Total Battery Scores by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997	43
39	Free and Reduced Price Lunch in Anchorage Elementary Schools End-of-Year 1997	44
40	Free and Reduced Price Lunch in Anchorage Middle Level Schools End-of-Year 1997	45
41	Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Reading Spring 1997	48
42	Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Language Arts Spring 1997	49



Table of Tables (Continued)

Table <u>Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	Page
43	Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Mathematics Spring 1997	50
44	Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Battery Spring 1997	51
45	Relationship of Total Reading Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997	54
46	Relationship of Total Language Arts Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997	55
47	Relationship of Total Mathematics Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997	56
48	Relationship of Total Battery Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997	57
49	Middle School Student Course and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	61
50	Distribution of Attempted Courses and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 7 & 8 Spring 1997	61
51	High School Student Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	62
52	Distribution of Attempted Credits and Eamed Marks by Area Combined Grades 9-12 Spring 1997	62
53	Five-Year History of District Wide Attendance 1992-93 through 1996-97	63
54	School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97	67
55	Four-Year Transfer Pattern of Students in Grade 9 at Beginning of 1993-94 School Year Ethnic Group Patterns	68
56	Four-Year Transfer Pattern of Students in Grade 9 at Beginning of 1993-94 School Year Grade Level Patterns	69
57	Four-Year Transfer Pattem of Students in Grade 9 Beginning of 1988-89 through the 1992-93 School Years	70
58	American Native Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997	72
59	American Native Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997	72
60	American Native Total Mathematics CRT Results Spring 1997	73
61	American Native Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997	73
62	American Native Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS and TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 and CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97	74
63	American Native Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997	



8

Table Number	Title	Page
64	American Native Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	75
65	American Native Distribution of Attempted Credits and Eamed Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 -12 Spring 1997	76
66	American Native School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97	76
67	Asian/Pacific Islander Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997	77
68	Asian/Pacific Islander Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997	77
69	Asian/Pacific Islander Total Mathematics CRT Results Spring 1997	78
70	Asian/Pacific Islander Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997	78
71	Asian/Pacific Islander Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS and TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 and CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97	79
72	Asian/Pacific Islander Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997	80
73	Asian/Pacific Islander Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	80
74	Asian/Pacific Islander Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 -12 Spring 1997	81
75	Asian/Pacific Islander School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97	81
76	Black Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997	82
77	Black Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997	82
78	Black Total Mathematics CRT Results Spring 1997	83
79	Black Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997	83
80	Black Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS and TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 and CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97	84
81	Black Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997	85
82	Black Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	85
83	Black Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 -12 Spring 1997	86
84	Black School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97	86
85	Hispanic Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997	87
86	Hispanic Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997	87
87	Hispanic Total Mathematics CRT Results Spring 1997	88



Table Number	<u>Title</u>	Page
88	Hispanic Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997	88
89	Hispanic Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS and TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 and CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97	89
90	Hispanic Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997	90
91	Hispanic Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	90
92	Hispanic Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 -12 Spring 1997	91
93	Hispanic School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97	91
94	White Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997	92
95	White Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997	92
96	White Total Mathematics CRT Results Spring 1997	93
97	White Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997	93
98	White Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS and TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 and CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97	94
99	White Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997	95
100	White Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997	95
101	White Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 -12 Spring 1997	96
102	White School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97	96
103	Anchorage School District Parent Report Card Survey	99
104	Anchorage School District Student Report Card Survey	100
105	Anchorage School District Staff Report Card Survey	101
106	Elementary Parent Report Card Survey	103
107	Elementary Student Report Card Survey Grades 3-6	104
108	Elementary Staff Report Card Survey	105
109	Middle Level Parent Report Card Survey Grades 7-8	107
110	Middle Level Student Report Card Survey Grades 7-8	108
111	Middle Level Staff Report Card Survey Grades 3-6	109
112	High School Parent Report Card Survey Grades 9-12	111



<u>Title</u>	Page
High School Student Report Card Survey Grades 9-12	112
High School Staff Report Card Survey Grades 9-12	113
1992-93 through 1995-96 Actual Expenditures, 1996-97 Unaudited Expenditures, and 1997-98 Adopted Budget for General Fund	115
Anchorage School District Profile School Characteristics	120
Abbott Loop Elementary Profile School Characteristics	130
Airport Heights Elementary Profile School Characteristics	134
Alpenglow Elementary Profile School Characteristics	138
Baxter Elementary Profile School Characteristics	142
Bayshore Elementary Profile School Characteristics	146
Bear Valley Elementary Profile School Characteristics	150
Birchwood Elementary Profile School Characteristics	154
Willard Bowman Elementary Profile School Characteristics	158
Campbell Elementary Profile School Characteristics	162
Chester Valley Elementary Profile School Characteristics	166
Chinook Elementary Profile School Characteristics	170
Chugach Elementary Profile School Characteristics	174
Chugiak Elementary Profile School Characteristics	178
College Gate Elementary Profile School Characteristics	182
Creekside Elementary Profile School Characteristics	186
Eagle River Elementary Profile School Characteristics	190
Fairview Elementary Profile School Characteristics	194
Fire Lake Elementary Profile School Characteristics	198
Government Hill Elementary Profile School Characteristics	202
Homestead Elementary Profile School Characteristics	206
Huffman Elementary Profile School Characteristics	210
Inlet View Elementary Profile School Characteristics	214
	High School Student Report Card Survey Grades 9-12 High School Staff Report Card Survey Grades 9-12



Table of Tables (Continued)

r <u>Title</u>		Page
Kasuun Elementary Profile	School Characteristics	218
Kennedy Elementary Profile	le School Characteristics	222
Kincaid Elementary Profile	School Characteristics	226
Klatt Elementary Profile Sc	chool Characteristics	230
Lake Hood Elementary Pro	ofile School Characteristics	234
Lake Otis Elementary Profi	ile School Characteristics	238
Mt. Spurr Elementary Profil	ile School Characteristics	242
Mt. View Elementary Profile	e School Characteristics	246
Muldoon Elementary Profile	e School Characteristics	250
North Star Elementary Prof	file School Characteristics	254
Northern Lights ABC Eleme	entary Profile School Characteristics	258
Northwood Elementary Pro	ofile School Characteristics	262
Nunaka Valley Elementary	Profile School Characteristics	266
Ocean View Elementary Pr	rofile School Characteristics	270
O'Malley Elementary Profile	e School Characteristics	274
Orion Elementary Profile So	School Characteristics	278
Ptarmigan Elementary Prof	file School Characteristics	282
Rabbit Creek Elementary P	Profile School Characteristics	286
Ravenwood Elementary Pro	rofile School Characteristics	290
Rogers Park Elementary Pr	Profile School Characteristics	294
Russian Jack Elementary F	Profile School Characteristics	298
Sand Lake Elementary Pro	ofile School Characteristics	302
Scenic Park Elementary Pr	rofile School Characteristics	306
Spring Hill Elementary Prof	file School Characteristics	310
Susitna Elementary Profile	School Characteristics	314
Taku Elementary Profile So	chool Characteristics	318
Tudor Elementary Profile S	School Characteristics	322



ix

Page	<u>Title</u>	Table <u>Number</u>
_	Turnagain Elementary Profile School Characteristics	166
330	Tyson Elementary Profile School Characteristics	167
334	Ursa Major Elementary Profile School Characteristics	168
338	Ursa Minor Elementary Profile School Characteristics	169
342	Williwaw Elementary Profile School Characteristics	170
346	Willow Crest Elementary Profile School Characteristics	171
350	Wonder Park Elementary Profile School Characteristics	172
354	Gladys Wood Elementary Profile School Characteristics	173
358	Central School of Science Profile School Characteristics	174
362	Clark Middle Level School Profile School Characteristics	175
366	Gruening Middle Level School Profile School Characteristics	176
370	Hanshew Middle Level School Profile School Characteristics	177
374	Mears Junior High School Profile School Characteristics	178
378	Romig Junior High School Profile School Characteristics	179
382	Wendler Junior High School Profile School Characteristics	180
386	Bartlett High School Profile School Characteristics	181
391	Chugiak High School Profile School Characteristics	182
396	Dimond High School Profile School Characteristics	183
401	East High School Profile School Characteristics	184
406	Service High School Profile School Characteristics	185
411	West High School Profile School Characteristics	186
416	Benny Benson School Profile School Characteristics	187
421	Denali Elementary/Middle Level Profile School Characteristics	188
427	Girdwood Elementary/Middle Level Profile School Characteristics	189
433	McLaughlin Profile School Characteristics	190
437	SAVE High School Profile School Characteristics	, 191 ,



Table of Tables (Continued)

Ta b le Number	<u>Title</u>	Page
192	Steller Middle Level/High School Profile School Characteristics	441
193	Polaris K-12 School Profile School Characteristics	446



14

Question: What is the <u>Profile of Performance</u>?

Answer: The <u>Profile of Performance</u> is a report to the Anchorage School Board and community on the academic achievement of students in our schools. The focus is on student achievement across the District and within our individual programs. The quality indicators included in the Profile have been developed as a common database that may be shared by District staff, School Board members, parents, and members of the community who are interested in the quality of education and Anchorage student success.

Discussion: The Anchorage School District is a public school district serving the community of Anchorage, Alaska. As such, it is charged with providing a quality educational program to all the youth of the community, regardless of background. The District provides a comprehensive program to students in grades kindergarten through twelve.

The District is a dependent tax supported entity, deriving most of its operating budget from the State of Alaska and from local property tax revenue provided through the Municipality of Anchorage. As a public entity, the District has an obligation to assess the results of its efforts and to publicly report the degree to which it meets the goal of providing a good education to every student. This report is one element in the efforts of the Anchorage School District to be publicly accountable for its performance.

The <u>Profile of Performance</u> focuses on student achievement and other outcome indicators of student success. It does not address all student outcomes. The report provides information on academic achievement across the regular education programs available to Anchorage students. It does not include information on the social or physical wellness of students.

The District and schools' budgets and expenditures are only given as information items. The fiscal area is reviewed with the Board and public through an extensive budget deliberation process and monthly reports of expenditures. Parents and members of the public are encouraged to take an active role in the budget process and review School District goals and priorities. An outside audit of the District's fiscal matters is conducted each year and reported to the Board and public. The District budget on the fiscal performance of the Anchorage School District is available from the Office of Business Management.

The School Board sets performance goals in a number of areas each year. One section of this report provides an analysis of the success the District has had in meeting academic goals. Some goals relate to increasing parental and community awareness of the critical role families play in the academic success of students. The complete report of the school responses of what is happening to meet these goals at elementary, middle, high school, and Districtwide levels is available from Community Relations Office of the Anchorage School District, 4600 DeBarr Avenue, Anchorage, AK (907) 269-2450.

Staff attitudes, morale, and public sentiment toward the District are formally measured on a periodic basis using questionnaires and survey techniques. Informal assessments of community and staff attitudes are made constantly through normal interactions among staff members, parents, Board members, and members of the community. A more formal assessment is done as part of the school report card process at the end of the school year which gives every parent, student in grades 3-12, and staff member the opportunity to express their opinions about District performance. This report includes a discussion of parent, student, and staff satisfaction for the District as a whole. Individual program reports are included in School Report Cards which are available at the local school.

This report is divided into four major sections. The first section provides an overview of District performance on critical achievement indicators. The second examines the Districtwide performance of students by their ethnic group membership. The third examines the satisfaction of students, parents, and staff with Anchorage programs. The fourth section provides profiles for each school in the District with information on staff and student characteristics as well as achievement.



The <u>Profile of Performance</u> is organized around the presentation of a series of questions and answers. The questions identify the topics which are reviewed, the answers provide overviews of the findings relative to the topic. Discussion sections examine the detailed data which support the responses in the answer sections.

The <u>Profile of Performance</u> is available from the Public Affairs Office of the Anchorage School District, 4600 DeBarr Avenue, Anchorage, AK (907) 269-2132. Selected information from the profile will be available through the Anchorage School District world-wide web site in early November. Specific questions on the content of the document should be referred to the Anchorage School District Assessment and Evaluation Department at (907) 269-2211.



Question: What student outcome measures are reviewed in the profile of the Anchorage School District?

Answer: Outcome measures reviewed in this document include norm referenced basic skills achievement tests, locally developed curriculum referenced tests, locally developed performance assessments and the ACT and SAT results for those graduating seniors who elected to take college entrance exams. Grades and credits earned for secondary students, attendance patterns and drop out information are also included. Several demographic descriptors and trends which describe the 1996-97 student population are reviewed prior to the outcome measures to provide a picture of the Anchorage School District environment.

Discussion: Anchorage School District performance is examined from several perspectives. First, the demographic characteristics of the students served in the District in 1996-97 are reviewed. Data is presented on the composition of the student body in terms of ethnicity, grade level, and participation in programs for students with special needs. Student mobility and continuity are discussed. Economic levels of the students are briefly described. This demographic profile provides a background for interpreting the outcome indicators which are presented later in the report.

The primary indicator of academic achievement used in 1996-97 is a norm referenced measure of basic skills. The 1992 <u>California Achievement Tests (CAT/5)</u> were administered to students in grades 3-11 in March 1997. The tests were administered to all students who were enrolled with two exceptions: Non-English speaking students who were in the District less than three years and special education students with Individual Education Plans (I.E.P.) which specifically exclude norm referenced testing. Other students may have missed the test period due to absences. The CAT tests include basic skill tests in reading, mathematics, and language arts. Students in grade 3 are tested in Word Analysis, Vocabulary, Reading Comprehension, Spelling, Language Mechanics, Language Expression, Mathematics Computation, Mathematics Concepts and Applications. Students in grades 4-11 are tested in Vocabulary, Reading Comprehension, Spelling, Language Mechanics, Language Expression, Mathematics Computation, Mathematics Concepts and Applications, and Study Skills. All students in grades 3-11 are also tested in Science and Social Studies. Individual tests are available for inspection at the Anchorage School District Assessment and Evaluation Office. Students are tested in grades 3 through 11 to monitor the year-to-year growth of all students and provide an annual objective measure of performance to parents.

The State of Alaska adopted the <u>California Achievement Test 5, Survey Battery (CAT)</u> as the required measure for the Alaska Statewide Student Assessment Program in 1995-96. A study of the CAT tests reported in the 1995-96 <u>Profile of Performance</u> indicated that Anchorage teachers and curriculum specialists found more than 90 percent of CAT items in reading, language arts, and mathematics to be consistent with Anchorage curriculum goals and instruction.

Norm referenced tests like the CAT use multiple choice questions to measure basic skills in reading, the mechanics of writing, and mathematics. The tests provide an objective, standardized measure which allows reliable comparisons of performance between groups of students on changes in basic skill performance over time. The tests allow the comparison of Anchorage students with a national sample of students tested in 1991 and the tracking of changes in Anchorage performance on a consistent measure over time.

Performance on Anchorage Curriculum Referenced Tests (CRTs) developed by Anchorage teachers and Assessment and Evaluation staff are also included in this report. The CRTs have been used and revised since the 1970s to assess areas where important aspects of the Anchorage curriculum are not adequately addressed by the norm referenced tests. In 1996-97, samples of Anchorage students in grades 3, 6, and 8 completed CRT assessments in science. Grades 5, 7, and 10 participated in the Statewide Writing Assessment. The Jamestown Assessment Unit which combines instruction and assessment was administered at grade 4. A new social studies assessment with a performance activity was field tested at grade 8.



3

New measures that supplement the multiple choice CAT tests are entering the process of development. Like the Jamestown Unit and the 8th grade social studies performance assessments, these measures directly link student performance to Anchorage instruction and call for demonstrations of the ability to apply knowledge and produce high quality work that shows mastery of the skills needed for school success. Classroom assessments keyed to K-6 Science kits will be piloted in 1997-98 and new Health CRT tests are in the first stages of development.

Grades and credits earned are direct indicators of the extent to which students are meeting the expectations of teachers. The grade point averages and grade distributions allow a comparison of success versus expectation. Grades and credits also identify those subjects which are the most difficult for students to master. Grade retention provides a comparison of achievement versus minimum expectations at the elementary and mid-levels. High school credits earned show the success of students as they move from grade 9 to graduation. The increased graduation requirements and soon to be developed Alaska graduation examination makes objective assessment of secondary student progress on items of special interest.

Attendance and drop out information are indirect indicators of student attitudes and their interest in school. High absence and drop out rates are indicators of alienation and the failure to provide programs which engage the interests of students. Students who miss a great deal of school do not benefit from the instruction which is offered. Dropping out is indicative of a total failure of the school-student relationship. While the choice of not going to school is always in the hands of the student and family, the reasons behind that choice may be endemic within the system.



Question: What are the demographic characteristics of the student population served by the Anchorage School District in 1996-97?

Answer: The 1996-97 school year was a growth year for the District in terms of overall enrollment. Over 47,900 students enrolled in Anchorage schools in September 1996. Our student population in 1996-97 was at an all time high, up almost 100 students from 1995-96 and 1,600 students over 1992-93.

The growing ethnic diversity of the Anchorage student population is a reflection of the changing Anchorage community. The majority group in the District continues to be White. Students whose ethnic background is other than White account for an increasing portion of the overall District population, equating to 32 percent of the total population in 1996-97. This compares to a minority student membership of just over 28 percent of the population in 1992-93.

Anchorage is characterized by its mobility. One in five of the students served by the District entered or left one or more District schools after September 30, 1996; i.e., moved into or out of a school during the school year. Better than 45 percent of the students served in 1996-97 were new to their schools that year. These transience and mobility figures are similar to those for the District over the past several years indicating a continuing pattern of high mobility. The national mobility rate for 1994-95 was 16.1 percent.

Three out of ten of the District's elementary students were eligible for subsidized lunches due to low family income. This proportion (30.8%) is slightly below the 33.2% in 1995-96 but still substantial.

The District's population includes several thousand students with special needs. Gifted students, learning disabled students, mentally retarded students, and others with specific identified needs are included in Tables 5 and 6. This diversity of needs of the District's population was recognized and addressed by the Anchorage School District during 1996-97 through a variety of special programs.

Discussion: Table 1 provides a five year review of the membership in the District at the beginning of the school year broken down by racial-ethnic group. This is a snapshot view including every student enrolled in a District school on either September 30 or during October of the year shown. The information in the table shows that:

The 1996-97 school year saw more than 47,900 students enrolled at the beginning of the year. This figure was an all time high, and demonstrated growth of over 1,600 students from 1992-93.

The non-White population composed over 32 percent of the total student population and is growing. In 1992-93, non-Whites made up about 28 percent of the total population. In 1996-97, non-Whites accounted for 32 percent of the District's student population. All non-White racial-ethnic populations have grown both in absolute numbers and as a portion of the District's student population over the past five years. The District's White population also grew from 1992-93 through 1993-94. It declined by about 1,000 students between 1993-94 and 1996-97. Among the racial-ethnic minority groups, the American Native group which includes Alaska Native students has demonstrated the most growth, moving from just under 9.8 percent of the total population in 1992-93 to 11.3 percent of the total population in 1996-97.



Table 1

Anchorage School District Beginning of Year (September 30) Membership 1992-93 through 1994-95 (Estimated Ratio Ethnic Breakdown)

Beginning of Year (October 2) Membership 1995-96 Beginning of Year (October 21) Membership 1996-97 (Actual Ethnic Breakdown)

Year_	Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total
_							
1996-97	Number	5,392	3,558	4,182	2,233	32,546	47,911
	Percent	11.3%	7.4%	8.7%	4.7%	67.9%	100.0%
1995-96	Number	5,203	3,341	4,104	2,123	33,070	47,841
	Percent	10.9%	7.0%	8.6%	4.4%	69.1%	100.0%
1994-95	Number	4,977	3,143	4.217	1,898	33,374	47,609
	Percent	10.5%	6.6%	8.9%	4.0%	70.1%	100.0%
1993-94	Number	4,706	2,999	4,231	1 <i>,7</i> 72	33,524	47,232
	Percent	10.0%	6.3%	9.0%	3.8%	71.0%	100.0%
1992-93	Number	4,539	2,820	3.978	1,595	33,305	46,237
	Percent	9.8%	6.1%	8.6%	3.4%	72.0%	100.0%

Stability of the student population is examined through the data in Tables 2 and 3. Table 2 examines within year stability and Table 3 examines year-to-year continuity. The stability indices in Table 2, labeled "% in One Sch.," represent the portions of the total enrollment for the given sub-group and year that remained in a single school from the end of the first month of school through the end of the school year. The continuity indices in Table 3, labeled "% in Same Sch.," are the portions of the enrollment, again presented as percentages, that continued in the same school from the end of one school year to the beginning of the next school year. These data show a notable degree of mobility in the District. American Native students seem particularly prone to move during the school year with one in three of the American Native students enrolled in the District in 1996-97 moving into or out of a school after September 30, 1996. The mobility patterns for racial-ethnic groups have been relatively consistent over the past five years.

Student exposure to curriculum and the continuity of the process of learning has a substantial effect on student achievement. Students who move, particularly students who move between schools with inconsistent expectations and programs, have problems catching up. This is an increasing problem across the United States where about 17 percent of school age children move each year (Bureau of Census, 1995) and a continuing problem here in Anchorage.

Table 4 presents the portion of the elementary student population participating in the free or reduced price lunch program. This variable is a pseudo-indicator of the socio-economic condition of the District's population. It indicates that approximately one-third of the District's elementary students are eligible for free or reduced price lunch.



Table 2 **Anchorage School District** Stability Rates of Students in One School September 30 through End-of-Year

		American	Asian/				
Year	Data Type	Native	Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total
1996-97	Number*	6,143	3,844	4,599	2,511	34,638	51,735
	% in One Sch.**	66.8%	81.2%	74.3%	73.5%	82.9%	79.6%
1995-96	Number	5,877	3,622	4,456	2,340	35,200	51,495
	% in One Sch.	66.3%	79.3%	71.7%	73.9%	82.0%	78.7%
1994-95	Number	5 <i>,</i> 753	3,404	4,577	2,133	35,608	51,475
	% in One Sch.	65.2%	79.6%	71.5%	72.2%	81.4%	78.2%
1993-94	Number	5,414	3,216	4,596	1,998	35 <i>,</i> 797	51,021
	% in One Sch.	65.1%	82.0%	71.9%	73.0%	81.7%	78.7%
1992-93	Number	5,222	3,036	4,404	1,815	35,646	50,123
	% in One Sch.	66.2%	81.4%	72.1%	71.3%	81.7%`	78.9%

^{* &}quot;Number" is the total count of students who attended an Anchorage School for one or more days in the year.

** "% in Same Sch." is the percent of the count who started the school year (enrolled on September 30) in the same school in which they finished the previous year.

Table 3 **Anchorage School District Continuity Rates of Students** Beginning in Same School as Previous Year

		American	Asian/				
<u>Y</u> ear	Data Type	Native	Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total
1996-97	Number*	6,143	3,844	4,599	2,511	34,638	51,735
	% in Same Sch	.** 46.6%	52.7%	48.7%	47.9%	57.4%	54.5%
1995-96	Number	5,877	3,622	4,456	2,340	35,200	51,495
	% in Same Sch.	51.3%	57.7%	52.8%	50.7%	59.0%	57.1%
1994-95	Number	5,753	3,404	4,577	2,133	35,608	51,475
	% in Same Sch.	48.4%	60.1%	52.5%	51.1%	59.6%	57.4%
1993-94	Number	5,414	3,216	4,596	1,998	35,797	51.021
	% in Same Sch.	49.7%	58.2%	51.3%	50.1%	59.7%	57.4%
1992-93	Number	5,222	3,036	4,404	1,815	35,646	50,123
	% in Same Sch.	49.2%	57.0%	49.2%	52.6%	58.5%	56.4%

^{* &}quot;Number" is the total count of students who attended an Anchorage School for one or more days in the year.

** "% in Same Sch." is the percent of the count who started the school year (enrolled on September 30) in the same school in which they finished the previous year.



Table 4 **Anchorage School District** Elementary Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information

Year	Number of Children Enrolled Sept. 30	Number of * Children from Low Income Families	Percent of Children from Low Income Families
1996-97	26,771	8,256	30.8%
1995-96	27,709	9,203	33.2%
1994-95	27,725	7,961	28.7%
1993-94	27,593	7,724	28.0%
1992-93	27,717	7,662	27.6%

Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program. That program is not offered at the high school level.

Low income is not a cause of low achievement but it is a strong and consistent correlate. Low income is a factor which creates stress in families and reduces the resources that are available for health care, nutrition, and a host of other factors that affect the ability of the student to make use of educational opportunities and reach high levels of achievement. In some Anchorage schools two out of three students qualify for free or reduced price lunch.

The number of Anchorage students that have recognized "special needs" are enumerated in Table 5. This table shows the number of students active at the end of 1996-97 who had been identified as having special needs. Table 6 shows the special programs population for the District over the past five years. Some of these students benefit from more than one program and may be counted more than once. Anchorage offers a comprehensive program to meet the needs of students who need special support and the overall numbers have grown in most areas over the past five years.



Table 5 **Anchorage School District** Special Need Population, 1992-93 to 1996-97 Active Membership at End of School Year

Areas of Need	Number of Students								
-	96-97	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93				
Mentally Retarded	261	248	253	252	243				
Specific Learning Disability	4,587	4,466	4,434	4,239	4,010				
Emotionally Disturbed	420	362	362	368	343				
Orthopedically Handicapped	32	37	39	39	42				
Speech Impaired	1,347	1,268	1,287	1,273	1,418				
Visually Impaired	20	17	14	12	10				
Health Impaired	152	121	106	111	91				
Hard of Hearing	107	114	117	111	91				
Deaf	39	39	44	47	42				
Deaf-Blind	1	2	2	3	3				
Multi-handicapped	229	220	207	188	191				
Developmental Delayed	274	235	267	238	264				
Traumatic Brain Injury	28	25	20	10	5				
Autism	53	36	28	20	12				
Gifted	2,247	1,960	2,072	2,066	1,765				



Anchorage School District Five-Year Special Programs Population Active Membership at End of School Year

Table 6

Areas of Need	Number of Students								
	<u>96-97</u>	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93				
Bilingual									
"A" or "B" (Totally or Dominant Non-English)	2,418	2,165	2,300	1,956	1 <i>,</i> 701				
"C" (Low Achievers)	409	328	451	462	412				
Migrant Eligible Students (Actually receiving service)	540	350	227	382	395				
Title I/Chapter I Students									
Targeted Assistance Schools	327	924	933	963	870				
Schoolwide Programs	4,475	2,513	1,029	253	952				
Homeless Program	1,073	1,102	1,048	649	985				
Neglected and Delinquent	222	120	197	183	131				
Indian Education Tutoring/ Counseling Students	2121	2,029	2,212	2,400	2,373				

The Bilingual and Title I programs deserve special note. More and more students come to Anchorage who are new to the United States or have limited proficiency in English. This places special demands on these students who must struggle with mastering reading, writing, and communication skills as well as subject area content. The increase in Title I numbers reflects a changing model of service delivery which extends support to all students within eligible low income schools.

The demographic information examined in Tables 1 through 6 shows that the Anchorage School District provides a variety of services to a large number of students from differing backgrounds. These students are mobile, represent the entire economic spectrum, and possess a diversity of educational needs. To accommodate this diversity, the District's program must be both flexible and varied. The portion of this document which profiles individual schools suggests that the process of providing a good education for each child takes into account the differences in demographics which exist among the various parts of Anchorage.



Question: How did Anchorage students do on the norm referenced tests administered in March of 1997?

Answer: The three key indicators of basic skills achievement on norm referenced tests are scores of Reading, Language Arts, and Mathematics. The Anchorage average scores in all three areas are above the national average 50th percentile for grades 3 through 11. Reading is basic to success in all educational areas and includes both vocabulary and comprehension. Anchorage scores range from the 58th percentile at grade 11 to the 64th percentile at grades 4 and 7. Total Language Arts items focus on the mechanics of writing and recognition of errors. Anchorage scores range from the 51st percentile at grade eleven to the 67th percentile at grade five. Mathematics items focus on basic math operations and problem solving. Anchorage scores range from the 63rd percentile for grades 3, 5, and 11 to the 69th percentile at grade 4. More than 29,000 students took the California Achievement Tests (CAT) in 1997.

The strong overall performance of Anchorage students is reflected in the distribution of scores shown in Table 11. When compared to the national sample, Anchorage has more than the expected 25 percent of the students with scores in the top quartile, 75th percentile to 99th percentile, and fewer than the expected 25 percent of the students in the lower quartile in all of the grades 3 - 11. For those who completed all of the sections of the CAT tests the percentage of students in the top quartile at each grade are 37.5%, 39.7%, 40.7%, 40.6%, 37.5%, 37,5%, 41.2%, 40.0%, and 36.5%. The proportion of students in the lowest quartile is smaller than expected with 18.6%, 13.7%, 14.0%, 14.0%, 15.1%, 14.7%, 14.1%, 16.9%, and 19.1%.

These scores paint a picture of strong performance across the grades with most Anchorage students doing well when compared with what would be expected based on a sample of students from across the United States. This does not, however, mean that all Anchorage students are doing well. Having 15 to 20 percent of our students with scores below the 25th percentile at each grade means that hundreds of students at each grade level must struggle to keep up and master their day-to-day academic work. In third grade Reading, for example; 17.9 percent below the 25th percentile translates into 670 students who are reading well below grade level.

Discussion: Table 7 shows the average performance of students in grades 3 through 11 on the Total Reading, Total Language Arts, Total Mathematics, and Total Battery scores of the California Achievement Tests. More than 90 percent of the students were tested in each of the areas and the scores represent a valid cross-section of English speaking students in the regular education program. Scores in all of the basic skills areas are above the national average of the 50th percentile. The individual grade level performance on the Total Battery scores range from the 60th percentile to the 67th percentile. The overall 3-11 grade CAT Total Battery score for Anchorage is at the 64th percentile.

Table 8 shows the average scores on the two sections of the CAT test which are combined to produce the Total Reading score: Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension. The CAT Vocabulary test emphasizes understanding words within context and, Reading Comprehension includes both the direct recall of specific facts and making inferences based on knowledge gained through reading. Anchorage students are consistently better than the national average of 50 on both Vocabulary and Comprehension. Comprehension performance ranges from the 59th to the 66th percentile and Vocabulary ranges from the 55th to 64th percentile.

Table 9 shows the average scores on the two sections of the CAT test which are combined to produce the Total Language Arts score: Mechanics and Expression. The Mechanics test measures the ability to recognize violations of the rules of good punctuation. Expression asks students about the best way to construct sentences and choose effective language to express ideas. Grade 3 Mechanics is one of the few areas where Anchorage students are below the national average. The Mechanics scores across the grades range from the 48th percentile to the 69th percentiles. Language Expression scores range from the national average of 50 at eleventh grade up to the 64th percentile at grade 5. The Language Arts total scores range from the 51st to the 67th percentile. Language Mechanics shows improvement overall from last year.



Table 10 shows the average scores on the two sections of the CAT test which are combined to produce the Total Math score: Math Computation and Math Concepts and Applications. The Math Computation test focuses on the basic math operations and checks the ability to manipulate numbers. The Math Concepts and Applications test examines some of the concepts which underlie computation and includes multi-step problems where students determine the appropriate operations and apply them to information presented through a story problem or table. Anchorage students are above the national average in both Computation and Concepts and Applications at every grade. The Math Computation scores range from the 52nd to the 66th percentile. The Math Concepts and Applications scores range from the 61st to the 73rd percentile. Concepts and Applications scores are slightly higher than Computation scores reflecting the Anchorage emphasis on problem solving and application across the curriculum. The Total Math scores are above the 63rd percentile at every grade. The Total Math scores range from the 63rd to the 69th percentile.

This is the second year that all students in grades 3 through 11 have taken CAT tests in Science, Social Studies, Spelling, and Study Skills. The CAT Science and Social Studies tests ask students to read materials taken from those content areas, to apply concepts, and to analyze and organize information. The Study Skills test includes analysis of research materials, charts, and graphs. The spelling test calls on students to identify common words that are correctly or incorrectly spelled. Anchorage students may not have been exposed to some of the factual information included on these tests and they may not match our common modes of instruction but our students should have generally mastered the skills needed to do well on these tests.

Tables 15 and 16 present the CAT Science and Social Studies information along with past scores for samples of students who were tested on similar ITBS/TAP tests. Anchorage performance is well above the national average at all grades on both tests. Science scores ranged from the 53rd to the 76th percentile with performance above the 60th percentile in six of the nine grades tested. Social Studies performance ranged from the 57th to the 67th percentile with performance at or above the 60th percentile in eight of the nine grades tested. The CAT Science and Social Studies scores are higher at some grades and lower at others. These differences might be attributed to differences in test content and match with our curriculum. Overall, the Science and Social Studies scores in Anchorage continue to be well above the national average.

Tables 17 and 18 present the CAT Spelling and Study Skills scores. Spelling scores are generally close to the national average of 50 and range from the 46th percentile at grade 11 to the 58th percentile at grade 4. Scores are above the national average at seven of the nine grades tested. In Word Analysis, Grade 3 students scored at the 54th percent. Study skills scores range from the 49th percentile to the 67th percentile. Study Skills scores are above national average in seven of the eight grades tested and above the 60th percentile in three of the grades. Spelling continues to be an area of relative weakness for Anchorage students but has improved over last year.

Reading, Language Arts, and Mathematics are the basic skill areas that are keys to academic success in school. The overall performance of Anchorage students in these areas is consistently above the national average. Students have been able to do as well or better on the CAT which has been judged by the District staff to be generally consistent with our curriculum and fair for our students. Overall, CAT test scores are up over the scores of last year.



Percentile Rank Scores and

Number of Students Tested California Achievement Test Survey Battery 5 (CAT) Spring 1997

Table 7

		 _			
Grade		Total	Total	Total	Total
Level		Reading	Language Arts	<u>Mathematics</u>	Battery
•					
3	Percentile	63	55	63	61
	Number Tested	3,751	3,726	3,739	3,688
4	Percentile	64	63	69	66
	Number Tested	3,438	3,442	3,442	3,411
5	Percentile	62	67	63	67
	Number Tested	3,569	3,578	3,570	3,541
6	Percentile	61	66	68	
U	Number Tested				66
	Number Tested	3,567	3,565	3,567	3,545
7	Percentile	64	60	66	64
	Number Tested	3,334	3,338	3,352	3,279
8	Percentile	63	57	64	64
	Number Tested	3,050	. 3,049	3,048	2,998
9	Percentile	62	58	67	65
	Number Tested	3,047	3,051	3,048	
	rumber resteu	3,047	3,031	3,046	3,027
10	Percentile	62	55	65	64
	Number Tested	2,865	2,877	2,872	2,848
11	Percentile	58	51	63	60
11	Number Tested				60
		<u>2,344</u>	<u>2,348</u>	2,346	2,322



Table 8 **Anchorage School District** Breakdown of CAT Reading Scores by Sub-test Area - Spring 1997

Grade Level		Vocabulary	Reading Comprehension	Reading Total
			Completed	IOIAI
3	Percentile	61	63	63
	Number Tested	3,759	3,759	3,751
4	Percentile	64	61	64
	Number Tested	3,443	3,447	3,438
5	Percentile	58	61	62
	Number Tested	3,572	3,575	3,569
6	Percentile	55	64	61
	Number Tested	3,571	3,570	3,567
.7	Percentile	57	66	64
	Number Tested	3,339	3,340	3,334
8	Percentile	60	63	63
	Number Tested	3,050	3,051	3,050
9	Percentile	55	64	62
	Number Tested	3,049	3,051	3,047
10	Percentile	58	64	62
	Number Tested	2,867	2,871	2,865
11	Percentile	56	59	58
	Number Tested	2,346	2,352	2,344



Table 9 Anchorage School District Breakdown of CAT Language Arts Scores by Sub-test Area - Spring 1997

Grade Level		Language Mechanics	Language Expression	Language Total
3	Percentile	48	61	55
	Number Tested	3,759	3,727	3,726
4	Percentile	61	62	63
	Number Tested	3,451	3,445	3,442
5	Percentile	69	64	67
	Number Tested	3,586	3,579	3,578
6	Percentile	67	63	66
	Number Tested	3,567	3,571	3,565
7	Percentile	66	53	60
	Number Tested	3,345	3,347	3,338
8	Percentile	60	54	5 7
	Number Tested	3,053	3,055	3,049
9	Percentile	57	58	58
	Number Tested	3,052	3,052	3,051
10	Percentile	54	55	55
	Number Tested	2,881	2,880	2,877
11	Percentile	52	50	51
	Number Tested	2,348	2,350	2,348



Table 10 **Anchorage School District Breakdown of CAT Mathematics Scores** by Sub-test Area - Spring 1997

Grade Level		Math Computation	Math Concepts & Applications	Math Total
3	Percentile	62	61	63
	Number Tested	3,750	3,752	3,739
4	Percentile	63	70	69
	Number Tested	3,448	3,448	3,442
5	Percentile	55	67	63
	Number Tested	3,576	3,570	3,570
6	Percentile	66	70	68
	Number Tested	3,571	3,568	3,567
7	Percentile	64	64	66
	Number Tested	3,354	3,361	3,352
8	Percentile	52	73	64
	Number Tested	3,053	3,058	3,048
9	Percentile	63	71	67
	Number Tested	3,054	3,049	3,048
10	Percentile	60	70	65
	Number Tested	2,880	2,875	2,872
11	Percentile	58	65	63
	Number Tested	2,352	2,348	2,346



Table 11 Quartile Distribution of Students' Individual Performances CAT - Spring 1997

4 (((5 (Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 2 and 3	39.4% 42.7% 17.9% 36.1% 50.0% 14.0% 37.1% 45.2% 17.7%	Total Language Arts 31.7% 46.1% 22.2% 37.6% 47.1% 15.3% 41.0% 46.3%	Total Mathematics 41.8% 42.3% 15.9% 42.7% 42.9% 14.4% 37.3%	Total Battery 37.5% 43.9% 18.6% 39.7% 46.6% 13.7% 40.7%
4 (Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 1	42.7% 17.9% 36.1% 50.0% 14.0% 37.1% 45.2%	46.1% 22.2% 37.6% 47.1% 15.3%	42.3% 15.9% 42.7% 42.9% 14.4%	37.5% 43.9% 18.6% 39.7% 46.6% 13.7%
4 (Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 1	42.7% 17.9% 36.1% 50.0% 14.0% 37.1% 45.2%	46.1% 22.2% 37.6% 47.1% 15.3%	42.3% 15.9% 42.7% 42.9% 14.4%	43.9% 18.6% 39.7% 46.6% 13.7%
4 (((5 (Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 1	17.9% 36.1% 50.0% 14.0% 37.1% 45.2%	22.2% 37.6% 47.1% 15.3% 41.0%	15.9% 42.7% 42.9% 14.4%	43.9% 18.6% 39.7% 46.6% 13.7%
4 ((5 (Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 1	36.1% 50.0% 14.0% 37.1% 45.2%	37.6% 47.1% 15.3% 41.0%	42.7% 42.9% 14.4%	18.6% 39.7% 46.6% 13.7%
5 (Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartiles 1	50.0% 14.0% 37.1% 45.2%	47.1% 15.3% 41.0%	42.9% 14.4%	46.6% 13.7%
5 (Quartile 1 Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1	14.0% 37.1% 45.2%	15.3% 41.0%	14.4%	13.7%
5 (Quartile 4 Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1	37.1% 45.2%	41.0%		
C	Quartiles 2 and 3 Quartile 1	45.2%		37.3%	40.7%
	Quartile 1		46.3%		
(~	17.7%		47.1%	45.3%
`	_		12.7%	15.7%	14.0%
6 (Quartile 4	33.0%	41.0%	44.3%	40.6%
(Quartiles 2 and 3	50.7%	45.6%	42.7%	45.4%
(Quartile 1	16.3%	13.4%	13.0%	14.0%
7 (Quartile 4	35.7%	34.5%	39.2%	37.5%
-	Quartiles 2 and 3	49.6%	47.4%	47.3%	47.5%
(Quartile 1	14.7%	18.1%	13.5%	15.1%
8 (Quartile 4	36.1%	29.5%	35.6%	37.5%
	Quartiles 2 and 3	48.3%	52.7%	48.8%	47.8%
Ç	Quartile 1	15.6%	17.8%	15.6%	14.7%
	Quartile 4	35.4%	32.6%	43.6%	41.2%
-	Quartiles 2 and 3	48.2%	49.7%	45.1%	44.7%
Ç	Quartile 1	16.3%	17.7%	11.3%	14.1%
10 Ç	Quartile 4	37.1%	29.9%	40.0%	40.0%
-	Quartiles 2 and 3	45.6%	48.7%	46.3%	43.2%
ζ	Quartile 1	17.3%	21.4%	13.7%	16.9%
11 (Quartile 4	34.6%	24.2%	39.9%	36.5%
-	Quartiles 2 and 3	46.2%	53.2%	42.6%	44.4%
ζ	Quartile 1	19.2%	22.7%	17.6%	19.1%



Table 12

Five-Year History **Percentile Rank Scores**

ITBS and TAP Reading Comprehension -- Spring 1993 through Spring 1995 -- Grades 4, 6, 8, and 11 CAT Total Reading - Spring 1996 through Spring 1997 - Grades 3 through 11

<u>Year</u>	Data Type	Gr3	Gr4	Gr 5	Gr 6	Gr7	Gr8	Gr 9	Gr 10	Gr 11
1996-97	Percentile	63	64	62	61	64	63	62	42	
	Number Tested	3,751	3,438	3,569	3,567	3,334	3,050	3,047	62 2,865	58 2,344
1995-96	Percentile	63	64	63	61	64	63	60	66	58*
	Number Tested	3,490	3,551	3,588	3,384	3,208	3,171	2,607	2,263	1,996
1994-95	Percentile	-	54	_	58	_	59	_	_	61
	Number Tested	-	3,630	-	3,389	-	3,081	-	-	2,242
1993-94	Percentile	-	52	_	5 <i>7</i>	_	59	_	_	60
	Number Tested	-	3,587	-	3,548	-	2,916	-	-	2,117
1992-93	Percentile	_	52	_	58	_	61	_	_	58
	Number Tested	-	3,545	-	3,527	-	2,975	-	-	2,154

Table 13

Five-Year History **Percentile Rank Scores**

ITBS Total Language Arts - Spring 1993 through Spring 1995 - Grades 4, 6, and 8 CAT Total Language Arts - - Spring 1996 through Spring 1997 -- Grades 3 through 11

centile nber Tested centile nber Tested	55 3,726 51 3,496	63 3,442 56 3,554	67 3,578 66	66 3,565 63	60 3,338 57	57 3,049 55	58 3,051 55	55 2,877 57	Gr 11 51 2,348 53
nber Tested centile nber Tested	3,726 51	3,442 56	3,578 66	3,565	3,338	3,049	3,051	2,877	2,348
entile nber Tested	51	56	66	63	•	3,049		2,877	2,348
nber Tested					5 <i>7</i>	55	55	E7	F2
	3,496	3,554	2 504					.7/	71
			3,596	3,382	3,218	3,167	3,036	2,618	2,295
entile	-	54	-	57	_	62	_	_	_
nber Tested	-	3,576	-	3,328	-	3,072	-	-	-
entile	_	52	-	55	_	62	_		_
nber Tested	-	3,478	-	3,524	-	2,847	-	-	- -
entile	_	51	_	55	_	62	_	_	
nber Tested	-	3,517	-	3,502	-	2,921	- -	-	-
ni ni	entile ber Tested entile	entile - ber Tested - entile -	entile - 52 ber Tested - 3,478 entile - 51	entile - 52 - ber Tested - 3,478 - entile - 51 -	entile - 52 - 55 ber Tested - 3,478 - 3,524 entile - 51 - 55	entile - 52 - 55 - ber Tested - 3,478 - 3,524 - entile - 51 - 55 -	entile - 52 - 55 - 62 ber Tested - 3,478 - 3,524 - 2,847 entile - 51 - 55 - 62	entile - 52 - 55 - 62 - ber Tested - 3,478 - 3,524 - 2,847 - entile - 51 - 55 - 62 -	entile - 52 - 55 - 62 ber Tested - 3,478 - 3,524 - 2,847



Table 14

Five-Year History Percentile Rank Scores

ITBS Mathematics Total & TAP Mathematics -- Spring 1993 through Spring 1995 -- Grades 4, 6, & 8 CAT Total Mathematics -- Spring 1996 through Spring 1997- Grades 3 through 11

Year	Data Type	Gr3	Gr4	Gr5	Gr6	Gr7	Gr8	Gr9	Gr 10	Gr 11
1996-97	Percentile	63	60	(2			C 4			- (2
1990-97			69	63	68	66	64	67	65	63
	Number Tested	3 <i>,7</i> 39	3,442	3 <i>,</i> 570	3,567	3,352	3,048	3,048	2,872	2,346
1995-96	Percentile	62	62	61	67	64	62	66	66	63
	Number Tested	3,500	3,585	3,581	3,381		3,154			
•	Number resteu	3,300	3,363	3,361	3,361	3,177	3,134	3,042	2,615	2,319
1994-95	Percentile	-	61	_	62	_	59	-	_	62
	Number Tested	-	3,634	-	3,389	-	3,098	-	-	2,260
1993-94	Percentile	_	58	_	61	_	58	_	_	60
	Number Tested	_	3,564	_	3,542		2,919	_		2,126
	rumber resteu		3,304	_	J,J42	_	2,919	-	-	2,120
1992-93	Percentile	-	59	_	62	_	60	_	_	63
	Number Tested	_	3,526	_	3,527	_	2,961	_	_	2,155
			- ,		-,5		_,,,,,			2,1 00

Table 15

Four-Year History **Percentile Rank Scores**

Observed Performance for Sample on Science - Spring 1994 and 1995 CAT Science - - Spring 1996 through Spring 1997- Grades 3 through 11

Year	Data Type	Gr3	Gr4	Gr 5	Gr 6	Gr7	Gr8	Gr9	Gr 10	Gr 11
1996-97	Percentile	61	66	53	5 <i>7</i>	63	<i>7</i> 6	67	67	57
2,,,,,,,,	Number Tested	3,748	3,436	3,560	3,560	3,354	3,061	3,050	2,863	2,318
1995-96	Percentile	59	62	53	56	64	76	68	69	56
	Number Tested	3,484	3,565	3,548	3,377	3,208	3,165	3,044	2,622	2,327
1994-95	Percentile	72	-	_	<i>7</i> 6	72	70	_	-	-
	Number Tested	782	-	-	696	1,429	1,724	-	-	-
1993-94	Percentile	65	74	_	67	64	<i>7</i> 1	_	_	_
	Number Tested	866	875	-	580	294	1,013	-	-	-



Table 16

Four-Year History Percentile Rank Scores

Observed Performance for Sample on Social Studies – Spring 1994 and 1995 CAT Social Studies - - Spring 1996 through Spring 1997 – Grades 3 through 11

Year	Data Type	Gr3	Gr4	Gr 5	Gr6	Gr7	Gr8	Gr9	Gr 10	Gr 11
1996-97	Percentile	61	61	65	60	65	64	67	63	57
	Number Tested	3,738	3,426	3,556	3,552	3,355	3,057	3,046	2,862	
1995-96	Percentile	60	5 <i>7</i>	66	60	64	61	67	65	60
	Number Tested	3,471	3,563	3,544	3,370	3,189	3,165	3,039		2,324
1994-95	Percentile	54	_	-	65	60	61	_	_	_
	Number Tested	656	-	-	719	1,190	1,699		-	-
1993-94	Percentile	49	66	-	68	-	<i>57</i>	_	-	_
	Number Tested	107	176	-	222	-	186	-	-	-

Table 17
Two-Year History
Percentile Rank Scores
CAT Spelling - - Spring 1996 through Spring 1997 — Grades 3 through 11

Year	Data Type	Gr3	Gr4	Gr5	Gr6	Gr7	Gr8	Gr9	Gr 10	Gr 11
1996-97	Percentile	52	58	54	51	50	49	52	50	46
	Number Tested	3,764	3,449	3,573	3,575	3,351	3,053	3,053	2,879	2,349
1995-96	Percentile	49	54	53	51	47	48	51	53	44
	Number Tested	3,500	3,572	3,601	3,389	3,224	3,176	3,038	2,627	2,299

Table 18
Two-Year History
Percentile Rank Scores

CAT Word Analysis - Spring 1996 and Spring 1997 - Grade 3 CAT Study Skills - - Spring 1996 and Spring 1997 - Grades 4 through 11

Year	Data Type	Gr3	Gr4	Gr5	Gr6	Gr7	Gr8	Gr9	Gr 10	Gr 11
1996-97	Percentile	54	69	62	64	58	49	58	52	53
	Number Tested	3,761	3,440	3,567	3,569	3,349	3,058	3,050	2,870	2,325
1995-96	Percentile	51	67	62	61	56	46	60	54	51
	Number Tested	3,500	3,569	3,584	3,378	3,214	3,155	3,045	2,627	2,325

20



Question: How well did the Anchorage School District meet School Board goals for 1996-97?

Answer: Anchorage teachers did well in helping students meet the rigorous achievement goals set by the Anchorage School Board. Grade three reading performance is strong with seven out of ten students at or above the 40th percentile in reading. Overall, spelling improved at all but two of the grades and scores came up to meet the School Board goal. Overall performance was mostly up in other academic areas for continuing students.

Discussion: The Anchorage School District's Mission and Goals were as follows:

The mission of the Anchorage School District is to educate students for success in life.

Academic Excellence

We will increase academic excellence by emphasizing student achievement, developing respect for diversity, maintaining quality staff recruitment and training, and maximizing opportunities for lifelong learning.

• All students will acquire basic reading skills and strategies to read independently by the end of the third grade.

Analysis of the third grade reading performance indicates that 72 percent of the third grade students attained scores at the 40th percentile or greater. Other scores could be used as a break point or definition of independent reading. If the 25th percentile was adopted as the minimum score required to demonstrate independent reading performance rather than the 40th, 82 percent of the third graders would have met or exceeded this criterion. With either criterion, 40th or 25th percentile, the majority of the students have attained independent reading performance by the end of third grade. There are many students, however, who have not using either criterion and who may require concerted efforts to continue to develop the reading skills required in later schooling. Between 680 and 1,060 students have not met the goal of being independent readers by the end of grade three.

• All students will show measurable success and increased achievement at each successive grade level in math, reading, and writing conventions including spelling. This will be measured by, including, but not limited to standardized tests.

Tables 19 through 24, show average performance for all students measured in Reading, Language Arts, and Mathematics and those students who continued from 1995-96 to 1996-97, were measured. Both years with California Achievement Test. The percentile ranking of the mean NCE score is shown for each grade level and for combined groups. In the area of reading, six of nine grade levels posted identical mean scores, two declined and one gained. Across the grade levels a one percentile decline was posted (see table 19). If scores of students who were measured both years are compared, three groups posted gains and five posted declined with a combined decline of one percentile in reading (see table 22).

Table 20 and 23 show gains in Language Arts, for seven grade levels with two declines and overall a three percentile point gain. If continuing student scores are examined, gains were posted by three grades, no change was observed for three grades and declines were posted for two. The overall change was a positive two percentile points.

Examination of average scores posted in mathematics shows seven gains, one decline and one no change when the data in table 21 is examined. There was an overall gain of two percentile points on average. When graduating class groups are examined (see table 24) the scores show four gains, one no change and three declines but an overall gain of two percentile points was posted.

These tables indicate that in Reading, the School Board goal of progress for all students was not achieved. However the goal was achieved in Language Arts and Mathematics.



Table 19 Comparison of Percentile Rank of Reading Mean NCE by Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Grade	All	All		
	Students	Students		
<u> </u>	95-96	96-97		
Third	63	63		
Fourth	64	64		
Fifth	63	62		
Sixth	61	61		
Seventh	64	64		
Eighth	63	63		
Ninth	60	62		
Tenth	66	62		
Eleventh	58	58		
Combined	63	62		

Table 20 Comparison of Percentile Rank of Language Arts Mean NCE by
Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Grade	All	All
	Students 95-96	Students 96-97
Third	51	55
Fourth	56	63
Fifth	66	67
Sixth	63	66
Seventh	5 <i>7</i>	60
Eighth	55	57
Ninth	55	58
Tenth	57	55
Eleventh	53	51
Combined	57	60

Table 21 Comparison of Percentile Rank of Mathematics Mean NCE by Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Grade	All	All
	Students 95-96	Students 96-97
Third	62	63
Fourth	62	69
Fifth	61	63
Sixth	67	68
Seventh	64	66
Eighth	62	64
Ninth	66	67
Tenth	66	65
Eleventh	63	63
Combined	64	66



Table 22 Comparison of Percentile Rank of Reading Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Class	Grade 95-96	Grade 96-97	Percentile Rank 95-96	Percentile Rank 96-97
2005	3	4	65	66
2004	4	5	65	63
2003	5	6	64	63
2002	6	7	62	66
2001	7	8	67	65
2000	8	9	66	63
1999	9	10	62	64
1998	10	11	69	61
Combined			65	64

Table 23 Comparison of Percentile Rank of Language Arts Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Class	Grade 95-96	Grade 96-97	Percentile Rank 95-96	Percentile Rank 96-97
2005	3	4	53	64
2004	4	5	58	69
2003	5	6	67	67
2002	6	7	64	62
2001	7	8	60	60
2000	8	9	57	60
1999	9	10	58	50
1998	10	11	60	54
Combined			60	62

Table 24 Comparison of Percentile Rank of Mathematics Mean NCE by Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Class	Grade 95-96	Grade 96-97	Percentile Rank 95-96	Percentile Rank 96-97
2005	3	4	64	70
2004	4	5	64	65
2003	5	6	62	70
2002	6	7	68	68
2001	7	8	67	65
2000	8	9	65	69
1999	9	10	<i>7</i> 0	68
1998	10	11	<i>7</i> 0	66
Combined			66	68



C

• The cross-grade level spelling "Percentile Rank Score" will be increased by three percentile points while maintaining or improving in all other areas.

The third academic goal for the District was in the area of spelling. The goal was a three percentile gain across all grade levels. Again, two tables have been prepared, data for all students tested and scores of the students assessed both in 1995-96 and 1996-97. The first table shows that seven grade levels posted gains, one no change, and one decline. There was an overall gain of two percentile points.

The second table, which shows data for students measured both years. Three groups posted gains, two show no change and three declines were observed. The overall or combined score in 1995-96 was 50th percentile with the 1996-97 score being 53rd percentile. This indicates that for the continuing students spelling score or average rose to meet the goal of 3 percentile point gain. These results for spelling are both in the right direction when the combined scores are the issue. In one case the gain is 2 and the other 3. The results are mixed but positive in the area of spelling.

Another positive indication of general improvement is the increase in Writing Mechanics shown by all grade levels (see Table 28). The score reflects improvements in spelling as well as the other areas such as grammar, capitalization and punctuation.

Table 25

Comparison of Percentile Rank of Spelling Mean NCE by
Grade for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Grade	All Students	
Third	95-96	96-97
	49	52
Fourth	54	58
Fifth	53	54
Sixth	51	51
Seventh	47	50
Eighth	48	49
Ninth	51	52
Tenth	53	50
Eleventh	44	46
Combined	50	52

Table 26

Comparison of Percentile Rank of Spelling Mean NCE by
Graduating Class for 1995-96 and 1996-97

Class	Grade 95-96	Grade 96-97	Percentile Rank 95-96	Percentile Rank 96-97
2005	3	4	51	60
2004	4	5	56	55
2003	5	6	55	53
2002	6	7	52	52
2001	7	8	49	50
2000	8	9	50	54
1999	9	10	52	5 4 52
1998	10	11	55	48
Combined			50	53



Supportive Learning Environment

We will establish a supportive learning environment by providing safe and caring schools which are barrier-free, by promoting health and wellness, and by collaborating with other community agencies where appropriate.

• We will implement community-wide activities that will:

-focus on increasing parental and community awareness of the critical role families play in the academic success of students.

The Joyce Epstein model for improving school-family-community connections, which has been adopted by the Department of Education, has been introduced to secondary teams. The focus of the model is on six major areas: parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at home, decision making, and collaborating with community. Through this model the District is making significant progress towards accomplishing this goal and by reinforcing current practices and providing additional support and training the goal can be attained.

The District inventoried the numerous activities currently happening in the areas of parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at home, decision making and collaborating with the community at the elementary school, middle school, high school, and District levels. Substantial activities took place in each area at each level.

These activities were planned during the 600 person Anchorage Education Summit and will continue on during the 1997-98 school year. Representatives of all schools met to plan their activities with community and business leaders.

-create positive community relations and understanding of issues critical to the Anchorage School District.

The District continued it's Budget Review Team meetings with members of the community, PTA, and District to review the 1996-97 budget and help departments compile a budget for the 1997-98 school year.

Every school will have at least one School/Business Partnership.

All schools in the Anchorage School District had at least one Business/School Partnership with a total of 261 throughout the District. Numerous schools had more than one and King Career Center had the largest number with a total of 18.

Two hundred and fifty-eight Business/School Partnerships will be continuing in the 1997-98 school year. Two businesses closed their doors leaving only one partnership which was not continued. It appears the partnerships are very strong.

A more detailed report on the attainment of non-academic goals was presented to the School Board on August 18, 1997. A copy of Board Memo #10(97-98) is available from the Anchorage School District, Community Education Department.



Question: How well did Anchorage students perform on the <u>Curriculum Referenced Tests</u> administered during the 1996-97 school year?

Answer: Curriculum Referenced Tests (CRTs) look beyond the national norm referenced tests to focus on skills and performances which are important to the Anchorage curriculum and are not easily measured on selected response (multiple choice) tests. These tests include items specifically selected by Anchorage teachers and curriculum experts, student work products such as writing samples, and ratings of actual student performances. In general, these tests try to go beyond simple factual recall to include demonstrations of what students can actually do. Scores reflect the ability of students to meet the high expectations of the Anchorage School District.

For 1996-97, the writing assessment continued with grades 5, 7 and 10 being assessed in late January. This was done to be consistent with the Alaska Statewide Student Writing Assessment and to allow the return of scored writing samples to teachers and students prior to the end of the school year. The scoring process continued to utilize Anchorage teachers to evaluate papers and the same analytical scoring technique which has been in use in Anchorage for the past six years.

To master a CRT test objective requires a minimum score of 70 percent correct on the items representing the objective or a performance judged as meeting minimum acceptable performance at grade level. Anchorage educators expect quality performance from students and ratings of mastery are hard to achieve.

The percentage of objectives mastered generally falls below the 70 percent mastery expected. Social Studies mastery was attained by 69.4 percent of the students. Science objective mastery reaches 55.3 percent.

Discussion: The performance levels of the samples of students tested during the 1996-97 are shown in Tables 27 and 28. Data included in Table 27 reflect the objective referenced tests administered in spring 1996. For each test, the number of students tested, and test performance in terms of mastery levels are provided.

In this analysis, a student was determined to have mastered an objective if the student correctly answered 70 percent or more of the test items covering the objective. Since an objective was typically measured by four items, either three or four correct responses were usually required to attain mastery. The current mastery level was selected to obtain a low percentage of "false masters" or students who were able to be certified as masters on the basis of guessing alone.

Various factors affect student mastery. The principal factor is the content of instruction and degree to which individual teachers follow the Board approved Anchorage School District curriculum.

A Writing assessment was administered to samples of students in grades 5, 7 and 10 in January 1997. Students were asked to provide an example of their writing in response to a prompt. Their works were scored using a procedure called Analytic Scoring. This process produced scores for each paper in six different areas:

- Ideas and Content
- Voice
- Sentence Structure

- Organization
- Effective Word Choice
- Writing Conventions

Each paper was read and scored by two or more raters, usually teachers experienced with students at that grade level. Scores assigned by raters range from one, the lowest score, to five, the highest score. The average of the individual trait scores for the two readers of a single paper produced scores in the range of one to five for each trait. Those averaged scores are reflected in the distribution of scores provided in Table 28.



Most of the samples were judged to be written at an average or above levels for all six traits for the 5th, 7th and 10th graders. These performances are higher than prior year's results, though no direct comparison may be made since there was a change in the writing assignments as well as teams of scorers.

The score of three on the Writing Score Scale was chosen as significant because it was the score assigned to a middle quality rated paper. As can be seen from Table 28, 62 percent or more of the students in grades 5, 7 and 10 were attaining this rating or higher on their writing samples.

Organization, the area which includes order in which ideas are presented, was the lowest rated area.

Voice is the sense of character of the author and is a strength for 5th, 7th and 10th grade students. Word choice and voice were the strong areas for most students at grade 5. At grade 7 and 10, all areas were identified as strengths with more than 70 percent performing at or above expectation: Ideas and Content, Organization, Voice, Effective Word Choice, and Sentence Structure except for the 7th grade organization percentage of 63.6%. Writing Conventions and Organization were the weakest areas.

Overall, the CRT performances of the students tested in 1996-97 ranged from low to satisfactory. Writing performance continues to be a highlight though from 9 percent to 40 percent of the students are performing below expectation in one or another of the areas assessed across the grades. The improvement in Writing Conventions is consistent with the strong CAT performance in Total Language Arts and the improving performance in CAT spelling. The Writing Assessment performance in Organization is special area of concern.

Writing performance scores for 1996-97 may have been affected by changes to the Alaska Statewide Writing Assessment process more than in years because of the increased volume of rural students papers in the scoring process. In other words, there may have been an effect on our students due to the comparison with others across Alaska, may have resulted in Anchorage student papers looking better relative to the other papers read.

Performance on the draft science and social studies tests must be viewed carefully. These are tests in progress which will continue to be refined over the next year. Initial performance, however indicates our students are coming close to the overall 70% mastery standard in the multiple choice areas though they are still far from the desired 70% in the performance areas where they must apply what they know. Practice and instruction will improve their performances.



Table 27

CRT Performance by Grade Level Spring 1997

Content	Grade	Number Tested	Less Than Mastery	Mastery	Greater Than Mastery
Science	8	506	44.7	32.0	23.3
Social Stud	ies 8	360	30.6	40.0	29.4
Social Stud Performance		305	55.5	23.9	20.7
Social Stud Performance		320	75.3	17.2	7.5

Table 28

Percentage Distribution of Writing Scores by Grade Level CRT Testing Spring 1997

Grade	Area	Number Sampled	Below Expectation	Meeting or Exceeding Expectation
5	Ideas and Content	2,841	29.1	70.6
	Organization	·	39.8	60.0
	Voice		19.5	80.4
	Effective Word Choice		24.5	<i>7</i> 5.4
	Sentence Fluency		30.3	69.6
	Writing Conventions		30.2	69.6
7	Ideas and Content	2,374	28.8	71.2
	Organization		36.3	63.6
	Voice .		20.0	80.0
	Effective Word Choice		29.7	70.3
	Sentence Fluency		29.9	70.1
	Writing Conventions		28.4	71.6
10	Ideas and Content	2,116	13.6	86.2
	Organization		21.0	78.8
	Voice		8.6	91.2
	Effective Word Choice		10.6	89.1
	Sentence Fluency		13.1	86.6
	Writing Conventions		15.7	84.0



Question: What does the Integrated Language Arts and Social Studies Grade 4 Jamestown performance assessment unit show?

Answer: Anchorage is just starting in the development of assessments of student's performance on actual classroom tasks that are integrated into the instructional process. Initial results from our first integrated instruction and assessment unit show that while substantial numbers of Anchorage students are able to demonstrate the skills they need to perform important academic tasks, a number of students still need to improve.

The Jamestown Assessment Unit pilot test was continued by seventeen teachers and schools in Spring 1997. It demonstrates that an integrated instructional unit can generate consistent information on how well Anchorage students have mastered skills such as listening, map making, reading, cataloguing information, and writing.

The baseline data collected from the pilot test showed that not all students are able to do what must be done to be successful in language arts and social studies. The initial findings suggest that we should continue to take a close look at areas such as listening skills and the ability to edit and improve written work.

Discussion: The Jamestown Assessment Unit was developed to be taught toward the end of the third quarter of fourth grade. It provides a rich and interesting introduction to the English colonization of North America. It is supported by a discussion in the grade four textbook. Additional background materials for teachers include curriculum materials provided by the Center for Social Studies Education at UCLA. Assessment activities for students include materials provided by the National Park Service and Jamestown Historical Park.

The specific skills assessed were identified as important by teams of teachers who worked to identify what students should know and be able to do in Social Studies and Language Arts at the end of grade 4. These teachers emphasized the selection of skills beyond those measured on standardized tests with simple fact oriented multiple-choice questions. They suggested that we focus on skills such as listening, speaking, writing, and complex problem solving related to the specific content areas. Specific language arts and social studies tasks were developed by the Assessment and Evaluation Department working in conjunction with Curriculum Coordinators, Teachers, and University of Alaska Research Assistants.

The performance tasks students do demonstrate the skills that are needed for success in school. Students engage in the same type of work that they are called upon to perform as part of their every day learning and are graded based on the quality of their performance relative to expectations developed for all students. Tasks are presented as part of integrated social studies and language arts lessons on Jamestown.

The performance tasks included in the Jamestown Unit differ from every day class work in a number of ways. Assignments are keyed to important skills which all students are expected to be able to perform. Teachers are prepared and directed to present assignments to students in the same way so that all students have an equal chance to demonstrate their skills. Work samples are observed, collected, or recorded in a standard way by each student in their Jamestown Journal. Grading is done relative to a common scale which is based on exemplary student work.

Teachers are asked to score student work using Districtwide standards. Samples of work are then rescored by teams of trained evaluators including teachers familiar with our students. Scoring is checked to make certain that it is consistent from student to student. Teachers are provided with reports of their scores and the scores assigned by the trained scoring team.



 $_{31}$ 43

Students learn a substantial amount of information about Jamestown and the early relations between English settlers and Native Americans. Students participate in a number of engaging individual and small group activities over the course of four to six days. Teachers control the time schedule and are free to do as much enrichment as they wish. Teachers are encouraged to be creative and to engage students in learning. Pilot test teachers covered the unit in from 4 to 6 days and spent from 1 to 3 hours on some of the integrated language arts/social studies lessons. Some individual lessons cover more than one day and may include homework activities.

The first assessment element is a listening. Students are asked to listen carefully and write down the information they will need to draw a map of Jamestown. The teacher reads a letter from a young Virginia girl to a London friend which describes the developing settlement and some of the hardships faced by a child. The number of correctly recorded physical features are counted. The correctly identified features ranged from 0 to 21, which was converted to a scale score ranging from one to five with three representing expected performance. Forty-nine percent of the students met or exceeded the expected performance level.

The second assessment calls for map making skills. Prior information includes what was learned from the listening assignment, a video and other text materials. To be judged proficient, the student must produce a map that includes a number of critical details as well as a completed legend, compass rose, and title. Scale scores from one to five were assigned to the student performances. The score of three is the expected level. Fifty-four percent of the students met or exceeded expectation in the map making area.

The third assessment examines reading comprehension. Students read a series of short newspaper articles. Successful students must integrate information from various articles to answer five multiple choice questions and one open-ended short answer. More than seventy percent, half of the students were successful in meeting or exceeding the expected performance of three on a five point scale. Twenty-four percent of the students were able to attain a score of three or more on the open-ended item. Strong performance on the multiple choice questions is consistent with grade four performance on the CAT tests. The problem with integration and application of the information gained from reading in the short answer question is a concern.

The fourth assessment calls for the individual to examine a picture of a Jamestown artifact and complete an archeological catalogue entry. Eighty-six percent of the group had the expected score of three or higher.

Groups of students then combined information from the catalogue entries and used their knowledge of Jamestown to complete a group decision making assignment. Individuals then completed a sheet supporting the group decision. Fifty-one percent were successful.

Students then participated in a writing assessment which called for writing an essay (79 percent completed the essay), participated in a peer editing and revision session (85 percent were successful), and produced a revised essay (79 percent successful). The revised essays were then scored for quality by teachers and a trained ratter group. Rubric based scoring resulted in evidence that most students are able to demonstrate the expected competence in writing.

There was about an overall 80 percent level of consistency between scoring by classroom teachers and trained raters. Some teachers tended to give higher scores than others but among the trained raters there was over 90 percent agreement about the scoring of student work.



Grade 4
Integrated Performance Assessment
Language Arts and Social Studies

Table 29

A consequent A was	NT1	n 1		
Assessment Area	Number	Below	Meets	Above
`		Expectation	Expectation	Expectation
Listening	314	52.2%	35.4%	14.0%
Map Making	328	46.0%	40.6%	13.4%
Reading/Analysis Multiple Choice	328	26.8%	38.7%	34.4%
Reading/Analysis Written Response	271	76.0%	19.2%	4.8%
Archeologist	347	13.7%	28.0%	58.4%
Group Process	326	48.5%	21.5%	30.1%
Writing Process	358	5.0%	7.0%	88.0%
Editing Process	328	30.8%	28.4%	40.9%
Ideas	327	36.7%	39.4%	23.8%
Writing Conventions	328	38.7%	35.7%	25.6%



45

Question: How well did Anchorage seniors perform on college entrance examinations in 1996-97?

Answer: Anchorage students who plan to go to college often take either or both of the national college entrance examinations, the Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) or the American College Testing (ACT) program test. The SAT is more commonly required by colleges in the western United States and is taken by more Anchorage graduates than the ACT. In 1996-97, Educational Testing Service reported scores for 1,317 Anchorage seniors on the SAT, 57 percent of the graduating class. American College Testing reported scores for 606 graduates on the ACT, 26 percent of the graduating class. The tests are voluntary and only reflect the performance of (mostly) college-bound students. Looking across the country, the percentage of seniors taking college entry exams ranged from about 5 percent in Mississippi to 80 percent in Massachusetts (Harvard Educational Review, 1995). The proportion of students taking the test has a substantial affect on average scores.

Anchorage seniors do well on both the ACT and SAT. Performance is above the national average on both tests and above the average of students from other Alaska schools. The Anchorage SAT averages of 522 on Verbal, 527 on Mathematics, and 1,049 on SAT Total are above the national averages of 505, 511 and 1,016, respectively. The ACT averages for Anchorage of 21.8 for English, 23.6 for Mathematics, and 23.1 for Composite are above the national averages of 20.3, 20.6, and 21.0 respectively, and above the average scores for the rest of Alaska.

National scores have increased slightly over the past five years as have those of Anchorage. This may be related to the increased number of test takers who report taking a core of college preparatory courses. ACT scores for students who report having taken at least four years of English, three years of Mathematics, three years of Social Studies, and three years of Natural Sciences show that the better prepared students have scores which are about 12 percent higher (on average) than students without this core.

Anchorage students' above average ACT and SAT scores demonstrate the efficiency of the District's preparation program for college bound students. This preparation curriculum assists Anchorage students in generally doing better on the exams than other students from within Alaska and students from across the United States. The results provide strong evidence that our college bound students can and do receive a solid education in the Anchorage School District.

When scores are compared with those of five years ago, an increase is seen for both Anchorage and the United States. When compared with last year, the SAT and ACT English scores are down slightly. The SAT Total is down from 1,056 to 1,059 and the ACT Composite is the same at 23.1. The proportion of graduates taking the SAT has increased while the proportion taking the ACT has declined.

Discussion: Tables 30 and 31 provide the average ACT and SAT scores for the members of the class of 1997 who elected to take the tests. Over half of the Anchorage students elected to take one or both of the college entry exams as high school juniors or seniors. These students indicate that their likely choices of colleges are the University of Alaska Anchorage or public schools in Washington or Oregon.

Table 30 presents the Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) results for 1996-97. Anchorage students average score of 522 in Verbal was above the national average of 505 and above the average of 519 for the rest of Alaska. The Mathematics score of 527 was above the national average of 511 and the average for the rest of Alaska of 510. The Total Score of 1,049 was above the national average of 1,016 and the average for the rest of Alaska of 1,029. The SAT scale has a standard deviation of 100.

Table 31 presents the American College Testing Program, ACT, results for 1996-97. Anchorage students' average score of 21.8 in English is above the national average of 20.3 and the average for the rest of Alaska of 19.2. The Anchorage Mathematics average of 23.6 is above the national average of 20.6 and the average for the rest of Alaska of 19.7. The Composite average of 23.1 is above the national average of 21.0 and the average for the rest of Alaska of 19.4. These differences are positive and notable though they are not large. Numerically, the standard deviation on the ACT is only 4.5 points



ACT and SAT achievement above that of the nation has been the pattern for Anchorage over the past 10 years. Tables 32 and 33 show the ACT and SAT scores since 1992-93. The proportion of students taking the college entrance examinations has increased over the years with the percent taking the SAT being relatively stable in the 50 percent to 57 percent range and students taking the ACT increasing from about 20 percent to about 30 percent of graduating seniors.

The increase in the popularity of the ACT over recent years may reflect an increased interest in the University of Alaska for Anchorage students. The University of Alaska Anchorage is now the most frequent college choice reported by our Anchorage students.

Table 34 is based on information about college preparation supplied by students when they take the ACT test. Students report the courses they have taken and ACT compares scores of those who have completed the college preparation core, four years of English and three years or more for each of math, social science and natural science, and those who have not. Anchorage students score higher than the comparable national groups for both the groups. The students who have completed the core classes do better than those who have not completed the core. New Anchorage graduation requirements coming into effect for the "Class of 2000" will likely increase the number of students who have completed the academic core in the future.

The College Board, Educational Testing Service, and American College Testing are careful in cautioning users of college entry test scores that data is based on a self-selected group of students which does not reflect the ethnic, cultural, and income characteristics of the student population as a whole. Anchorage college-bound students who take these tests do well. They out perform the national average and the average for the rest of Alaska. We can infer from these results that the Anchorage academic program provides an education with enough rigor and quality that our students who take these tests are prepared to meet the challenges they will face at college.



Table 30

Anchorage School District Average (Mean) SAT Scores by Geographic Region 1996-97 Seniors

Geographic	Number		Average SAT Scores			
Region	Tested	<u>Verb</u> al	Mathematics	Total		
Anchorage	1,317	522	527	1,049		
Alaska (Excluding Anchorage)	1,958	519	510	1,029		
laska (Including Anchorage)	3,275	520	517	1,037		
ation	1,127,021	505	511	1,016		

Table 31

Anchorage School District Average (Mean) ACT Scores by Geographic Region 1996-97 Seniors

Geographic	Number	A	verage Enhanced ACT Scor	es			
Region	Tested	English	English Mathematics				
Anchorage	606	21.8	23.6	23.1			
Alaska (Excluding Anchorage)	1,583	19.2	19.7	19.4			
Alaska (Including Anchorage)	2,189	19.9	20.8	21.0			
Nation	959,301	20.3	20.6	21.0			
Standard Deviation = 4.5							



Table 32

Anchorage Performances on the Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) 1992-93 through 1994-95 Estimated Scores 1995 Recentered Scale* 1995-96 and 1996-97 Recentered Scores

Anchorage Graduates Taking the SAT		Anchorage Average Scores			National Average Scores			
Year	Number	Percent	Verbal	_		Verbal		Total
1996-97	1,317	57%	522	527	1,049	505	511	1,016
1995-96	1,250	55%	527	529	1,056	505	508	1,013
1994-95	1,157	54%	528	528	1,056	504	506	1,010
1993-94	1,144	53%	512	513	1,025	499	504	1,003
1992-93	1,204	55%	517	513	1,030	500	503	1,003

^{*}Scores converted using software provided by the College Board.

Table 33

Average (Mean) American College Testing (ACT) Scores by Sub-test Area
Anchorage Seniors
1992-93 through 1996-97

	Ancho Grad Taking t	uates	Enhanced Average ACT Scores			National
Year	Number	Percent	English	<u>Math</u>	Composite	Composite
1996-97	606	26%	21.8	23.6	23.1	21.0
1995-96	681	30%	22.0	22.7	23.1	20.9
1994-95	690	32%	21.9	22.4	22.8	20.8
1993-94	612	28%	21.8	21.9	22.6	20.8
1992-93	630	29%	21.8	21.9	22.6	20.7



Table 34

Anchorage School District Average ACT Scores by Level of **Academic Preparation** Anchorage - Nation 1996-97 School Year

		Anchora	ge	Nation	
Content Area Group		Number Tested	Average	Number Tested	Average
English	Core or More *	365	23.1	566.141	21.5
	Less than Core	227	19.8	361,947	18.6
Math	Core or More *	365	25.4	566,141	21.8
	Less than Core	227	20.9	361,947	18.7
Reading	Core or More *	365	25.1	566,141	22.5
-	Less than Core	227	22.1	361,947	19.5
Science	Core or More *	365	24.0	566,141	22.1
	Less than Core	227	21.1	361,947	19.6
Composite	Core or More *	365	24.5	566,141	22.1
	Less than Core	227	21.0	361,947	19.3

Notes:





^{*} Core: English -- 4+ years; Mathematics -- 3+ years; Social Science -- 3+ years; Natural Sciences -- 3+ years

^{** 62} percent of Anchorage ACT test takers reported having completed an academic core. 60 percent of the national group of test takers reported having completed an academic core.

Question: What is the relationship between family economic status and student achievement?

Answer: While there is no simple causal relationship between family economic status and achievement, there are many factors which relate family economic status to school success. Families with more money have more to spend on books and experiences which enrich the intellectual life of children outside of school. Students from affluent families often have the experience of pre-school, have private places to study, and have access to books and computers. Poverty tends to reduce prenatal care and other health care. School programs that serve students in less affluent areas may have more barriers to overcome.

Discussion: Tables 35, 36, 37, and 38 show a clear pattern in the CAT Total Reading, Total Language Arts, Total Mathematics, and Total Battery scores for those students who qualify for free or reduced lunch prices. Average scores for students eligible for free lunch range from the 32nd to the 45th percentile depending on grade and test area. Average scores for students eligible for reduced price lunches range from the 44th to the 61st percentile. Average scores for students not eligible for free and reduced lunch range from the 61st to the 75th percentile. While individual students on free or reduced lunch may score at any level, the relation of low family income and lower achievement forms a persistent and obvious pattern.

School profiles presented in a later section of the report show that some Anchorage schools have substantial numbers of children who are being raised in poverty. This is one of the many problems affecting students which makes the process of education more difficult.

Tables 39 and 40 show the percentage of students eligible for free and reduced price lunch in each Anchorage elementary and middle level school. The percentage of students ranges from less than 5 percent in the most affluent areas to over 90 percent in the least. Twenty-four of our elementary schools now have at least one child in three on free and reduced lunch and the proportion is more than one in two in eleven schools.

While the Anchorage School District is making a substantial effort to enrich and support children and schools impacted by poverty through Even Start, Title I, and the District's own under-achieving schools initiative; the problem remains substantial. The differences in academic achievement for students and heavily impacted schools are notable and a continuing challenge.



Table 35 **CAT Total Reading Scores** by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997

Grade Level	Information	Not Eligible for F/R Lunch	Eligible for Reduced Lunch	Eligible for Free Lunch
Grade 3	Percentile	70	50	39
	Number Tested	2,681	227	843
Grade 4	Percentile	70	54	40
	Number Tested	2,482	244	712
Grade 5	Percentile	69	49	36
	Number Tested	2,590	237	742
Grade 6	Percentile	66	49	36
	Number Tested	2,744	191	632
Grade 7	Percentile	68	49	36
	Number Tested	2,592	174	568
Grade 8	Percentile	66	50	38
	Number Tested	2,495	149	436

Table 36 **CAT Total Language Arts Scores** by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997

Grade Level	Information	Not Eligible for F/R Lunch	Eligible for Reduced Lunch	Eligible for Free Lunch
Grade 3	Percentile	61	44	32
	Number Tested	2,672	223	831
Grade 4	Percentile	68	53	40
	Number Tested	2,482	243	717
Grade 5	Percentile	73	60	45
	Number Tested	2,593	241	744
Grade 6	Percentile	71	52	42
	Number Tested	2,744	191	630
Grade 7	Percentile	65	45	32
	Number Tested	2,595	171	572
Grade 8	Percentile	61	45	33
	Number Tested	2,468	147	434



Table 37 **CAT Total Mathematics Scores** by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997

Grade Level	Information	Not Eligible for F/R Lunch	Eligible for Reduced Lunch	Eligible for Free Lunch
Grade 3	Percentile	68	53	41
	Number Tested	2,680	223	836
Grade 4	Percentile	<i>7</i> 5	61	45
	Number Tested	2,489	243	710
Grade 5	Percentile	69	53	41
	Number Tested	2,588	240	742
Grade 6	Percentile	74	50	45
	Number Tested	2,745	191	631
Grade 7	Percentile	70	53	40
	Number Tested	2,605	174	573
Grade 8	Percentile	67	50	36
	Number Tested	2,469	150	429

Table 38 **CAT Total Battery Scores** by Lunch Eligibility Status Spring 1997

Grade		Not Eligible	Eligible for	Eligible for
Level	Information	for F/R Lunch	Reduced Lunch	Free Lunch
Grade 3	Percentile	77	45	0.5
Grade 3		67	45	35
	Number Tested	2,651	217	820
Grade 4	Percentile	72	55	39
	Number Tested	2,470	243	698
	2	- , -, 0	210	070
Grade 5	Percentile	73	54	40
	Number Tested	2,570	236	735
Grade 6	Percentile	71	50	38
	Number Tested	2,731	191	623
Grade 7	Percentile	67	47	32
	Number Tested	2,558	169	552
Grade 8	Percentile	66	47	32
	Number Tested	2,430	146	422



Table 39 Free and Reduced Price Lunch - Elementary School End-of-Year 1997

			
	Number of Children	Number of	Percent of
School	Enrolled	Children from	Children from
Abbott Loop	504	Low Income Families * 132	Low Income Families *
Airport Heights	342	147	26.2%
Alpenglow	550	34	43.0% 6.2%
Baxter	488	144	29.5%
Bayshore	509	50	9.8%
Bear Valley	488	23	4.7%
Birchwood	35 <i>7</i>	46	12.9%
Bowman	667	82	12.3%
Campbell	539	88	16.3%
Chester Valley	331	122	36.9%
Chinook	570	166	29.1%
Chugach Optional	271	17	6.3%
Chugiak Elementary	632	115	18.2%
College Gate Creekside	451 399	157	34.8%
Denali ¹	501	176	44.1%
Eagle River	438	159 116	31.7%
Fairview ^{1,2}	440	371	26.5%
Fire Lake	450	76	84.3%
Girdwood	118	, ° °	16.9% 7.6%
Government Hill ¹	326	180	55.2%
Homestead	529	25	4.7%
Huffman	476	$\overline{14}$	2.9%
Inlet View	261	50	19.2%
Kasuun	602	123	20.4%
Kennedy ¹	347	179	51.6%
Klatt	539	168	31.2%
Kincaid	487	62	12.7%
Lake Hood	473	100	21.1%
Lake Otis Mt. Spurr	532 298	201	37.8%
Mt. View ¹	419	39 381	13.1%
Muldoon ¹	416	381 275	90.9%
North Star ^{1,2}	463	340	66.1% 73.4%
Northern Lights	364	18	73.4% 4.9%
Northwood	401	148	36.9%
Nunaka Valley	374	169	45.2%
Ocean View	403	40	9.9%
O'Malley	574	18	3.1%
Orion	636	222	34.9%
Ptarmigan ^{1,3}	346	200	57.8%
Rabbit Creek	504	43	8.5%
Ravenwood	445	13	2.9%
Rogers Park Russian Jack¹	611 420	94	15.4%
Sand Lake	569	269 71	64.0%
Scenic Park	577	71 97	12.5%
Spring Hill	593	127	16.8%
Susitna	674	159	21.4%
Taku ³	457	206	23.6% 45.1%
Tudor	591	206	34.9%
Turnagain	432	118	27.3%
Tyson ^T	370	310	83.8%
Ursa Major¹	429	180	42.0%
Ursa Minor ¹	256	126	49.2%
Williwaw ¹	565	415	73.5%
Willow Crest ³	506	202	39.9%
Wonder Park	483 575	291	60.2%
Gladys Wood	575 266	138	24.0%
Polaris TOTAL	266 27.634	9	3.4%
	27,634	8,256	29.9%

* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.

1 - Title I 2 - Even Start 3 - ASD Under-achieving



Free and Reduced Price Lunch - Middle Level End-of-Year 1997

Table 40

	Number of	Number of	Percent of
	Children	Children from	Children from
School	Enrolled	Low Income Families *	Low Income Families *
Benson/Search	96	27	28.1%
Central	<i>7</i> 53	228	30.3%
Clark ¹	879	460	52.3%
Denali (Montessori)	35	6	17.1%
Girdwood	26	2	7.7%
Gruening	1,021	100	9.8%
Hanshew	1,286	121	9.4%
Mears	1,126	192	17.1%
Polaris	228	$\frac{1}{2}$.9%
Romig ³	571	179	31.3%
Steller	298	i	.3%
Wendler	1,129	261	23.1%
Total	7,448	1,579	21.2%

* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.

1 - Title I 2 - Even Start 3 - ASD Under-achieving





Question: What is the relationship between student achievement and the length of time a student has been enrolled in the Anchorage School District?

Answer: Students who are more stable tend to do better than students who move. This assertion is bom out through the examination of Tables 41, 42, 43, and 44 which compare CAT performance of students who are in their first or second year in Anchorage schools with those continuing students who are in their third or fourth year or have had five or more years with the District. The average scores of students new to Anchorage are below the average of those who have been with us for a few years. It is also generally the case that those who have been in Anchorage schools five or more years do better than those who have been here for only three of four years.

Students who come to Anchorage are at or above the national average by the time they are tested in the spring of their first or second year. Average scores for all Anchorage students in Reading range from the 58th percentile to the 64th. New students scores range from the 51st to the 63rd.

The pattern of higher scores in Reading, Language Arts, Total Mathematics, and the Total Battery supports the argument that students who have continued exposure to the Anchorage curriculum and to instruction provided by Anchorage teachers do well. Students who are in their third or fourth year do better than students in their first or second year, and students who have been here five or more years do best of all.

Discussion: Tables 41, 42, 43, and 44 show the same pattern of higher performance in Reading, Language Arts, Mathematics, and the Total Battery scores for Anchorage students who have had longer tenures in the Anchorage schools. The tables do not examine the relationship between mobility within Anchorage and achievement. Bilingual students who do not speak English may be excluded from CAT testing for their first three years in an English speaking school. Exclusion of these students from the first and second year group and inclusion of these students in the third and fourth and fifth year and more groups has an impact on the Reading and Language Arts scores.

All of the third grade students who started with the District other than those who have been held back or were enrolled in Special Education Pre-School programs are in their third of fourth year. The third grade students who are in their fifth year are generally students who have been held back or they are Special Education students who have an Individual Education Plan which includes standardized testing. Most Special Education students who need academic support are excluded from standardized testing. These students are assessed with instruments appropriate to their level of development and their progress is tracked as part of IEP process. With an emphasis on the inclusion of Special Education students in regular school activities, the number of Special Education students tested on norm referenced tests has been increasing across the District.

The difference in percentile rank scores between new and continuing students ranges from -1 to +10 with a median difference of about +5 percentile points. This is a notable difference in a District as large, diverse, and mobile as the Anchorage School District. It is a reassurance that we here in Anchorage may do it differently than it is done "outside," but we are doing it in such a way that students who stick with us are doing better (on average) than the students who come to join us. Reading and Mathematics are the areas where the differences between new and ongoing students are the most notable suggesting that the emphasis placed on those areas is paying off in higher achievement.



Table 41 Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Reading Spring 1997

Grade		First or	Third or	Fifth Year	
.evel	Information	Second Year	Fourth Year	or More	Total
Grade 3*	Percentile	59	66	45	63
	Number Tested	580	2,854	317	3,751
Grade 4	Percentile	63	64	64	64
	Number Tested	488	509	2,441	3,438
Grade 5	Percentile	58	60	62	62
	Number Tested	478	418	2,673	3,569
Grade 6	Percentile	57	55	62	61
	Number Tested	439	382	2,746	3,567
Grade 7	Percentile	55	62	65	64
	Number Tested	428	351	2,555	3,334
Grade 8	Percentile	62	59	64	63
	Number Tested	330	313	2,407	3,050
Grade 9	Percentile	61	61	62	62
	Number Tested	328	292	2,427	3,047
Grade 10	Percentile	57	60	64	62
	Number Tested	320	254	2,291	2,865
Grade 11	Percentile	51	51	60	58
	Number Tested	213	215	1,916	2,344

The "third or fourth year" column includes some students who are actually in their fifth year, or beyond. Students in grade 3 who have been enrolled for five or more years have been held back or were identified as having special needs and enrolled in pre-school.



Table 42 Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Language Arts Spring 1997

Grade		First or	Third or	Fifth Year	
Level	Information	Second Year	Fourth Year	or More	Total
Grade 3*	Percentile	54	58	36	55
	Number Tested	572	2,837	317	3,726
Grade 4	Percentile	61	63	63	63
	Number Tested	490	508	2,444	3,442
Grade 5	Percentile	65	67	68	67
	Number Tested	482	420	2,667	3,578
Grade 6	Percentile	61	61	67	66
	Number Tested	437	382	2,745	3,565
Grade 7	Percentile	53	60	61	60
	Number Tested	429	350	2,559	3,338
Grade 8	Percentile	54	57	58	5 <i>7</i>
	Number Tested	327	314	2,408	3,049
Grade 9	Percentile	58	58	58	58
	Number Tested	328	291	2,432	3,051
Grade 10	Percentile	52	53	56	55
	Number Tested	321	255	2,301	2,877
Grade 11	Percentile	51	49	52	51
	Number Tested	214	214	1,920	2,348

The "third or fourth year" column includes some students who are actually in their fifth year, or beyond. Students in grade 3 who have been enrolled for five or more years have been held back or were identified as having special needs and enrolled in pre-school.



Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Mathematics Spring 1997

Table 43

Grade		First or	Third or	Fifth Year	
Level	Information	Second Year	Fourth Year	or More	Total
Grade 3*	Percentile	60	65	46	63
	Number Tested	572	2,850	317	3,739
Grade 4	Percentile	65	68	70	69
	Number Tested	487	510	2,445	3,442
Grade 5	Percentile	58	61	68	63
	Number Tested	483	420	2,667	3,570
Grade 6	Percentile	64	63	70	68
	Number Tested	44 0	382	2,745	3,567
Grade 7	Percentile	60	61	67	66
	Number Tested	429	352	2,571	3,352
Grade 8	Percentile	56	61	65	64
	Number Tested	328	312	2,408	3,048
Grade 9	Percentile	65	66	68	67
	Number Tested	328	290	2,430	3,048
Grade 10	Percentile	60	61	67	65
	Number Tested	322	254	2,296	2,872
Grade 11	Percentile	55	62	64	63
	Number Tested	213	217	1,916	2,346

The "third or fourth year" column includes some students who are actually in their fifth year, or beyond. Students in grade 3 who have been enrolled for five or more years have been held back or were identified as having special needs and enrolled in pre-school.



Relationship of Tenure in District and Norm-Referenced Test Performance in Total Battery Spring 1997

Table 44

Grade		First or	Third or	Fifth Year	
Level	Information	Second Year	Fourth Year	or More	Total
LCVCI	THOIR ALION	Second Tear	Tourth Tear	Of Whole	10tai
Grade 3*	Percentile	58	64	41	61
	Number Tested	563	2,814	311	3,688
Grade 4	Percentile	64	65	67	66
	Number Tested	486	505	2,420	3,411
Grade 5	Percentile	63	66	67	67
	Number Tested	475	416	2,650	3,541
Grade 6	Percentile	61	60	68	66
	Number Tested	435	381	2,729	3,545
Grade 7	Percentile	56	62	66	64
	Number Tested	424	344	2,511	3,279
Grade 8	Percentile	60	60	65	64
	Number Tested	321 .	309	2,368	3,279
Grade 9	Percentile	64	65	65	65
	Number Tested	326	290	2,411	3,027
Grade 10	Percentile	57	60	65	64
	Number Tested	318	253	2,277	2,848
Grade 11	Percentile	53	56	61	60
	Number Tested	212	213	1,897	2,322

The "third or fourth year" column includes some students who are actually in their fifth year, or beyond. Students in grade 3 who have been enrolled for five or more years have been held back or were identified as having special needs and enrolled in pre-school.



Question: What is the relation between transience during the school year and achievement?

Answer: It may be as hard on a student to change schools as it is for an adult to change jobs. It goes beyond the loss of friends and the task of getting acquainted with a new teacher. Textbooks may be different, the grading system may differ, and the curricular emphasis may not align with the prior school even though the change may be from one Anchorage school to another.

Information on performance and mobility for 1996-97 is similar to that provided in past years. Students who move during the year have notably lower scores in Reading, Language Arts, and Mathematics at all grade levels than do students who have remained in one District school during the year.

Discussion: Tables 45, 46, 47, and 48 describe the differences in average performance for Total Reading, Total Mathematics, Total Language Arts and Total Battery. In general, more than 10 percent of students at a given grade level have made at least one change of schools during the year.

Reading scores range from the 37th to the 55th percentile for students who move. Scores for students who stay in the same program for the year range from the 60th to the 65th percentile. In every case, "stable" students have higher scores; the gap is ten or more percentile points for each grade level.

The same pattern holds true for Total Language Arts, Total Mathematics and Total Battery scores. Students who move tend to have lower scores than students continuing enrollment in the same school.

The mobility of Anchorage students is one element which makes educating students more difficult. While there are many factors beyond making a change of teachers and schools which may affect the test scores of mobile students, the common element is the change of schools. Thousands of students must adapt to new schools within Anchorage each year. Schools in which there is high mobility face a more difficult job than schools where students are stable.



Table 45

Relationship of Total Reading Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997

Grade			Not	
Level	Information	Transient	Transient	Total
Grade 3	Percentile	50	65	63
	Number Tested	403	3,348	3,751
Grade 4	Percentile	55	65	64
	Number Tested	384	3,054	3,438
Grade 5	Percentile	47	63	62
	Number Tested	386	3,183	3,569
Grade 6	Percentile	46	62	61
	Number Tested	307	3,260	3,567
Grade 7	Percentile	50	65	64
	Number Tested	331	3,003	3,334
Grade 8	Percentile	44	65	63
	Number Tested	318	2,654	2,972
Grade 9	Percentile	39	64	62
	Number Tested	290	2,757	3,047
Grade 10	Percentile	43	64	62
	Number Tested	271	2,594	2,865
Grade 11	Percentile	37	60	58
	Number Tested	242	2,102	2,344



Table 46 Relationship of Total Language Arts Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997

Condo				
Grade	T (.	Not	
<u>Level</u>	Information	Transient	Transient	<u>T</u> otal
Grade 3	Percentile	43	F/	FF
Grade 3			56	55
	Number Tested	400	3,326	3,726
Grade 4	Percentile	52	64	63
	Number Tested	386	3,056	3,442
C . 1 . 5	D (1)	- 4	40	
Grade 5	Percentile	54	69	67
	Number Tested	389	3,189	3,578
Grade 6	Percentile	47	67	66
	Number Tested	308	3,257	3,565
Grade 7	Percentile	40	62	60
	Number Tested	331	3,007	3,338
Grade 8	Percentile	39	60	5 <u>8</u>
	Number Tested	319	2,652	2,971
	rumber rested	017	2,002	2,971
Grade 9	Percentile	37	60	58
	Number Tested	289	2,762	3,051
C d. 10	Percentile	22	50	
Grade 10		33	58	55
	Number Tested	274	2,603	2,877
Grade 11	Percentile	34	53	51
	Number Tested	239	2,109	2,348
				2,010



Table 47 Relationship of Total Mathematics Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997

Grade				
Level	Information	Transient	Transient	Total
Grade 3	Percentile	49	64	63
	Number Tested	395	3,344	3,739
Grade 4	Percentile	55	70	69
	Number Tested	385	3,057	3,442
Grade 5	Percentile	48	65	63
	Number Tested	390	3,180	3,570
Grade 6	Percentile	51	<i>7</i> 0	68
	Number Tested	309	3,258	3,567
Grade 7	Percentile	46	68	66
	Number Tested	332	3,020	3,352
Grade 8	Percentile	44	66	64
	Number Tested	313	2,657	2,970
Grade 9	Percentile	42	70	67
	Number Tested	291	2,757	3,048
Grade 10	Percentile	43	68	65
	Number Tested	269	2,603	2,872
Grade 11	Percentile	40	66	63
	Number Tested	241	2,105	2,346



Table 48 Relationship of Total Battery Performance to Transience During School Year Spring 1997

Grade			Not	
Level	Information	Transient		77 - 1 - 1
rever	Intormation	Transient	Transient	<u>Total</u>
Grade 3	Percentile	47	63	61
Grade	Number Tested	390	3,298	
	Number Tested	390	3,270	3,688
Grade 4	Percentile	54	68	66
	Number Tested	379	3,032	3,411
			, .	-,
Grade 5	Percentile	50	68	67
	Number Tested	383	3,158	3,541
Grade 6	Percentile	47	68	66
	Number Tested	301	3,244	3,545
Grade 7	Percentile	45	66	64
	Number Tested	313	2,966	3,279
Grade 8	Percentile	43	66	64
	Number Tested	299	2,621	2,920
Grade 9	Percentile	40	68	65
	Number Tested	282	2 <i>,</i> 745	3,027
Grade 10	Percentile	40	66	64
	Number Tested	261	2,587	2,848
Grade 11	Percentile	36	62	60
	Number Tested	233	2,089	2,322



Question: How do grades and credits relate to secondary school performance in 1996-97?

Answer: Students in Anchorage are generally graded on a four point scale with a 2.0 grade point average being the equivalent of a middle "C." Grades are the means by which teachers "pay" students for their work. Grades may be given to encourage as well as reward and may reflect effort and attitude as well as the quality of the work produced.

Anchorage high school grades are consistent with the above average basic skills performance measured on the CAT. The average grades for 10,912 students who had one or more marks assigned during 1996-97 was 2.57 or "B-." About 58 percent of the grades given were marks of "A" or "B."

Anchorage high school students attempted an average of 5.71 credits and earned an average of 5.09 credits. The average number of credits earned was 5.26 for grade 9 students, 5.11 for grade 10 students, 5.12 for grade 11 students, and 4.81 for grade 12 students. An Anchorage student requires 21 credits for graduation. An Anchorage student graduating in 2,000 requires 24 credits for graduation.

Tables 49 and 50 reflecting the performance have been added this year to reflect District emphasis on middle level education. While classes and grades do not have the same effect on matriculation that they have in grades 9-12, they are a precursor of high school performance.

Examination of Tables 51 and 52 shows that there was a slight increase in high school credits attempted and credits earned over the prior year. However, the relative number of low and unacceptable grades remains high with more than 20 percent of the grades reflecting "D" or "F" performance: Language Arts, 24 percent; Mathematics 30 percent; Science 27 percent; and Social Studies, 26 percent. Just over 10 percent of the grades earned are "Fs." There were more than 6,900 grades of "D" and 6,700 grades of "F" received last year.

The Graduation Support Service Program was initiated during the 1996-97 school year. It is an after-school program which allows students to make up credits that they did not earn during the regular day. In addition, a summer program organized in the same manner as G.S.S. was initiated during the summer of 1997. Both programs will be continued during the 1997-98 school year. In excess of 1000 students made use of the service to make up required course work without falling further behind in their regular school program.

Tables 49, 50, 51, and 52 show that most students are fully enrolled and moving forward. The overall mean grade point average of 2.7 reflects that grades are generally higher in middle level than high school. However, the rigor of the curriculum and the high expectations of teachers are reflected in rate of "D" and "F" grades.

The negative relation between poor attendance and grades remains strong with a correlation above .5. While many factors affect both grades and attendance, they are strongly linked with those students who miss school regularly tending to earn lower grades.

Discussion: Tables 49, 50, 51, and 52 present the grades, credits attempted, credits earned, grade point average, average absences and the correlation between grade point average and attendance for the 10,912 students who were issued report cards in 1996-97. The data is drawn from the District Student Information System which contains transcript information for all secondary students. Averages and standard deviations of average scores are presented to provide a sense of the range of scores. Two-thirds of the scores are within one standard deviation above or below the average. The combination of the 5.09 average credits earned grades 9-12 with the standard deviation of 1.41 shows that about two-thirds of the students would be expected to have earned between 15 and 26 credits.



66

The majority of students do, of course, earn enough credits to graduate in four years. Students who fail courses have opportunities to retake the classes and to gain the credits necessary to graduate. The opportunity to complete the credits needed has increased over the past year. Students can now make-up credits through summer school, extended day courses in their home schools after regular school hours, and non-district alternatives such as the state correspondence program. Some students also continue into a fifth year of high school.

Table 51 shows a strong secondary program with most students making adequate progress toward graduation though the average of 5.09 credits earned is not sufficient to produce the 21 credits needed for graduation for all students. Table 52 supports these averages by showing the number and percentage of various letter grades earned during the year. From 10 to 14 percent of the grades earned are "F" with over 1,300 students earning failing grades in Language Arts and 1,200 having failures in Mathematics. While there are twice as many earning grades of "A" or "B" than "D" or "F", the pattern of more than 20 percent of our secondary students earning grades of "D" or "F" has remained consistent. Students who earn such grades are not meeting the standards set by their teachers and are not demonstrating the level of performance needed for success beyond high school.

The correlation between attendance and school success remains strong. It is stronger at high school than middle level where more students make use of District transportation and attendance is higher. Keeping students present and interested continues to be the first factor in success.

It is important that we consider the experience of students who are failing and what must be done to help and encourage them to do what they must be able to do for academic success. We will continue to monitor the academic performance of Anchorage students as more rigorous course work is required in secondary courses and students are confronted with increased graduation requirements.



Table 49

Middle School Student
Class and GPA Analysis
by Grade
Spring 1997

Data Type	7	8	7-8
Number of Students	3,384	3,093	6,477
Average Courses Attempted	6.80	6.79	6.80
Average Courses Earned	6.21	6.20	6.20
Mean Grade Point Average	2.75	2.72	2.73
Average Absences per Course	4.73	5.16	4.93
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.4439	-0.4251	-0.4346

Table 50

Distribution of Attempted Classes and
Earned Marks by Area
Combined Grades 7 - 8
Spring 1997

	Total Students	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
Language Arts	7,154.25	29.81%	27.05%	20.29%	11.43%	11.42%
Mathematics	6,548.75	24.25%	28.96%	22.37%	13.29%	11.15%
Science	6,336.75	25.89%	27.57%	22.29%	12.44%	11.82%
Social Studies	6,320.75	31.92%	25.97%	19.92%	11.38%	10.81%
All Courses	44,019.50	36.87%	26.55%	18.33%	9.54%	8.72%
*Includes "F," "WF,"	and "I."					



Table 51

High School Student
Credit and GPA Analysis
by Grade
Spring 1997

					
Data Type	<u>9</u>	10	11	12	9-12
Number of Students	3,138	3,021	2,511	2,242	10,912
Average Credits Attempted	5.91	5.89	5.68	5.22	5.71
Average Credits Earned	5.26	5.11	5.12	4.81	5.09
Mean Grade Point Average	2.54	2.42	2.63	2.76	2.57
Average Absences per Course	4.64	5.44	5.79	6.29	5.47
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.5575	-0.5503	-0.4882	-0.4656	-0.5013

Table 52

Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area
Combined Grades 9 - 12
Spring 1997

	Total Credits	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
Language Arts	11,339.50	27.34%	27.68%	21.15%	12.05%	11.78%
Mathematics	9,096.25	18.40%	25.40%	26.24%	15.89%	14.07%
Science	8,516.00	22.30%	26.44%	24.07%	14.61%	12.59%
Social Studies	9,827.25	28.20%	24.61%	21.03%	13.04%	13.10%
All Courses	62,319.50	32.91%	25.31%	19.82%	11.13%	10.83%
*Includes "F," "WF,"	and "I."					



Question: What was the level of attendance for District students in 1996-97?

Answer: More students were attending Anchorage schools than ever before. Ninety-four percent of the students were in school on the average school day of 1996-97. The rate of attendance was consistent with that of prior years. Attendance has not been a systemic problem in Anchorage.

Discussion: Table 53 shows that overall attendance in Anchorage was good with an overall average of 93.8 percent during the 1996-97 school year. Attendance in Anchorage schools has been about 94 percent for the past several years. Overall level of attendance is not a major problem area. While this is a good attendance rate, it means there are between 2,500 and 3,000 students missing on the average school day.

Table 53

Anchorage School District

Five Year History of District Wide Attendance
1992-93 through 1996-97

School Year	Average Daily Membership	Average Daily Attendance	Percent Attendance
1996-97	47,500.7	44,537.9	93.8%
1995-96	47,046.9	44,133.5	93.8%
1994-95	46,881.6	44,235.3	94.4%
1993-94	46,712.1	43,934.6	94.1%
1992-93	45,878.9	43,009.3	93.8%



63 70

Question: How many students dropped out of the Anchorage School District last year?

Answer: It is difficult to accurately assess the true number of dropouts because students frequently leave school without giving their reason for leaving. Students who leave may enroll in another public school system, a private school, a home-study program, or G.E.D. program without notifying District. This is particularly true for students who complete a school year and do not reappear to enroll at the start of the following year and other programs may not request records. If a student leaves and we have no information, we consider the student to be a dropout.

Analysis of District records show that from 2.5 percent to 3.2 percent of Anchorage students have left school during the school term each of the past five years with their last known intention being to "dropout." The figure for 1996-97 was 3.08 percent, which is similar to recent years.

The dropout patterns varied by racial-ethnic group. American Native students were more likely to drop out than were students from other backgrounds. The next most likely group to drop out was Hispanic students. White students were the least likely to drop out though in absolute numbers the 846 White dropouts account for more than half of the 1,594 school year dropouts. The drop out rates for Asian and Black students increased from 1995-96 to 1996-97. Rates declined slightly for Native, Hispanic, and White students.

Analysis of the enrollment pattern of the group of students who entered ninth grade in 1993-94 and should have graduated with the class of 1997 indicates that about 14 percent of the students may have dropped out of school over their expected four year high school career. Another 13 percent left over the summer. In all, 63.4 percent of the students who started as freshmen in 1993-94 completed 12th grade in Anchorage.

Discussion: A dropout is most often thought of as an individual who leaves school without obtaining a high school diploma. When students leave school in Anchorage during the course of the school year, the school registrar reports their reason for leaving using a set of standard District codes. Table 54 is based on an analysis of students who left an Anchorage school during the school year and were coded as dropouts by school registrars between 1992-93 and 1996-97. The table shows that the number of students leaving is a small percentage of the Anchorage student body ranging from a low of 2.45 percent (1,230 students) in 1992-93 to a high of 3.17 percent in 1995-96. About 1,600 students have left school as dropouts in each of the past three years.

Table 54 shows that among the racial-ethnic groups, American Native students are the most likely to drop out during the school year. White students are the least likely to leave school as dropouts. Asian and Hispanic groups show a pattern of increased numbers of dropouts over the past five years. The drop-out rate for American Natives, Hispanics, and Whites declined from 1995-96 to 1996-97.

The identification of the actual number of dropouts is complicated by the fact that many students leave the school district during the summer months and neither the students nor their parents report that they are moving. Table 55 presents information on those students who entered high school as freshmen in September 1993 and who, with normal matriculation, would have graduated with the class of 1996-97.

There were 3,216 students who entered the freshmen class in September 1993. Of those 2,039, or 63.4 percent of the group, completed their senior year in Anchorage in 1996-97. There were 450, or 14 percent, who were shown on the school records as dropouts. Another 300, or 9.3 percent, indicated that they were transferring out of the Anchorage School District. Three students died and six students were suspended from school and did not return. Four hundred and eighteen (418) students left during the summer and did not indicate their intentions. Some of the 418 summer leavers may have been dropouts who finished a school year but decided not to return to the Anchorage School District or any other educational program the next year. Others may have enrolled in programs elsewhere. The 418 students who left over the summer corresponded to 13 percent of the class of 1996-97.



Tables 56 and 57 also examine the holding pattern of the District through high school. Table 56 reviews the class entering ninth grade in 1993 as to its mobility pattern for each year of high school. Table 57 presents a five year historical perspective on transfer patterns, presenting the overall patterns for the entering ninth grade classes in 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, and 1993.

The data in Table 56, the grade level review of the entering high school class in 1993, demonstrate an increasing tendency to drop out with increased grade level (.8 percent dropped out during grade 9, 3.1 percent during grade 10, 5.7 percent during grade 11, and 8.3 percent during grade 12) coupled with a decreasing likelihood to transfer from the District with increased grade level (3.7 percent transferred in grade 9, 3.2 percent in grade 10, 2.5 percent in grade 11, and 1.2 percent in grade 12).

The tendency to "disappear" over the summer declined with grade level (5.7 percent leaving between grades 9 and 10, 4.5 percent leaving between grades 10 and 11, and 4.0 percent leaving between grades 11 and 12). The summer leaving pattern is closer to the transfer pattern than to the dropout pattern, suggesting, though not proving, that a large number of the summer leavers are in fact transfers who did not report their move out of Anchorage.

The five-year history provided in Table 57 demonstrates a pattern of fluctuating holding power. Dropout figures fluctuate up and down in the range of 13 to 15 percent. Similarly, summer leaving was in the range of 12 to 13 percent. From 9 to 10 percent of each entering high school class transferred out of the District during its anticipated four years in high school.

The dropout rates in Anchorage have not changed dramatically over the years, though the rate in 1996-97 was slightly lower than last year. The information on holding power suggests that several hundred Anchorage students leave school each year without benefit of a completed program. Leaving school without completing high school represents a total breakdown of the school-student interaction. The dropout situation may be symptomatic of the alienation of a significant portion of the local population from the educational activities in the schools.



Table 54 **Anchorage School District School Year Dropouts** 1992-93 to 1996-97

	-	Asian/				
	American	Pacific				
	Natives	Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
1996-97						
Enrollment	6,143	3,844	4,599	2,511	34,638	51 <i>,7</i> 35
Final Dropouts	374	114	165	95	846	1,594
Percent Dropouts	6.09%	2.97%	3.59%	3.78%	2.44%	3.08%
1995-96						
Enrollment	5,8 77	3,622	4,456	2,340	35,200	51,495
Final Dropouts	47 5	106	157	94	899	1,631
Percent Dropouts	6.38%	2.93%	3.52%	4.02%	2.55%	3.17%
1994-95						
Enrollment	5 <i>,</i> 753	3,404	4,577	2,133	35,608	51,4 7 5
Final Dropouts	366	95	185	<i>7</i> 9	890	1,615
Percent Dropouts	6.36%	2.79%	4.04%	3.70%	2.50%	3.14%
1993-94						
Enrollment	5,414	3,216	4,596	1,998	35 <i>,</i> 797	51,021
Final Dropouts	384	<i>7</i> 0	162	81	841	1,538
Percent Dropouts	7.09%	2.18%	3.52%	4.05%	2.35%	3.01%
1992-93						
Enrollment	5,222	3,036	4,404	1,815	35,646	50,123
Final Dropouts	292	5 7	112	65	704	1,230
Percent Dropouts	5.59%	1.88%	2.54%	3.58%	1.97%	2.45%



Table 55

Anchorage School District Four -Year Transfer Pattern of Students in Grade 9 at Beginning of 1993-94 School Year **Ethnic Group Patterns**

	American	Asian/ Pacific	 -			
	Natives	Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
Beginning of Ninth	303	231	305	123	2,254	2 216
Grade Membership *	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3,216 100.0%
Completed/	156	158	163	61	1,501	2,039
Early Graduate or still enrolled 6/96	51.5%	68.4%	53.4%	49.6%	66.6%	63.4%
Transfer Out	30	24	37	16	193	300
of ASD	9.9%	10.4%	12.1%	13.0%	8.6%	9.3%
Death of Student	0	0	0	0	3	3
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Suspensions	0	1	0	1	4	6
	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%
Drop Out	83	20	58	26	263	450
	27.4%	8.7%	19.0%	21.1%	11.7%	14.0%
Summer Leavers	34	28	47	19	290	418
from Ninth Grade Cohort	11.2%	12.1%	15.4%	15.4%	12.9%	13.0%

Students enrolled on 9/30/93 were considered in school at the "Beginning of the Year"



Table 56

Anchorage School District Four-Year Transfer Pattern of Students in Grade 9 at Beginning of 1993-94 School Year **Grade Level Patterns**

Nine 3,216 100.0% 0 0.0% 118 3.7% 0	7en 2,888 100.0% 0 0.0% 91 3.2%	2,574 100.0% 24 0.9% 64 2.5%	2,234 100.0% 2,015 90.2% 27 1.2%	3,216 100.0% 2,039 63.4% 300 9.3%
100.0% 0 0.0% 118 3.7%	0 0.0% 91 3.2%	100.0% 24 0.9% 64 2.5%	100.0% 2,015 90.2% 27	2,039 63.4% 300
100.0% 0 0.0% 118 3.7%	0 0.0% 91 3.2%	100.0% 24 0.9% 64 2.5%	100.0% 2,015 90.2% 27	2,039 63.4% 300
0.0% 118 3.7%	0.0% 91 3.2%	0.9% 64 2.5%	90.2% 27	63.4%
118 3.7% 0	91 3.2%	0.9% 64 2.5%	90.2% 27	63.4%
3.7%	3.2%	2.5%		
0			1.2%	
	2			
	-	0	1	3
0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
0	0	0	6	6
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%
27	90	148	185	450
0.8%	3.1%	5.7%	8.3%	14.0%
183	131	104	0	418
5.7%	4.5%	4.0%	0.0%	13.0%
2,888	2,574	2,234	0	0
89.8%	89.1%	86.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	0.8% 183 5.7% 2,888	0.8% 3.1% 183 131 5.7% 4.5% 2,888 2,574	0.8% 3.1% 5.7% 183 131 104 5.7% 4.5% 4.0% 2,888 2,574 2,234	0.8% 3.1% 5.7% 8.3% 183 131 104 0 5.7% 4.5% 4.0% 0.0% 2,888 2,574 2,234 0

^{*}Students enrolled on 9/30/93 were considered in school at the "Beginning of Year."



^{**} Information on students continuing beyond four years were not available at the time of this report.

Table 57

Anchorage School District Four-Year Transfer Pattern of Students in Grade 9 at Beginning of 1988-1989 through the 1992-93 School Year Five-Year History

Class of:	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
Projection of NEAR CO.		_		-	
Beginning of Ninth Grade	2,769	2,731	2,975	3,156	3,216
Cohort Membership*	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Completed/	1,807	1,716	1,845	1,949	2,039
Early Graduate/	65.3%	62.8%	62.0%	61.8%	-
or Still Enrolled in 6/96	00.070	02.070	02.076	01.0 /6	63.4%
Transfer Out	237	285	293	294	300
of ASD	8.6%	10.4%	9.8%	9.3%	9.3%
	5.0,0	10.170	7.070	9.5 %	7.3 /0
Death of Student	5	3	3	3	3
	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Suspensions	2	1	2		_
odopensions		1	3	4	6
	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Drop Out	376	356	447	499	450
	13.6%	13.0%	15.0%	15.8%	14.0%
		10.070	10.070	15.0 /6	14.0%
Summer	342	370	384	407	418
Leaver	12.4%	13.5%	12.9%	12.9%	13.0%

^{*}Students enrolled on 9/30 were considered in school at the "Beginning of the Year."



Question: Do the performance patterns of the various ethnic-racial groups which make up the Anchorage student population differ from overall District performance?

Answer: Yes. Since 70 percent of Anchorage students are White, this group dominates the statistical average. There are substantial differences among the various ethnic groups with the White group generally having higher average achievement scores, less mobility, and lower utilization of the free lunch program.

Discussion: Several tables follow which examine the performances of the American Native (Tables 58 to 66), Asian/Pacific Islander (Tables 67 to 75), Black (Tables 76 to 84), Hispanic (Tables 85 to 93), and White (Tables 94 to 102) ethnic racial groups. The tables provide a comprehensive collection of statistics for each group.

Each set of tables follows the same organization. First, there are breakdowns of CAT performance including average scores in Total Reading, Total Language Arts, Total Mathematics, and Total Battery for grades 3 through 11. There is then a summary of ITBS/TAP Reading, Language Arts, and Math scores from 1992-93 through 1994-95 in grades 4, 6, 8, and 11 and CAT performance including average scores in Total Reading, Total Language Arts, Total Mathematics, and Total Battery for 1995-96 and 1996-97 in grades 3 through 11.

Test scores are followed by the number and percentage of elementary children from low income families, a breakdown of secondary credits and grade point averages, a breakdown of secondary grades by subject area, and annual counts of school year dropouts from 1991-92 through 1995-96.

The tables show that there is a substantial disparity among the groups on the academic and economic indicators. Minority students on average have lower test scores. Minority students are twice as likely to be from low income families. Average credit attainment for minority secondary students is below the 5.25 annual average needed to reach the 21 credits required for high school graduation.

A higher number of minority students earn grades of "D" and "F." More than 20 percent of the grades earned by Black students in Language Arts, Math, Science, or Social Studies are "F." And, dropout rates are notably higher than the District average for Hispanic, American Native, and Black students.

There continues to be a substantial disparity among ethnic groups. Achievement differences are consistent across grades, credits, and test scores. The groups are similar in the high proportion of minority students, better than 40 percent of elementary students, qualify for free or reduced price lunch based on family income.

Heritage and ethnicity are not causes of lower test scores, grades, or credit attainment. Individual students from all groups are present among Anchorage high achievers. Average group performance must, however, be a concern and causes of differences deserve further exploration. The disparity in scores among Anchorage students suggest that the effort must continue to help all children achieve academic success.



Table 58

Anchorage School District American Native Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	384	40	
4	381	41	
5	389	37	
6	391	44	
7	341	37	
8	284	42	
9	254	38	
10	226	50	
11	164	43	

Table 59

Anchorage School District American Native Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	380	31	
4	384	42	
5	393	45	
6	391	47	
7	349	35	
8	285	42	
9	254	39	
10	228	42	
11	162	35	



72

Table 60

Anchorage School District American Native **Total Mathematics CAT Results Spring 1997**

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	382	46	
4	382	49	
5	393	46	
6	392	54	
<i>7</i>	353	47	
8	280	45	
9	253	49	
10	228	56	
11	164	45	

Table 61

Anchorage School District American Native **Total Battery CAT Results** Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank
3	3 7 5	36
4	375	41
5	387	41
6	389	47
7	336	36
8	272	40
9	250	42
10	225	50
11	161	40



Table 62

Anchorage School District American Native Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS and TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT 1995-96 to 1996-97

Grade	Area	96	-97	95	-96	<u> </u>	-95	93-	Ω/Ι		
			%ile		%ile		%ile	No. 9		<u>92-</u> <u>No.</u> 9	
				110.	<u> 7011C</u>	140.	<u> 7011C</u>	140.	OHE	<u>140.</u>	<u> </u>
3	Reading	384	40	383	41						
3	Language Arts	380	31	383	30						
3	Mathematics	382	46	384	46						
3	Total Battery	3 <i>7</i> 5	36	378	38						
	•										
4	Reading	381	41	385	43	381	38	359	31	354	34
4	Language Arts	384	42	392	33	371	39	348	35	353	35
4	Mathematics	382	49	396	44	382	46	354	41	354	42
4	Total Battery	375	41	373	39						
	·										
5	Reading	389	37	384	43						
5	Language Arts	393	45	384	49						
5	Mathematics	393	46	384	45						
5	Total Battery	387	41	3 <i>7</i> 5	46						
	•										
6	Reading	391	44	346	38	326	41	310	37	302	44
6	Language Arts	391	47	347	40	313	43	307	37	295	42
6	Mathematics	392	54	342	50	321	45	310	42	298	48
6	Total Battery	389	47	341	40					2,0	10
	•										
7	Reading	341	37	312	46						
7	Language Arts	349	35	313	38						
7	Mathematics	353	47	312	49						
7	Total Battery	336	36	304	44						
	·										
8	Reading	284	42	282	44	253	45	242	38	251	46
8	Language Arts	285	42	282	39	251	50	228	44	238	48
8	Mathematics	280	45	280	49	246	48	249	40	244	44
8	Total Battery	272	40	272	44						
	·										
9	Reading	254	38	244	42						
9	Language Arts	254	39	263	40						
9	Mathematics	253	49	265	52						
9	Total Battery	250	42	243	45						
	•										
10	Reading	226	50	168	45						
10	Language Arts	228	42	190	39						
10	Mathematics	228	56	191	49						
10	Total Battery	225	50	163	45						
-	,		- -	100	10						
11	Reading	164	43	160	42	149	48	134	48	127	46
11	Language Arts	162	35	181	36	117	20	10-1	10	14/	T U
11	Mathematics	164	45	182	46	151	45	136	43	130	50
11	Total Battery	161	40	157	40	151	40	130	43	130	50
	Total Datiety	101			-1 0		_				



Table 63

Anchorage School District American Native Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997

Year	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	3,357	1,204	35.8%
* Est	imate of children fro	m low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Table 64

American Native **Secondary Students** Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade -- Spring 1997

Data Type	9	10	11	12	9-12
Number of Students	268	247	176	169	860
Average Credits Attempted	5.92	5.81	5.53	5.11	5.65
Average Credits Earned	4.68	4.42	4.60	4.18	4.49
Mean Grade Point Average	2.04	1.93	2.26	2.30	2.11
Average Absences per Course	6.35	6.94	6.70	7.35	6.79
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.6523	-0.6174	-0.5900	-0.5453	-0.5942



Table 65

American Native Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 - 12 Spring 1997

	Total Credits	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
Language Arts	894.50	17.33%	22.97%	21.32%	14.28%	24.10%
Mathematics	680.00	11.88%	21.43%	25.85%	18.24%	22.61%
Science	639.50	12.08%	19.94%	24.86%	18.96%	24.16%
Social Studies	770.75	15.70%	19.95	22.83%	15.67%	25.85%
All Courses	4,859.00	21.70%	22.79%	21.37%	13.63%	20.50%
*Includes "F," "WF,"	and "I."					

merades 1, vii, and 1.

Table 66

Anchorage School District
American Native
School Year Dropouts
1992-93 to 1996-97

Year	Enrollment	Final Dropouts	Percent Dropouts
1996-97	6,143	374	6.09%
1995-96	5,877	375	6.38%
1994-95	5,753	366	6.36%
1993-94	5,414	384	7.09%
1992-93	5,222	292	5.59%



Table 67

Anchorage School District Asian/Pacific Islander Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	275	53	
4	268	57	
5	257	45	
6	262	46	
7	256	46	
8	242	46	
9	217	46	
10	217	45	
11	162	33	

Table 68

Anchorage School District Asian/Pacific Islander Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	274	52	
4	266	63	
5	258	61	
6	261	62	
7	253	52	
8	242	49	
9	217	53	
10	218	49	
11	163	39	



Table 69

Anchorage School District Asian/Pacific Islander Total Mathematics CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	272	60	
4	268	71	
5	257	58	
6	262	66	
7	255	61	
8	243	59	
9	218	68	
10	218	66	
11	164	60	

Table 70

Anchorage School District Asian/Pacific Islander Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank	
3	272	55	
4	266	64	
5	256	56	
6	260	58	
7	251	52	
8	242	52	
9	217	58	
10	217	55	
11	162	4 3	



Table 71

Anchorage School District Asian/Pacific Islanders Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS & TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT 1995-96 to 1996-97

Grade	Area	96-9	7	95-90	5	94-9	5	93-9		92	-93	
		<u>No.</u>	<u>%ile</u>	<u>No.</u> '	<u>%ile</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%ile</u>	<u>No. %</u>	<u>oile</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%ile</u>	
3	Reading	275	53	262	56							
3	Language Arts	274	52	262	51							
3	Mathematics	272	60	261	64							
3	Total Battery	272	55	260	58							
	•											
4	Reading	268	5 7	245	50	223	48	216	43	205	43	
4	Language Arts	266	63	241	53	224	59	214	54	205	50	
4	Mathematics	268	<i>7</i> 1	242	59	223	63	215	5 <i>7</i>	208	56	
4	Total Battery	_266	64	238	54							
	_											
5	Reading	257	45	247	46							
5	Language Arts	258	61	247	61							
5	Mathematics	257	58	247	61							
5	Total Battery	256	56	247	57							
6	Reading	262	46	245	48	219	47	200	54	210	50	
6	Language Arts	261	62	247	58	217	55	201	61	209	54	
6	Mathematics	262	66	243	64	222	59	203	65	215	61	
6	Total Battery	260	58	242	57							
7	Reading	256	46	223	48							
7	Language Arts	253	52	221	50							
7	Mathematics	255	61	221	62							
7	Total Battery	251	52	218	54							
•		242	4.0	22.6		220	40	1.65	40	201	40	
8	Reading	242	46	226	51	228	49	165	49	201	49	
8	Language Arts	242	49	226	51	229	60	168	59	198	61	
8	Mathematics	243	59 53	225	64	229	58	169	59	200	64	
8	Total Battery	242	52	225	57							
•	D 1'	217	46	104	40							
9	Reading	217	46	184	43							
9	Language Arts	217	53	217	49							
9	Mathematics	218	68	216	67 54							
9	Total Battery	217	58	182	54							
10	Danding	217	45	140	45							
10	Reading	217	45 40	140 171	45 46							
10	Language Arts	218	49	171	62							
10	Mathematics	218	66 55									
10	Total Battery	217	55	139	50							
11	Pandina	162	33	148	38	167	42	162	45	175	48	
11	Reading	163	39	188	36 42	107	44	102	-10	1/3	70	
11	Language Arts Mathematics	163	60	193	61	173	58	162	5 <i>7</i>	175	66	
11		162	≝ 43	145	47	1/3	36	102	57	1,5	00	
11	Total Battery	102	<u>.</u> 43	143	4/							



Table 72

Asian/Pacific Islander **Anchorage School District** Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997

<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	2,029	527	25.9%

Table 73 **Anchorage School District**

Asian/Pacific Islander Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997

Data Type	9	10	11	12	9-12	
Number of Students	251	240	186	192	869	
Average Credits Attempted	5.95	5.93	5.78	5.42	5.79	
Average Credits Earned	5.24	5.22	4.98	4.90	5.10	
Mean Grade Point Average	2.69	2.64	2.52	2.70	2.64	
Average Absences per Course	3.82	4.15	5.46	6.15	4.78	
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.6876	-0.6114	-0.6359	-0.6836	-0.6385	



Table 74

Asian/Pacific Islanders

Distribution of Attempted Credits

and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 - 12 Spring 1997

	Total Credits	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
Language Arts	937.00	33.80%	26.55%	17.40%	9.63%	12.62%
Mathematics	754.50	25.28%	23.00%	20.94%	15.71%	15.08%
Science	677.50	27.38%	27.56%	19.41%	12.21%	13.44%
Social Studies	785.00	32.17%	23.06%	18.22%	12.26%	14.30%
All Courses	5,030.75	37.45%	24.12%	16.59%	9.97%	11.86%

Table 75

Anchorage School District Asian/Pacific Islanders School Year Dropouts 1992-93 to 1996-97

Year	Enrollment	Final Dropouts	Percent Dropouts
1996-97	3,844	114	2.97%
1995-96	3,622	106	2.93%
1994-95	3,404	95	2.79%
1993-94	3,216	70	2.18%
1992-93	3,036	57	1.88%



Table 76

Anchorage School District Black Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number	Percentile
	Tested	Rank
3	339	42
4	288	45
5	304	36
6	274	38
7	302	40
8	243	37
9	259	37
10	261	36
11	182	30

Table 77

Anchorage School District Black Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank
3	337	36
4	292	43
5	305	49
6	272	43
7	302	38
8	243	35
9	261	35
10	264	32
11	186	26



Table 78

Anchorage School District Black **Total Mathematics CAT Results Spring 1997/**

Grade	Number	Percentile
	Tested	Rank
3	341	42
4	292	48
5	303	40
6	273	41
7	304	43
8	239	35
9	260	42
10	264	37
11	186	33

Table 79

Anchorage School District Black **Total Battery CAT Results** Spring 1997

Grade	Number	Percentile
	Tested	Rank
3	330	37
4	288	44
5	302	41
6	270	38
7	297	38
8	239	34
9	255	37
10	259	33
11	182	27



Table 80

Anchorage School District Black

Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding

to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS & TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 and CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97

Grade	Area	96-	97	95-	96	94-9	95	93-9	<u> </u>	92-	03
		No.	%ile	No.	%ile		<u>%ile</u>	<u></u>		<u>92-</u> <u>No.</u>	
3	Reading	339	42	300	41	130.	7011C	110.	OHE	110.	<u>/011E</u>
3	Language Arts	337	36	303	32						
3	Mathematics	341	42	303	41						
3	Total Battery	330	37	300	37						
	•										
4	Reading	288	45	280	46	266	33	324	33	280	30
4	Language Arts	292	43	284	38	257	36	318	34	278	33
4	Mathematics	292	48	290	42	264	36	319	36	279	36
4	Total Battery	288	44	275	41					_,,	00
_											
5	Reading	304	36	273	37						
5	Language Arts	305	49	274	45						
5	Mathematics	303	40	271	37						
5	Total Battery	302	41	268	39						
_	.										•
6	Reading	274	38	298	40	263	35	302	41	318	40
6	Language Arts	272	43	299	43	255	37	298	41	318	41
6	Mathematics	273	41	300	45	262	36	297	41	317	40
6	Total Battery	270	38	295	41						
7	Reading	302	4 0	262	40						
7	Language Arts	302	38	262	40						
7	Mathematics	304	43	259	33						
7	Total Battery	297	38	259 254	39 37						
•	Total Dattery	237	36	234	3/						
8	Reading	243	37	278	47	270	36	239	33	272	43
8	Language Arts	243	35	276	39	266	43	230	39	270	43 47
8	Mathematics	239	35	274	43	265	34	237	30	265	37
8	Total Battery	239	34	270	43		0.	207	50	203	37
	•				_ -						
9	Reading	259	37	235	37						
9	Language Arts	261	35	261	37						
9	Mathematics	260	42	263	4 6						
9	Total Battery	255	37	234	39						
10	D 1:										
10	Reading	261	36	214	37						
10	Language Arts	264	32	230	27						
10	Mathematics	264	37	228	34						
10	Total Battery	259	33	210	31						
11	Reading	182	30	174	26	1/0	40	1/2			••
11	Language Arts	186	30 26	176	36 26	168	4 0	162	37	1 <i>7</i> 5	39
11	Mathematics	186	26 33	190	36 30	150	24	1.4			
11	Total Battery			188	38 35	170	36	164	33	1 <i>7</i> 5	28
	Total Dattery	182	27	173	35						



Table 81

Anchorage School District Black Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997

Year	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	2,417	977	40.4%
* Est	imate of children fro	m low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Table 82 **Black Secondary Students** Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997

Data Type	9	10		12	9-12	
Number of Students	273	269	185	168	895	
Average Credits Attempted	5.92	5.91	5.56	5.21	5.71	
Average Credits Earned	4.87	4.79	4.76	4.70	4.79	
Mean Grade Point Average	2.08	1.98	2.19	2.50	2.15	
Average Absences per Course	4.78	5.44	5.32	5.62	5.25	
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.5740	-0.5180	-0.4953	-0.4318	-0.4974	



Table 83

Black Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 - 12 Spring 1997

	Total Credits	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
Language Arts	943.25	14.58%	25.84%	25.10%	17.57%	16.91%
Mathematics	754.00	6.56%	16.88%	31.66%	22.61%	22.28%
Science	684.50	7.96%	20.56%	29.11%	21.95%	20.41%
Social Studies	814.25	16.06%	22.17%	24.38%	16.92%	20.48%
All Courses	5,109.50	_ 19.97%	23.74%	23.87%	16.37%	16.06%

Table 84

Anchorage School District
Black
School Year Dropouts
1992-93 to 1996-97

Year	Enrollment	Final Dropouts	Percent Dropouts
1996-97	4,599	165	2 509/
1995-96	4,456	157	3.59% 3.52%
1994-95	4,577	185	4.04%
1993-94	4,596	162	3.52%
1992-93	4,404	112	2.54%
- <u>-</u>			



Table 85

Anchorage School District Hispanic Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number	Percentile	
	Tested	Rank	
3	176	47	
4	142	52	
5	146	41	
6	148	50	
7	151	47	
8	118	46	
9	130	44	
10	109	40	
11	71	32	

Table 86

Anchorage School District . Hispanic Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997

Tested 174 142	Rank 40 49
	17
146	52
148	52
149	44
11 <i>7</i>	44
131	46
109	34
7 3	31
	148 149 117 131 109



Table 87

Anchorage School District Hispanic Total Mathematics ITBS Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile
		Rank
3	173	52
4	142	5 <u>4</u>
5	147	48
6	148	54
7	150	49
8	117	47
9	131	51
10	109	42
11	73	38

Table 88

Anchorage School District Hispanic Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1996

Grade	Number	Percentile
	Tested	Rank
3	171	44
4	140	50
5	146	47
6	148	51
7	146	45
8	115	45
9	130	48
10	109	38
11	71	31



Table 89

Anchorage School District Hispanic Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores ITBS & TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97

Cast	Arca	96-9	97	95-96		94-95		93-94	1	92-9	93
<u>Grade</u>	Area		<u>%ile</u>		%ile	<u> 94-9;</u> <u>No.</u> '		No. %		<u> </u>	
3	Reading	176	47	140.	7011E	170.	LUITE	170.	<u> </u>	4.101	/VAAL
3	Language Arts	174	40								
3	Mathematics	174	52								
3	Total Battery	173	44		•						
J	Total Dattery	1/1	77								
4	Reading	142	41	135	45	136	44	134	41	111	35
4	Language Arts	142	52	132	36	134	41	126	45	109	37
4	Mathematics	142	48	133	39	135	47	134	49	110	41
4	Total Battery	_140	47	132	39						
5	Reading	146	50	150	45						
5	Language Arts	146	52	150	51						
5	Mathematics	147	54	151	. 47						
5	Total Battery	146	51	149	48						
6	Reading	148	47	138	43	108	46	130	38	120	45
6	Language Arts	148	44	138	49	108	47	132	39	117	41
6	Mathematics	148	49	139	50	111	44	131	40	121	43
6	Total Battery	148	45	137	46					•	•
7	Reading	151	46	122	43						
7	Language Arts	149	44	123	41						
7	Mathematics	150	47	124	46						
7	Total Battery	146	45	121	42						
8	Reading	118	44	130	43	115	44	85	39	90	51
8	Language Arts	117	46	130	39	112	45	82	43	89	51
8	Mathematics	117	51	130	42	113	41	87	37	89	44
8	Total Battery	115	48	127	41						
9	Reading	130	40	92	37						
9	Language Arts	131	34	111	36						
9	Mathematics	131	42	111	46						
9	Total Battery	130	38	91	39						
10	Reading	109	32	72	40						
10	Language Arts	109		81	35						
10	Mathematics	109	38	82	44						
10	Total Battery	109	31	70	39						
11	Reading	71	32	70	42	92	42	72	42	69	38
11	Language Arts	73	31	<i>77</i>	39						
11	Mathematics	73		80	44	92	35	7 0	35	67	28
11	Total Battery	71	31	70	41						
	,										



Table 90

Anchorage School District Hispanic Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997

Year	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	1,383	585	42.2%

Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.

Table 91

Hispanic Secondary Students

Eredit and GPA Analysis

by Grade

Spring 1997

Data Type	9	10	11	12	9-12
Number of Students	146	120	77	92	435
Average Credits Attempted	5.91	5.89	5.58	5.41	5.74
Average Credits Earned	5.01	4.59	4.64	4.79	4.78
Mean Grade Point Average	2.25	1.99	2.24	2.49	2.23
Average Absences per Course	5.03	6.58	6.40	6.11	5.93
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.5155	-0.6589	-0.4711	-0.5144	-0.5478



Table 92

Hispanic Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 - 12 Spring 1997

	Total Credits	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
Language Arts	472.50	23.07%	21.75%	22.06%	15.93%	17.20%
Mathematics	355.25	12.32%	21.46%	26.95%	15.41%	23.86%
Science	343.00	12.83%	23.83%	24.93%	20.19%	18.22%
Social Studies	391.75	16.85%	23.29%	24.89%	18.63%	16.34%
All Courses	2,497.00	24.33%	23.26%	21.33%	14.39%	16.70%
*Includes "F," "WF,"	and "I."					

Table 93

Anchorage School District Hispanic **School Year Dropouts** 1992-93 to 1996-97

Year	Enrollment	Final Dropouts	Percent Dropouts
1996-97	2,511	95	3.78%
1995-96	2,340	94	4.02%
1994-95	2,133	. 79	3.70%
1993-94	1,998	81	4.05%
1992-93	1,815	65	3.58%



Table 94

Anchorage School District White Total Reading CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile
		Rank
3	2,577	70
4	2,359	70
5	2,473	70
6	2,492	67
7	2,281	70
8	2,163	69
9	2,187	68
10	2,052	69
11	1,765	64

Table 95

Anchorage School District White Total Language Arts CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number Tested	Percentile Rank		
3	2,561		_	
4		60		
	2,358	68		
5	2,476	73		
6	2,493	71		
7	2,285	66		
8	2,162	61		
9	2,188	63		
10	2,058	61		
11	1,764	57		



Table 96

Anchorage School District White Total Mathematics CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number	Percentile	
	Tested	Rank	
3	2,571	67	
4	2,358	73	
5	2,470	69	
6	2,492	74	
7	2,290	70	
8	2,169	68	
9	2,186	72	
10	2,053	70	
11	1 <i>,7</i> 59	68	

Table 97

Anchorage School District White Total Battery CAT Results Spring 1997

Grade	Number	Percentile	
	Tested	Rank	
3	2,540	66	
4	2,342	71	
5	2,450	73	
6	2,478	72	
7	2,249	69	
8	2,130	67	
9	2,175	71	
10	2,038	69	
11	1,746	65	



Table 98

Anchorage School District White

Percentile Rank Scores Corresponding to Average (Mean) NCE Scores

ITBS & TAP 1992-93 to 1994-95 -- CAT 1995-96 and 1996-97

Grade	Area	96-9	7		<u> </u>	94-	95	93-9	<u> </u>	92	-93
			%ile		%ile		%ile	<u></u>			<u>-93 </u>
3	Reading	2,577	70	2,409	70	-101	<u> </u>	140.	/ULIC	110.	<u> 7011C</u>
3	Language Arts	2,561	60	2,409	58						
3	Mathematics	2,571	67	2,414	67						
3	Total Battery	2,540	66	2,381	67						
4	Reading	2,359	<i>7</i> 0	2,506	<i>7</i> 0	2,624	59	2,460	60	2,595	59
4	Language Arts	2,358	68	2,505	62	2,590	58	2,381	58	2,572	56
4	Mathematics	2,358	<i>7</i> 3	2,534	69	2,630	66	2,447	65	2,575	64
4	Total Battery	2,342	71	2,481	68					•	
5	Reading	2,473	<i>7</i> 0	2,534	71						
5	Language Arts	2,476	<i>7</i> 3	2,541	<i>7</i> 2						
5	Mathematics	2,470	69	2,528	67						
5	Total Battery	2,450	73	2,501	73						
6	Reading	2,492	67	2,357	69	2,473	64	2,517	63	2,577	63
6	Language Arts	2,493	<i>7</i> 1	2,351	69	2,435	62	2,497	60	2,563	59
6	Mathematics	2,492	74	2,357	<i>7</i> 3	2,473	67	2,511	66	2,576	67
6	Total Battery	2,478	72	2,331	72			,		_,-,-	<i>.</i>
7	Reading	2,284	70	2,289	<i>7</i> 2						
7	Language Arts	2,285	66	2,295	64						
7	Mathematics	2,290	<i>7</i> 0	2,283	<i>7</i> 0						
7	Total Battery	2,249	69	2,249	<i>7</i> 0						
8	Reading	2,163	69	2,254	<i>7</i> 0	2,215	64	2,127	66	2,161	67
8	Language Arts	2,162	61	2,253	60	2,220	67	2,084	67	2,126	66
8	Mathematics	2,169	68	2,245	66	2,245	64	2,123	64	2,163	65
8	Total Battery	2,130	67	2,208	68			·		_,	
9	Reading	2,187	68	1,852	67						
9	Language Arts	2,188	63	2,184	61						
9	Mathematics	2,186	7 2	2,187	<i>7</i> 1						
9	Total Battery	2,175	71	1,846	68						
10	Reading	2,052	69	1,669	73						
10	Language Arts	2,058	61	1,946	63						
10	Mathematics	2,053	7 0	1,941	72						
10	Total Battery	2,038	69	1,651	73						
11	Reading	1,765	64	1,442	65	1,666	67	1,551	66	1,608	62
11	Language Arts	1,764	5 <i>7</i>	1,659	59	•		-,		1,000	V <u>-</u>
11	Mathematics	1 <i>,7</i> 59	68	1,676	68	1,674	68	1,55 <i>7</i>	65	1,608	68
11	Total Battery	1,746	65	1,421	66	, -		_,,		1,000	50



Table 99

Anchorage School District White Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information Spring 1997

Year	School Level	Number of Children Enrolled	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	18,454	2534	13.7%

Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.

Table 100

White Secondary Students Credit and GPA Analysis by Grade Spring 1997

Data Type	9	10	11	12	9-12	
Number of Students	2,200	2,145	1,887	1,621	7,853	
Average Credits Attempted	5.91	5.89	5.70	5.20	5.71	
Average Credits Earned	5.40	5.24	5.23	4.88	5.21	
Mean Grade Point Average	2.66	2.53	2.74	2.85	2.68	
Average Absences per Course	4.48	5.35	5.76	6.28	5.40	
Absence - GPA Correlation	-0.5162	-0.5297	-0.4680	-0.4274	-0.4686	



95 101

Table 101

White Distribution of Attempted Credits and Earned Marks by Area Combined Grades 9 - 12 Spring 1997

Total Credits	Percent A	Percent B	Percent C	Percent D	Percent F*
8,092.25	29.43%	28.90%	21.05%	11.22%	9.42%
6,552.50	19.98%	27.28%	26.23%	14.93%	11.58%
6,171.50	24.92%	27.78%	23.90%	13.30%	10.11%
7,065.50	31.15%	25.65%	20.55%	12.09%	10.56%
44,823.25	- 35.56%	26.01%	19.47%	10.21%	8.74%
	8,092.25 6,552.50 6,171.50 7,065.50	Credits A 8,092.25 29.43% 6,552.50 19.98% 6,171.50 24.92% 7,065.50 31.15%	Credits A B 8,092.25 29.43% 28.90% 6,552.50 19.98% 27.28% 6,171.50 24.92% 27.78% 7,065.50 31.15% 25.65%	Credits A B C 8,092.25 29.43% 28.90% 21.05% 6,552.50 19.98% 27.28% 26.23% 6,171.50 24.92% 27.78% 23.90% 7,065.50 31.15% 25.65% 20.55%	Credits A B C D 8,092.25 29.43% 28.90% 21.05% 11.22% 6,552.50 19.98% 27.28% 26.23% 14.93% 6,171.50 24.92% 27.78% 23.90% 13.30% 7,065.50 31.15% 25.65% 20.55% 12.09%

Table 102

Anchorage School District Whites **School Year Dropouts** 1992-93 to 1996-97

Year	Enrollment	Final Dropouts	Percent Dropouts
1996-97	34,638	846	2.44%
1995-96	35,200	. 899	2.55%
1994-95	35,608	890	2.50%
1993-94	35,797	841	2.35%
1992-93	35,646	704	1.97%



Question: What is the level of satisfaction among students, staff, and parents with the programs of the Anchorage School District?

Answer: Parents, students, and staff are given the opportunity to express their feelings on a variety of school related issues on surveys conducted as part of the School Report Card process each spring. The tables which follow provide the overall responses from across the District and responses broken down by elementary, middle level, and secondary programs. Individual school results were provided to schools in the spring and taken into account in the preparation of School Report Cards for 1996-1997.

In general, parent, student, and staff responses are positive toward the Anchorage School District and individual school programs. Individuals were asked the extent to which they would agree with a number of statements. Some of the cross-District highlights include:

- A majority (53%) of parents indicate that they either agree (42%) or strongly agree (11%) with the performance of the Anchorage School District.
- A majority (90%) of parents indicate that they either agree (47%) or strongly agree (33%) with the performance of the program in which their children are enrolled.
- A majority (84%) of parents indicate that they either agree (34%) or strongly agree (50%) with the performance of those who teach their children.
- A majority (78%) of students indicate that they either agree (27%) or strongly agree (52%) that their teachers want them to do well in school.
- A majority (71%) of students indicate that they either agree (35%) or strongly agree (36%) that their teachers will help them to understand something that is a problem.
- A majority (80%) of students indicate that they either agree (31%) or strongly agree (49%) that they can get good grades when they try.
- A majority (84%) of staff indicate that they either agree (46%) or strongly agree (38%) that they are satisfied with their job.
- A majority (81%) of staff indicate that they either agree (34%) or strongly agree (47%) that the
 principal and other school staff provide needed support for working with students.
- A majority (81%) of staff indicate that they either agree (33%) or strongly agree (49%) that the principal and other school staff provide needed support for working with parents.

If the responses of parents, teachers, and students were considered as a grading scale with a "Strongly Agree" to a positive statement being equivalent to an "A," the overall response would be in the "B" range. Parents could be said to give the District as a whole a grade of "B-" and their local school a grade of "A-." These are good marks from those community members who know the schools best when considered in terms of general public concerns with the quality of American education.

Discussion: The primary purpose of School Report Card surveys is to give parents, students, and staff an opportunity to share their feelings on general issues related to satisfaction with their school and their job. Surveys are made available late in the year close to the time of the last elementary parent-teacher conferences and secondary report card pickup. There are substantial differences in the proportion of parents and staff responding at various schools and various grade levels. Students in grades 3 - 12 were surveyed in class at school. All surveys were anonymous and there was the opportunity to write comments. Surveys with comments were returned to school principals in time to be considered for the School Report Card.

A standard set of questions for parents, students, and staff was prepared at the request of the School Board. Individual schools and levels were allowed to add additional questions and all school surveys included questions on the success of the individual school goals. Information on school goal success is included in the section of this report which provides individual school profiles.



Tables 103, 104, and 105 summarize the parent, student, and staff surveys by question for the District as a whole. These summary tables are followed by a breakdown of the results for each of the three instructional levels. Elementary results are presented in tables 106, 107 and 108. Middle Level results are presented in tables 109, 110, and 111. High School results are presented in 112, 113 and 114.

While overall responses are positive at all three levels, elementary responses were the most positive. Response rates for parent and staff surveys varied greatly from school-to-school. In general, the response rate for parents was best at elementary and weakest at high school. Many differences between educational levels and schools are statistically significant.



Table 103
Anchorage School District
Parent Report Card Survey
Number = 8,866

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
When I think about how well our Anchorage schools are doing, I am generally SATISFIED with:	Agree	Agree	Neutiai	Disagree	Disagree
The performance of the Anchorage School District.	11.1%	42.2%	28.0%	14.3%	4.4%
The performance of my child's school.	33.0%	46.5%	14.2%	4.6%	1.7%
The performance of my child's teacher(s).	50.3%	33.8%	10.3%	4.0%	1.6%
When I think about what is being taught, I am general SATISFIED with:					
The instruction my child receives.	35.0%	43.9%	11.3%	6.0%	3.8%
The curriculum at our school.	31.5%	47.6%	14.5%	4.9%	1.5%
When I think about my relationship with the staff, school, and information, I am SATISFIED with:					
My interactions with the principal.	26.8%	32.3%	31.1%	5.4%	4.5%
My interactions with the school office staff.	31.8%	41.2%	21.6%	3.7%	1.6%
My interactions with my child's teachers.	52.7%	34.3%	9.7%	2.2%	1.1%
My ability to get information on classes and school activities.	43.6%	41.6%	10.4%	3.4%	1.0%
Information I get on my child's progress.	50.6%	37.2%	7.4%	3.7%	1.2%
To what extent do you AGREE with each of the following statements?					
I have the ability to review school books and library materials.	31.1%	37.7%	24.4%	5.4%	1.5%
I am invited to make suggestions about the library materials to be included or excluded.	17.3%	27.2%	38.4%	12.3%	4.8%
I feel welcome at school.	47.3%	33.3%	9.3%	5.3%	4.8%
My child is safe at school.	36.0%	43.6%	13.5%	5.2%	1.7%
My child is safe on the way to and from school.	33.1%	40.6%	16.8%	6.9%	2.5%
I received a copy of the "Student Rights and Responsibilities" document.	48.1%	35.9%	11.1%	2.7%	2.1%
I feel that my child's needs are being met.	32.0%	45.7%	14.5%	5.8%	2.1%



Table 104 Anchorage School District Student Report Card Survey Number = 23,692

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Our school is clean and well maintained.	15.5%	35.7%	30.7%	11.8%	6.2%
My teachers listen to what I say.	27.1%	34.1%	25.5%	8.5%	4.8%
My teachers care about me.	33.8%	30.1%	25.5%	6.4%	4.2%
I am treated fairly by adults here at school.	22.5%	33.3%	26.4%	11.5%	6.3%
I am treated fairly by other students.	15.2%	35.6%	29.9%	11.6%	7.7%
I find my school work interesting.	16.3%	24.8%	33.0%	14.6%	11.4%
I understand the school work I am given.	23.7%	38.3%	28.9%	6.3%	2.7%
Our school rules are fair.	22.5%	25.4%	25.7%	14.8%	11.6%
I was given a "Student Rights and Responsibilities."	39.3%	25.8%	21.3%	6.2%	7.5%
My teachers treat me with respect.	32.8%	33.4%	22.9%	6.9%	4.0%
Students here treat me with respect.	14.5%	34.0%	31.3%	11.3%	8.8%
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	22.9%	29.1%	27.7%	12.0%	8.3%
I like school.	23.4%	23.4%	28.1%	10.5%	14.6%
I am safe at school.	28.5%	30.8%	25.1%	9.3%	6.2%
If I have a problem understanding something, my teacher will help me.	36.0%	35.0%	21.1%	4.9%	3.0%
If I have a problem at school, I know where I can go for help.	37.5%	34.1%	19.1%	5.6%	3.7%
Our school is a friendly place.	17.1%	29.0%	33.9%	12.4%	7.6%
Have chances to participate in school activities.	37.0%	36.0%	18.6%	4.8%	3.6%
I use computers to do my work at school.	14.5%	19.9%	27.0%	18.0%	20.6%
When I have problems with my school, I can get help at home.	45.8%	27.7%	16.9%	5.4%	4.1%
When I do good work at school, it is recognized.	28.4%	29.8%	25.6%	10.0%	6.3%
I get good grades when I try.	48.8%	30.8%	14.2%	3.6%	2.6%
I use computers in my classes.	17.1%	18.6%	25.0%	17.6%	21.6%
The library/media center has the materials I need to do my school work.	21.8%	28.6%	30.6%	10.9%	8.0%
I feel welcome at school.	24.9%	32.0%	29.2%	7.7%	6.2%
I feel safe on the bus and at the bus stop.	17.1%	22.5%	40.7%	8.1%	11.5%
My teachers want me to do well in school.	51.6%	27.0%	15.5%	3.0%	2.8%



Table 105 Anchorage School District Staff Report Card Survey Number = 1,545

Question	Strongly	1	1 ,, ,	5.	Strongly
Indicate the extent to which you are satisfied with:	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree
your job.	37.5%	46.3%	8.2%	7.1%	1.0%
involvement in decision making at school.	21.5%	40.0%	22.6%	10.9%	5.0%
the District curriculum.	5.7%	30.4%	36.2%	20.0%	7.7%
opportunities for training on the District curriculum and materials.	11.9%	35.7%	31.0%	16.5%	4.9%
We are provided with adequate information before new practices or procedures are implemented.	8.6%	30.4%	26.1%	26.5%	8.4%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with students.	47.1%	34.3%	10.1%	6.1%	2.3%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with parents.	48.8%	32.8%	11.7%	4.9%	1.9%
The administrator(s) are approachable.	59.3%	26.2%	8.5%	4.2%	1.9%
The administrator(s) are available if I need help.	47.4%	32.7%	11.1%	6.2%	2.6%
The work load in this school is equitably divided.	18.5%	36.0%	20.9%	16.6%	8.0%
We have freedom in our selection of materials.	33.4%	41.7%	18.5%	4.7%	1.8%
I have freedom in selection of teaching materials.	39.2%	38.5%	17.2%	3.9%	1.2%
I have input in purchase of supplemental material.	31.3%	41.2%	19.7%	5.6%	2.2%
Instruction here focuses on student success in meeting the District goals.	34.4%	45.2%	15.0%	3.7%	1.7%
The District curriculum is well defined.	10.1%	36.6%	34.3%	14.6%	4.4%
Teachers here work together effectively.	32.2%	42.2%	15.6%	8.1%	1.9%
Staff and teachers have good working relationships.	38.2%	43.1%	11.1%	6.2%	1.3%
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	30.5%	39.0%	14.6%	9.8%	6.1%
I feel safe at school.	42.9%	37.0%	11.2%	7.2%	1.6%



Anchorage School District Staff Report Card Survey (Continued) **Number = 997**

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Students are safe here.	35.7%	40.6%	12.8%	9.2%	1.7%
School staff are treated with respect by students.	15.3%	43.0%	19.2%	17.0%	5.5%
Students are treated with respect by staff.	37.6%	51.3%	8.8%	1.8%	.5%
Conference/planning time is adequate.	17.2%	32.5%	20.1%	19.8%	10.3%
I integrate computers/technology into instruction.	19.5%	36.0%	25.9%	12.1%	6.4%
Library/media resources are adequate.	16.2%	40.4%	20.8%	15.6%	7.0%
Student guidance and counseling are adequate	15.0%	29.1%	20.5%	20.9%	14.6%
When I do good work it is recognized.	22.3%	35.6%	22.7%	13.4%	6.1%
Staff morale is high.	14.3%	33.5%	24.4%	18.2%	9.6%
We have good support from our parents.	14.0%	36.4%	27.0%	17.4%	5.2%
Students here are well behaved.	8.4%	43.2%	25.2%	17.7%	5.5%



Table 106
Elementary
Parent Report Card Survey
Number = 7,177

Question	Strongly	A	Neutral	Discourse	Strongly
When I think about how well our Anchorage schools are doing, I am generally SATISFIED with:	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree
The performance of the Anchorage School District.	11.0%	42.0%	28.3%	14.3%	4.3%
The performance of my child's school.	34.3%	45.4%	14.2%	4.4%	1.7%
The performance of my child's teacher(s).	54.0%	30.3%	10.0%	3.9%	1.8%
When I think about what is being taught, I am general SATISFIED with:					
The instruction my child receives.	37.0%	41.9%	10.8%	5.9%	4.4%
The curriculum at our school.	33.1%	47.2%	14.1%	4.3%	1.4%
When I think about my relationship with the staff, school, and information, I am SATISFIED with:					
My interactions with the principal.	27.6%	32.6%	29.4%	5.7%	4.8%
My interactions with the school office staff.	32.7%	41.2%	20.9%	3.6%	1.5%
My interactions with my child's teachers.	56.2%	31.8%	8.9%	1.9%	1.1%
My ability to get information on classes and school activities.	47.1%	40,6%	9.3%	2.4%	.6%
Information I get on my child's progress.	54.9%	35.4%	6.4%	2.5%	.8%
To what extent do you AGREE with each of the following statements?					
I have the ability to review school books and library materials.	33.6%	38.4%	22.2%	4.6%	1.3%
I am invited to make suggestions about the library materials to be included or excluded.	18.4%	28.8%	36.4%	11.7%	4.7%
I feel welcome at school.	49.1%	31.1%	8.4%	5.7%	5.6%
My child is safe at school.	39.1%	42.5%	12.3%	4.4%	1.6%
My child is safe on the way to and from school.	35.3%	38.9%	16.4%	6.9%	2.5%
I received a copy of the "Student Rights and Responsibilities" document.	47.5%	35.5%	11.9%	2.9%	2.2%
I feel that my child's needs are being met.	34.3%	45.3%	13.6%	5.1%	1.7%



Table 107 Elementary Student Report Card Survey Number = 12,106

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Our school is clean and well maintained.	23.1%	39.3%	27.3%	7.1%	3.2%
My teachers listen to what I say.	43.2%	32.7%	17.1%	4.3%	2.7%
My teachers care about me.	54.2%	27.7%	13.9%	2.4%	1.9%
I am treated fairly by adults here at school.	34.4%	35.0%	19.8%	7.0%	3.8%
I am treated fairly by other students.	18.0%	32.3%	28.6%	12.2%	8.9%
I find my school work interesting.	26.6%	29.1%	27.8%	8.6%	7.9%
I understand the school work I am given.	30.4%	37.5%	25.3%	4.7%	2.1%
Our school rules are fair.	36.2%	27.7%	19.6%	9.3%	7.2%
I was given a "Student Rights and Responsibilities."	49.1%	23.1%	18.3%	3.8%	5.7%
My teachers treat me with respect.	51.1%	29.9%	13.4%	3.5%	2.2%
Students here treat me with respect.	17.0%	32.1%	29.2%	11.6%	10.1%
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	34.7%	29.5%	22.4%	7.8%	5.6%
I like school.	35.5%	22.6%	22.1%	7.9%	12.0%
I am safe at school.	44.0%	28.8%	17.2%	5.7%	4.3%
If I have a problem understanding something, my teacher will help me.	53.2%	29.4%	13.1%	2.4%	1.9%
If I have a problem at school, I know where I can go for help.	52.1%	29.1%	12.9%	3.2%	2.7%
Our school is a friendly place.	26.8%	32.0%	26.9%	8.4%	5.8%
Have chances to participate in school activities.	49.2%	31.4%	13.5%	3.3%	2.5%
I use computers to do my work at school.	18.1%	19.4%	26.0%	13.8%	22.7%
When I have problems with my school, I can get help at home.	59.4%	22.7%	11.8%	3.0%	3.1%
When I do good work at school, it is recognized.	41.3%	29.6%	19.3%	5.7%	4.1%
I get good grades when I try.	58.5%	25.1%	11.2%	3.0%	2.2%
I use computers in my classes.	26.5%	21.4%	22.9%	10.8%	18.4%
The library/media center has the materials I need to do my school work.	31.5%	28.5%	25.4%	8.1%	6.5%
I feel welcome at school.	38.6%	31.2%	20.2%	4.9%	5.1%
I feel safe on the bus and at the bus stop.	18.0%	16.9%	45.8%	7.1%	12.2%
My teachers want me to do well in school.	75.2%	16.7%	5.9%	1.0%	1.2%



Table 108 Elementary Staff Report Card Survey Number = 997

Question	Strongly				Strongly
	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree
Indicate the extent to which you are satisfied with:					
your job.	39.9%	45.3%	7.7%	6.2%	.9%
involvement in decision making at school.	27.4%	42.9%	19.8%	7.8%	2.0%
the District curriculum.	5.0%	31.7%	36.0%	20.2%	7.1%
opportunities for training on the District curriculum and materials.	13.9%	41.0%	28.3%	13.5%	3.4%
We are provided with adequate information before new practices or procedures are implemented.	9.1%	29.6%	27.5%	26.5%	7.2%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with students.	52.6%	31.9%	9.2%	4.7%	1.7%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with parents.	55.1%	29.5%	10.2%	3.8%	1.3%
The administrator(s) are approachable.	64.6%	24.0%	6.7%	3.7%	.9%
The administrator(s) are available if I need help.	52.5%	30.7%	9.7%	5.4%	1.8%
The work load in this school is equitably divided.	21.8%	39.4%	18.4%	14.4%	6.0%
We have freedom in our selection of materials.	35.1%	42.2%	16.5%	4.9%	1.3%
I have freedom in selection of teaching materials.	42.4%	37.7%	15.9%	3.1%	.8%
I have input in purchase of supplemental material.	32.2%	43.6%	17.9%	5.0%	1.3%
Instruction here focuses on student success in meeting the District goals.	39.9%	45.1%	12.2%	2.1%	.7%
The District curriculum is well defined.	12.0%	39.1%	32.9%	12.3%	3.7%
Teachers here work together effectively.	38.6%	39.2%	13.6%	6.7%	1.8%
Staff and teachers have good working relationships.	44.3%	39.1%	10.3%	4.8%	1.4%



Elementary
Staff Report Card Survey
(Continued)
Number = 997

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	37.1%	38.7%	13.1%	7.8%	3.4%
I feel safe at school.	52.5%	35.1%	7.7%	4.0%	.8%
Students are safe here.	44.9%	39.8%	9.2%	5.2%	.9%
School staff are treated with respect by students.	18.6%	44.0%	19.3%	14.6%	3.5%
Students are treated with respect by staff.	47.5%	45.3%	5.7%	1.0% .	.5%
Conference/planning time is adequate.	13.5%	28.3%	19.9%	24.6%	13.8%
I integrate computers/technology into instruction.	19.3%	40.9%	25.5%	9.6%	4.7%
Library/media resources are adequate.	17.7%	42.3%	19.0%	15.3%	5. 7 %
Student guidance and counseling are adequate	14.1%	22.1%	19.4%	22.9%	21.5%
When I do good work it is recognized.	25.5%	39.7%	20.7%	10.5%	3.7%
Staff morale is high.	18.4%	37.7%	21.9%	15.1%	6.9%
We have good support from our parents.	17.3%	36.7%	24.3%	16.1%	5.6%
Students here are well behaved.	9.8%	46.2%	23.3%	14.8%	5.8%



Table 109
Middle Level
Parent Report Card Survey
Number = 2,828

Question	Strongly			 	Strongly
When I think about how well our Anchorage	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree
schools are doing, I am generally SATISFIED with:					
The performance of the Anchorage School District.	12.6%	44.7%	25.2%	13.5%	4.0%
The performance of my child's school.	29.4%	51.0%	13.5%	4.2%	1.8%
The performance of my child's teacher(s).	34.7%	48.7%	12.0%	4.1%	.5%
When I think about what is being taught, I am general SATISFIED with:					
The instruction my child receives.	27.4%	53.0%	13.3%	5.3%	1.0%
The curriculum at our school.	26.0%	50.8%	15.4%	6.2%	1.5%
When I think about my relationship with the staff, school, and information, I am SATISFIED with:					
My interactions with the principal.	24.9%	31.9%	37.4%	3.3%	2.4%
My interactions with the school office staff.	28.2%	40.9%	25.1%	4.0%	1.7%
My interactions with my child's teachers.	38.4%	43.9%	13.3%	3.2%	1.2%
My ability to get information on classes and school activities.	32.9%	45.5%	13.4%	6.4%	1.8%
Information I get on my child's progress.	37.2%	44.1%	9.8%	7.0%	1.8%
To what extent do you AGREE with each of the following statements?					
I have the ability to review school books and library materials.	21.0%	36.3%	32.5%	8.4%	1.9%
I am invited to make suggestions about the library materials to be included or excluded.	13.9%	21.5%	45.8%	13.9%	4.8%
I feel welcome at school.	40.8%	42.1%	13.2%	2.7%	1.2%
My child is safe at school.	25.7%	48.0%	18.2%	6.6%	1.6%
My child is safe on the way to and from school.	28.2%	45.4%	17.3%	7. 0%	2.0%
I received a copy of the "Student Rights and Responsibilities" document.	52.4%	38.1%	6.8%	1.8%	.9%
I feel that my child's needs are being met.	23.6%	47.3%	17.8%	8.0%	3.3%



Table 110 Middle Level Student Report Card Survey Number = 10.382

Number = 10,382								
Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree			
T	<u>Gr 3-4</u>	Gr 5-6	Gr 7-8	Gr 9-12				
I am in grade:	.9%	1.7%	96.9%	.5%				
Our school is clean and well maintained.	8.0%	37.0%	33.7%	14.0%	7.3%			
My teachers listen to what I say.	10.3%	35.1%	32.9%	14.3%	7.5%			
My teachers care about me.	13.0%	33.8%	36.0%	10.3%	6.9%			
I am treated fairly by adults here at school.	10.7%	32.5%	33.0%	14.9%	8.8%			
I am treated fairly by other students.	13.0%	36.8%	30.3%	12.4%	7.5%			
I find my school work interesting.	5.3%	20.0%	38.2%	20.3%	16.2%			
I understand the school work I am given.	16.4%	38.0%	33.9%	8.4%	3.3%			
Our school rules are fair.	8.2%	22.2%	29.7%	20.4%	19.4%			
I was given a "Student Rights and Responsibilities."	33.9%	29.4%	23.3%	6.3%	7.1%			
My teachers treat me with respect.	15.4%	37.0%	31.7%	10.0%	5.9%			
Students here treat me with respect.	12.1%	34.5%	32.7%	12.1%	8.6%			
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	11.1%	28.2%	33.9%	15.2%	11.6%			
I like school.	10.8%	21.9%	34.4%	12.8%	20.1%			
I am safe at school.	13.4%	33.1%	33.0%	12.0%	8.6%			
If I have a problem understanding something, my teacher will help me.	19.9%	39.5%	29.3%	6.8%	4.5%			
If I have a problem at school, I know where I can go for help.	25.1%	38.6%	24.2%	7.3%	4.7%			
Our school is a friendly place.	5.8%	24.8%	41.5%	16.9%	11.0%			
Have chances to participate in school activities.	26.9%	41.2%	23.1%	4.7%	4.1%			
I use computers to do my work at school.	10.2%	18.0%	30.1%	22.4%	19.3%			
When I have problems with my school, I can get help at home.	37.4%	32.3%	20.1%	6.0%	4.2%			
When I do good work at school, it is recognized.	17.2%	30.9%	31.0%	12.5%	8.3%			
I get good grades when I try.	40.4%	34.4%	17.4%	4.6%	3.3%			
I use computers in my classes.	6.8%	14.5%	28.1%	24.6%	26.0%			
The library/media center has the materials I need to do my school work.	14.3%	30.8%	35.1%	12.3%	7.5%			
I feel welcome at school.	11.0%	32.6%	37.6%	10.5%	8.4%			
I feel safe on the bus and at the bus stop.	20.1%	29.7%	30.8%	8.6%	10.9%			
My teachers want me to do well in school.	31.6%	37.1%	22.8%	4.2%	4.2%			

Table 111 Middle Level Staff Report Card Survey Number = 912

Question	Strongly		-	 	Strongly
	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree
Indicate the extent to which you are satisfied with:					
your job.	34.1%	50.5%	6.8%	7.2%	1.4%
involvement in decision making at school.	14.3%	35.8%	27.0%	12.3%	10.6%
the District curriculum.	7.9%	29.3%	39.3%	16.4%	7.1%
opportunities for training on the District curriculum and materials.	11.3%	28.8%	37.6%	16.8%	5.5%
We are provided with adequate information before new practices or procedures are implemented.	7.7%	33.5%	22.3%	25.8%	10.8%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with students.	35.0%	40.4%	10.8%	8.5%	5.4%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with parents.	38.2%	36.3%	14.3%	6.2%	5.0%
The administrator(s) are approachable.	49.1%	27.8%	10.0%	6.9%	6.2%
The administrator(s) are available if I need help.	41.0%	32.3%	12.5%	8.0%	6.3%
The work load in this school is equitably divided.	10.8%	34.6%	25.9%	18.2%	10.5%
We have freedom in our selection of materials.	29.1%	42.5%	21.8%	2.5%	4.2%
I have freedom in selection of teaching materials.	32.4%	42.9%	18.8%	3.5%	2.4%
I have input in purchase of supplemental material.	32.5%	38.1%	19.7%	6.9%	2.8%
Instruction here focuses on student success in meeting the District goals.	28.2%	46.9%	17.2%	2.9%	4.8%
The District curriculum is well defined.	7.6%	27.1%	40.3%	18.8%	6.3%
Teachers here work together effectively.	18.5%	48.3%	21.6%	9.6%	2.1%
Staff and teachers have good working relationships.	24.6%	49.5%	13.0%	9.9%	3.1%
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	22.3%	41.8%	18.2%	9.2%	8.6%
I feel safe at school.	29.8%	44.4%	13.9%	9.5%	2.4%



Middle Level Staff Report Card Survey (Continued) Number = 912

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Students are safe here.	23.7%	46.7%	13.1%	13.7%	2.7%
School staff are treated with respect by students.	7.8%	43.0%	19.1%	19.5%	10.6%
Students are treated with respect by staff.	20.9%	65.1%	10.3%	3.4%	.3%
Conference/planning time is adequate.	27.8%	40.5%	18.2%	10.0%	3.4%
I integrate computers/technology into instruction.	20.8%	29.1%	28.0%	14.5%	7.6%
Library/media resources are adequate.	17.0%	45.9%	18.7%	13.3%	5.1%
Student guidance and counseling are adequate	18.0%	43.9%	19.0%	14.6%	4.4%
When I do good work it is recognized.	20.1%	33.7%	22.8%	15.6%	7.8%
Staff morale is high.	7.9%	28.5%	29.9%	20.6%	13.1%
We have good support from our parents.	10.3%	39.7%	26.0%	15.4%	8.6%
Students here are well behaved.	5.7%	40.1%	24.7%	21.5%	7.9%



Table 112 High School
Parent Report Card Survey
Number = 741

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly
When I think about how well our Anchorage schools are doing, I am generally SATISFIED with:	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree
The performance of the Anchorage School District.	10.0%	40.9%	27.7%	15.7%	5.7%
The performance of my child's school.	25.1%	51.4%	14.9%	6.9%	1.7%
The performance of my child's teacher(s).	33.7%	48.0%	11.9%	5.0%	1.4%
When I think about what is being taught, I am general SATISFIED with:					
The instruction my child receives.	24.9%	51.4%	13.9%	7.9%	2.0%
The curriculum at our school.	22.9%	47.8%	17.7%	9.0%	2.5%
When I think about my relationship with the staff, school, and information, I am SATISFIED with:					
My interactions with the principal.	21.7%	29.1%	39.5%	5.6%	4.2%
My interactions with the school office staff.	27.8%	41.8%	23.7%	4.4%	2.3%
My interactions with my child's teachers.	36.7%	46.0%	12.6%	3.7%	1.0%
My ability to get information on classes and school activities.	26.6%	46.1%	16.2%	8.3%	2.8%
Information I get on my child's progress.	30.0%	43.4%	12.3%	9.7%	4.6%
To what extent do you AGREE with each of the following statements?					
I have the ability to review school books and library materials.	19.2%	32.8%	36.1%	9.3%	2.6%
I am invited to make suggestions about the library materials to be included or excluded.	10.1%	18.0%	48.8%	16.5%	6.7%
I feel welcome at school.	37.3%	43.3%	13.3%	4.3%	1.7%
My child is safe at school.	18.1%	49.4%	18.5%	11.3%	2.6%
My child is safe on the way to and from school.	20.9%	49.6%	19.2%	7.0%	3.3%
I received a copy of the "Student Rights and Responsibilities" document.	48.9%	37.7%	9.1%	2.0%	2.3%
I feel that my child's needs are being met.	19.8%	47.0%	18.8%	10.3%	4.2%



Table 113 High School
Student Report Card Survey
Number = 6,091

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Our school is clean and well maintained.	6.2%	27.2%	35.9%	19.6%	11.1%
My teachers listen to what I say.	8.7%	36.3%	36.1%	12.0%	6.8%
My teachers care about me.	9.9%	32.1%	40.2%	11.2%	6.5%
I am treated fairly by adults here at school.	8.0%	30.9%	34.2%	17.6%	9.3%
I am treated fairly by other students.	11.0%	41.5%	32.2%	9.9%	5.5%
I find my school work interesting.	4.3%	20.2%	39.5%	21.7%	14.3%
I understand the school work I am given.	15.9%	40.6%	32.0%	7.8%	3.6%
Our school rules are fair.	6.0%	23.6%	35.1%	21.2%	14.0%
I was given a "Student Rights and Responsibilities."	23.3%	28.6%	25.8%	10.9%	11.4%
My teachers treat me with respect.	10.3%	37.9%	34.8%	11.1%	6.0%
Students here treat me with respect.	11.3%	37.6%	34.7%	10.2%	6.1%
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	8.3%	29.5%	33.6%	17.6%	10.9%
I like school.	9.1%	26.4%	35.1%	14.0%	15.4%
I am safe at school.	9.8%	33.0%	34.6%	14.5%	8.1%
If I have a problem understanding something, my teacher will help me.	14.2%	42.8%	30.7%	8.2%	4.1%
If I have a problem at school, I know where I can go for help.	17.8%	40.5%	27.7%	9.0%	5.1%
Our school is a friendly place.	6.0%	26.8%	42.1%	16.5%	8.6%
Have chances to participate in school activities.	19.4%	41.8%	25.3%	8.1%	5.4%
I use computers to do my work at school.	10.5%	22.6%	26.7%	22.6%	17.7%
When I have problems with my school, I can get help at home.	25.3%	34.1%	24.6%	9.8%	6.2%
When I do good work at school, it is recognized.	11.4%	29.7%	33.7%	16.5%	8.8%
I get good grades when I try.	35.8%	39.4%	17.7%	4.0%	3.0%
I use computers in my classes.	6.7%	16.7%	26.7%	25.2%	24.6%
The library/media center has the materials I need to do my school work.	7.6%	27.0%	38.1%	15.5%	11.7%
I feel welcome at school.	8.4%	33.4%	40.6%	10.9%	6.8%
I feel safe on the bus and at the bus stop.	12.4%	26.7%	40.6%	9.6%	10.8%
My teachers want me to do well in school.	20.2%	39.7%	29.0%	6.2%	4.9%



Table 114
High School
Staff Report Card Survey
Number = 343

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Indicate the extent to which you are satisfied with:					
your job.	31.8%	45.9%	12.2%	9.2%	.9%
involvement in decision making at school.	12.6%	33.3%	26.7%	19.5%	7.8%
the District curriculum.	5.4%	27.8%	35.3%	22.1%	9.5%
opportunities for training on the District curriculum and materials.	6.9%	29.3%	30.3%	24.5%	9.0%
We are provided with adequate information before new practices or procedures are implemented.	7.6%	28.0%	24.6%	28.7%	11.1%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with students.	37.2%	39.7%	14.5%	7.2%	1.4%
The principal and other staff provide me with the support I need when working with parents.	36.0%	43.4%	13.3%	7.0%	.3%
The administrator(s) are approachable.	50.8%	33.2%	11.7%	3.4%	.9%
The administrator(s) are available if I need help.	37.6%	39.4%	14.4%	6.7%	1.8%
The work load in this school is equitably divided.	11.7%	26.2%	23.8%	25.0%	13.3%
We have freedom in our selection of materials.	28.6%	42.2%	20.9%	7.4%	.9%
I have freedom in selection of teaching materials.	33.9%	39.1%	20.2%	5.9%	.9%
I have input in purchase of supplemental material.	25.6%	41.6%	22.1%	6.9%	3.8%
Instruction here focuses on student success in meeting the District goals.	19.7%	47.9%	21.7%	9.0%	1.7%
The District curriculum is well defined.	6.8%	36.3%	34.8%	16.8%	5.3%
Teachers here work together effectively.	20.1%	46.8%	18.8%	12.5%	1.8%
Staff and teachers have good working relationships.	26.2%	51.5%	12.8%	9.5%	0.0%
Our school rules are fairly enforced.	15.5%	37.6%	18.2%	18.5%	10.3%
I feel safe at school.	29.3%	36.7%	18.2%	12.5%	3.3%



High School Staff Report Card Survey (Continued) Number = 343

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Students are safe here.	22.0%	37.8%	21.3%	15.9%	3.0%
School staff are treated with respect by students.	9.6%	41.9%	20.1%	21.6%	6.9%
Students are treated with respect by staff.	21.5%	61.9%	14.2%	1.8%	.6%
Conference/planning time is adequate.	12.8%	35.0%	25.0%	18.4%	8.8%
I integrate computers/technology into instruction.	16.9%	32.3%	25.9%	15.3%	9.6%
Library/media resources are adequate.	9.1%	30.4%	25.4%	21.9%	13.2%
Student guidance and counseling are adequate	16.9%	36.8%	23.9%	18.4%	4.0%
When I do good work it is recognized.	13.8%	27.0%	27.9%	19.5%	11.7%
Staff morale is high.	7.3%	25.4%	25.4%	26.6%	15.4%
We have good support from our parents.	8.4%	34.5%	30.6%	22.8%	3.6%
Students here are well behaved.	5.9%	36.6%	31.1%	22.4%	4.0%



Question: What is the budgeting process?

Answer: Active involvement of all interested individuals in the annual budget development process is invited and encouraged. Community members' suggestions and input add much to the decision making processes involved in developing the budget. Parents, other community members, staff members, and students may become involved in a number of ways. The parent teacher organizations in the schools work closely with principals. Advisory groups and committees focus on a number of common concerns and interests. Many of these groups and committees make budget development suggestions and recommendations each year. The School Board conducts public hearings in September during which budget recommendations are reviewed and discussed in detail. Public testimony at these meetings is important and is strongly encouraged. Budget hearings are also cable cast on Channel 43 and a phone-in number is available to viewers so that questions can be answered on the air.

If you would like more information on how to provide suggestions or to contact the groups which are currently working with the School District, please contact the principal of your neighborhood school. You may also call the Public Affairs Office at 269-2131 for additional information and the contact telephone numbers for any of the groups in which you may be interested.

Discussion: The overall Anchorage School District budget provides for an expenditure of \$6,204 for each student. According to the State of Alaska School Report Card, Anchorage has less to spend per child than any other Alaska District. The student population is stated with half-day kindergarten students on a full-time student equivalent (FTE) of .5 basis and full-day kindergarten students are included on a FTE of 1.0 basis.

Please examine the Anchorage School District Budget for a full accounting of expenditures including the costs associated with construction and food services.

Table 115

1991-92 through 1994-95 Actual Expenditures,
1995-96 Unaudited Expenditures,
and 1996-97 Adopted Budget
for General Fund

Year	Actual Expenditures General Fund	Student Population Full-Time Equivalence	Expenditures per Student FTE	
1997-98	\$296,808,000**	46,975	\$6,318	
1996-97	\$290,343,243*	46,799	\$6,204	
1995-96	\$281,381,980	46,447	\$6,058	
1994-95	\$276,768,039	46,220	\$5,988	
1993-94	\$278,274,092	45,828	\$6,072	
1992-93	\$272,219,905	44,879	\$6,066	

Unaudited



 ^{*} Budgeted

Question: How do the performances of individual schools vary on the indicators used in the profile?

Answer: While the overall District indicators are very positive with norm referenced test performance and ACT/SAT scores for college bound seniors well above the national average, there are substantial differences in the test scores of individual schools.

Some schools are boundary free providing education with a special instructional flavor or philosophy for students drawn from throughout the community. Some schools have special programs which house groups of students with special needs. Some schools offer both school-within-a-school optional programs and the regular curriculum. Most schools are neighborhood schools reflecting the community in which they are located.

The individual school profiles include many of the factors which affect performance. Crowded schools and crowded classrooms, students who come from an impoverished environment, and high levels of student mobility are all factors which make the task of education more difficult. Adequate and uncrowded classrooms, stable attendance, and well prepared students make the task easier.

The individual profiles show schools where positive achievement growth is the norm. Most Anchorage schools have levels of performance above the expected national average. The schools with lower performance tend to be those schools with the highest percentages of students qualifying for free and reduced price lunch, the lowest stability, and the highest student mobility. But even in those schools where achievement is the most difficult, the majority of the students who continue from year to year show that they make the expected one year or more of academic gain.

Discussion: Individual school profiles are expanded to include more information on each school. Elementary profiles now include school goals from individual school report cards, number of students retained, student membership and attendance, staffing, ethnicity, free lunch information, stability and mobility information, and the identification of special programs offered in the school. Secondary schools have additional information on SAT/ACT scores, grades, and the rate at which students are earning credits.

While this is a detailed statistical profile, it still does not give a sense of the spirit and vivacity found in the individual schools. Only a visit to the school and talking with students and staff provide a real sense of the vitality and character of Anchorage schools.

There is a focus on achievement in the school profiles. The achievement indicators include norm referenced test scores earned by students in the spring of 1997. There is also an examination of the growth of students who have continued in the school for a full year. Students who are counted as having a "Gain" or "Loss" have improved or lost 7 or more NCE points over the past year. Students who have moved from grade 6 to grade 7 and from grade 8 to grade 9 have for the most part actually changed school buildings but are following the normal path of Anchorage students so they are included in measures of growth.

Tables 116 which begins the section provides a profile of performance across the District with which individual school performance might be compared. The first section of the table shows the areas where school goals have been identified for elementary, middle, and high schools. The schools are charged to identify from three to five goals, two of which must address improving student achievement or the instructional program. Detailed discussions of individual school goals and their accomplishments are available from the individual schools in the School Report Card for 1996-97. Schools must have goals, and progress toward those goals must be reviewed with members of the community each year.



The next section shows the average percentile rank scores and the progress made by students who have continued from one year to the next. Average scores for the District are above the expected 50th percentile. While this does not mean that an individual student is "above average," it means that on the whole Anchorage students do quite well. At every grade level, more than 70 percent of the continuing Anchorage students are showing the expected full year or more of academic growth as measured on the norm referenced tests.

The next section shows the five year historical profile of scores in Total Reading, Total Language Arts, and Total Mathematics at grades 4, 6, and 8 as well as the Reading and Mathematics scores for grade 11. There is a consistent pattern of scores which show strong academic achievement. The above average norm referenced test performance is consistent with the above average ACT/SAT history of our college bound students.

Elementary retention rates, free and reduced price lunch program participation, progress made by students toward graduation, graduation rate, and the stability and mobility of the district population all support a positive overall image of the Anchorage School District. Examination of these figures for the individual schools show that there is a great deal of variation among programs and student populations.

Many Anchorage schools have large numbers of students who come from low income homes and have a high student mobility. These are the schools where the task of education is most difficult and where teachers have to work the hardest to help students achieve. Schools with the greatest needs have some additional support from special programs such as Even Start, Title I, Bilingual Education, Migrant Education, and the Anchorage Underachieving Schools program. Examination of the tables which follow provides some insight into the size, diversity, and achievement of one of the ninety largest public school districts in the United States.



Table 116

ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	P 47,	,935	STAFFING*	FTE	
Percent of C		1.0	240/	Principals/Asst. Principals/Dean	114.0	
Elementary Secondary)4% %**	Classroom Teachers	1813.1	
	only for schools wi	th a design	ated	Special Education Teachers	315.9	
capacity. ** Gruening st not reflected	udents housed at E d in % of capacity.	irchwood a	ire	Librarians	71.5	
Special Edu	Special Education 7,477		Counselors	58.2		
Gifted		2,	247	Music Teachers	55.4	
Bilingual		2,	827	Art Teachers	29.25	
!				Physical Education Teachers	56.3	
				Gifted Teachers	30.93	
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE/				Bilingual Teachers	24.5	
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Average Daily Attendance 44,537.9				Nurses	56.95	
Average Daily Membership 47,500.7		Nurse Assistants	7.375			
Percentage of Da	Percentage of Daily Attendance 93.8%		8%	Title I Coordinators	12.8	
C	ELEMENTARY			Indian Education Tutor/Counselor 13.		
CL	ASSROOM GRO 20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	75.46	
Primary	134	449	3	Title I Specialists	23.47	
Intermediate	15	289	33	Project Pride Advocates	10.0	
Combination	8	165	3	Indian Education Community Counselor	3.0	
Team Teaching	-	1 .	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	27.11	
Intermediate	2		-	Special Education Aides	179.63	
C	SECONDARY ASSROOM GRO	NIDC		Media/Career Resource Aides	24.0	
CL	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Clerical Support Staff	170.63	
English	58	368	185	Custodians	256.0	
Math	33	286	202			
Science	16	351	181			
Social Studies	22	302	212	* Only schools in following profiles are inc	cluded	
			703			

Budget *

120

 1995-96 Expenditures
 \$281,635,167

 1996-97 Unaudited Expenditures
 \$284,282,354

 1997-98 Adopted Budget
 \$296,808,000

 ** Dellars beginning
 \$296,808,000

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Special Programs: ABC Program, Alaska 2,000 Grant, Alternative ABC, Bilingual Ed., Classroom Delivery Model, Community Schools, Full-Day Kindergarten, Gifted Service School, Indian Ed., Intensive Accelerated Program, Intensive Service Schools, Migrant Ed., Open Optional Alternative, Project Pride, Slingerland, Spanish Immersion, Special Ed. Intensive Service Site, Special Ed. Preschool Site, Title I, Under Achieving School Grant

Elementary/Middle Retention Report									
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	121 .03%	17 .004%	15 .003%	12 .003%	9 .002%	8 .002%	109 .03%	69 .02%	360 .01%

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White 32,546 67.93%	Black 4,182 8.73%	American Native 5,392 11.25%	Asian / Pac Islander 3,558 7.43%	Hispanic 2,233 4.66%	Total Minority 15,365 32.07%	District Total 47,911				

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Y</u> ear	School Level	Number of Children Enrolled	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary Middle Level	27,634 7,448	8,256 1,579	29.9% 21.2%						
* Esti	mate of children from l	ow income families is b	oased on Free/Reduced Lunch I	Program.						

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number	6,143	3,844	4,599	2,511	34,638	51,735					
% in One Sch.	66.84%	81.22%	74.26%	73.48%	82.87%	79.63%					
% in Same Sch.	46.57%	52.68%	48.68%	47.87%	57.38%	54.51%					

	Student Population Mobility										
Level	Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
Elementary Secondary	27,634 19,884	3,004 2,166	2,294 3,461	2,530 1,196	2,490 1,192	10,318 8,015	37.3% 40.3%				

		Total D	ropout Rates	<u> </u>		
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
1996-97						
Enrollment	6,143	3,844	4,599	2,511	34,638	51,735
Final Dropouts	374	114	165	95	846	1594
Percent Dropouts	6.09%	2.97%	3.59%	3.78%	3.24%	3.08%



121

ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	61	64	58	55
	No.	3,759	3,443	3,572	3,571
Reading Comprehension	%ile	63	61	61	64
	No.	3,759	3,447	3,575	3,570
Total Reading	%ile	63	64	62	61
	No.	3,751	3,438	3,569	3,567
Language Mechanics	%ile	48	61	69	67
	No.	3,759	3,451	3,586	3,567
Language Expression	%ile	61	62	64	63
	No.	3,727	3,445	3,579	3,571
Total Language	%ile	55	63	67	66
	No.	3,726	3,442	3,578	3,565
Math Computation	%ile	62	63	55	66
	No.	3,750	3,448	3,576	3,571
Math Concepts & Applications	%ile	61	70	67	70
	No.	3,752	3,448	3,570	3,568
Total Mathematics	%ile	63	69	63	68
	No.	3,739	3,442	3,570	3,567
Total Battery	%ile	61	66	67	66
	No.	3,688	3,411	3,541	3,545
Word Analysis	%ile	54	-,	0,011	0,040
	No.	3,761			
Study Skills	%ile	.,	69	62	64
	No.		3,440	3,567	3,569
Spelling	%ile	49	54	53	51
	No.	3,500	3,572	3,601	3,389
Science	%ile	61	66	53	57
	No.	3,748	3,436	3,560	3,560
Social Studies	%ile	61	61	65	60
	No.	3,738	3,426	3,556	3,552



CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GR	ADE		
	_	7	8	9	10	11
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	57	60	55	58	
,	No.	3,339	3,050	3,049	2,867	2,346
Reading Comprehension	%ile	66	63	64	64	59
	No.	3,340	3,051	3,051	2,871	2,352
Total Reading	%ile	64	63	62	62	58
· ·	No.	3,334	3,050	3,047	2,865	2,344
Language Mechanics	%ile	66	60	57	54	52
	No.	3,345	3,053	3,052	2,881	2,348
Language Expression	%ile	53	54	58	55	50
	No.	3,347	3,055	3,052	2,880	2,350
Total Language	%ile	60	57	58	55	51
5 6	No.	3,338	3,049	3,051	2,877	2,348
Math Computation	%ile	64	52	63	60	58
•	No.	3,354	3,053	3,054	2,880	2,352
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	64	73	71	70	65
• • •	No.	3,361	3,058	3,049	2,875	2,348
Total Mathematics	%ile	66	64	67	65	63
	No.	3,352	3,048	3,048	2,872	2,346
Total Battery	%ile	64	64	65	64	60
•	No.	3,279	2,998	3,027	2,848	2,322
Study Skills	%ile	58	49	58	52	53
-	No.	3,349	3,058	3,050	2,870	2,325
Spelling	%ile	50	49	52	50	46
	No.	3,351	3,053	3,053	2,879	2,349
Science	%ile	63	76	67	67	57
	No.	3,354	3,061	3,050	2,863	2,318
Social Studies	%ile	65	64	67	63	57
	No.	3,335	3,057	3,046	2,862	2,313



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

	Historical Performance ITBS/TAP Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 Historical Performances									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Battery	64 63 69 66	64 56 62 61	54 54 61	52 52 58	52 51 59				
6	Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Battery	61 66 68 66	61 63 67 64	58 57 62	57 55 61	58 55 62				
8	Total Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Battery	63 57 64 64	63 55 62 62	59 62 59	59 62 58	61 62 60				
11	Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Battery	58 51 63 60	58 53 63 60	62 62	60 - 60	58 - 63				

			SAT / ACT			
Test	Students/Subject	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
	Number Graduates	2319	2,295	2,164	2,184	2,207
SAT	Percent Tested	57%	55%	54%	53%	55%
	Verbal	522	528	449	433	438
	Math	527	529	505	487	488
ACT	Percent Tested	26%	30%	32%	28%	29%
	English	21.8	22.0	21.9	21.8	21.8
	Math	23.6	22.7	22.4	21.9	21.9

Progress Toward Graduation							
Area	9th	Average Cre	edits Earned 11th	<u>12th</u>			
Language Arts Mathematics Science Social Studies Others Total	.88 .85 .85 .85 1.83 5.26	.89 .80 .77 .83 1.81 5.11	.96 .69 .61 .58 2.28 5.12	.96 .45 .40 .85 2.15 4.81			



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

School Goals	Successful	Partial Successful	Un- Successful
Student Achievement - Elementary: Reading, Math, Language Arts, Writing Spelling, Geography, Arts, Science, Communication Skills, Health, Social Studies, Problem Solving	56	19	1
- Middle School: Math, Writing, Communication Skills, Computer Skills, Science, Humanities, Spelling	4	2	-
- High School: Basic Skills, Job Skills Academic Excellence, Departmental Goals, Communication Skills, Science	10	5	1
Discipline and Decorum - Elementary: Discipline, Conflict Resolution, Courtesy, Interpersonal Problem Solving, Violence Reduction	7	6	1
 Middle School: Discipline High School: Anger Management, Sexual Harassment 	1 1	1	-
Student Activities - Elementary: Reading Activities, Writing Activities, Technology Use, Disaster Preparedness, Wellness, Field Trips, Multicultural Activities, Science Activities, Recycling	29	3	•
- Middle School: Technology, Disaster Preparedness, Student Recognition, Self-Respect	3	1	-
- High School: Academic Recognition Activities	3	-	-
Student Attitude - Elementary: Self Esteem, Climate, Motivation to Read, Prejudice Reduction	12	4	0
- Middle School: School Climate, Pride and Belonging, Prejudice Reduction, Self Esteem.	1	-	-
- High School: Multicultural Awareness, Honors Challenge Program, Climate, Anger Management	6	-	-
Student Assessment - Elementary: Portfolios, Writing Assessment, Rubric Development, Skill Check Lists	-	2	-
- Middle School: Performance Activities - Secondary:	1 -	1 -	-
Community Participation - Elementary: Communication, Participation, Conferences,	20	2	
Wellness Activities, Life Long Learning		_	-
- Middle School: Redefine Advisory Committees, Increase Involvement, Communication, Business Advisory Committee	2	1	-
- High School: Community Resources, School Governance Structure, Community Calendar, Parent Involvement, Business Advisory Committee	5	2	-



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

School Goals	Successful	Partial Successful	Un- Successful
Staff/Program Development		-	
 Elementary: Technology Plans, Curriculum Development, Social Studies Adoption, Multi-Media Development, Inclusion, Wellness, Diversity, Restructuring, Disaster Preparedness, Grade Level Expectancies, Increased Planning 	24	10	-
 Time Middle School: Integrated Curriculum, Inclusion, RCCP Team Middle School Teams, Technology, Gifted Program Development, Pride and Belonging Activities, Pacific Rim 	ns, 3	2	-
Focus, Pre-Engineering Program - High School: Programs for the 21st Century, Conflict Resolution, School Accreditation, School Beautification, School Philosophy, Artist In Residence Planning	5	2	-
Other			
- Elementary: Nordic Awareness, Safe Environment, Building Renovation	1	2	-
 Middle School: Tutoring Program, Satellite School Program Secondary: Building Renovation, Transition To New Building 	2 1	1 -	-



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Continuing Student Progress District Treated as a School Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
_		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Continued	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Danding	2.062	21.0	26.5	20.0	45	
4	Reading	2,962	31.2	36.5	32.2	65 	66
	Lang. Arts	2,967	21.1	30.6	48.3	53	64*
	Math	2,972	26.4	31.3	42.3	64	70*
5	Reading	3.088	34.9	35.7	29.5	65	63*
	Lang. Arts	3,095	18.8	33.4	47.8	58	69*
	Math	3,105	32.1	33.5	34.3	64	65
		•					
6	Reading	3,135	33.6	37.3	29.1	64	63*
	Lang. Arts	3,141	31.5	36.5	32.1	67	67
	Math	3,127	21.2	35.9	42.9	62	70*
7	Reading	2,861	24.3	38.9	36.8	62	66*
,	Lang. Arts	2,865	31.9	39.1	29.0	64	62*
	Math	2,805	30.4	41.1	28.5	68	68
	Maut	2,075	30.4	41.1	20.3	00	00
8	Reading	2,618	32.0	41.9	26.1	67	65*
	Lang. Arts	2,629	30.8	39.2	30.0	60	60
	Math	2,626	31.2	44.0	24.8	67	65*
9	Reading	2,571	34.1	41.0	24.9	66	63*
,	Lang. Arts	2,571	26.6	40.3	33.1	57	60*
	Math	2,553	20.7	40.3 42.6	36.7		69*
	Math	2,555	20.7	42.0	36.7	65	697
10	Reading	2,075	26.7	39.1	34.2	62	64*
	Lang. Arts	2,422	29.6	42.7	27.6	58	58
	Math	2,422	32.4	41.3	26.3	70	68*
11	Reading	1,730	4.27	39.6	17.7	69	61*
11	Lang. Arts	2,005	38.7	39.6 41.0	20.2		54*
	Math	2,003	38.7 37.6	41.0		60 70	
	MISTA	2,002	37.0	41.2	21.2	70	66*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

Students Continuing in One School Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	1996	1997
_		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	Mean	Mean
Gr	ade/Area	<u>Continued</u>	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	NCE	NCE
4	Reading	2,345	21.4	24.0			
4	•	•	31.4	36.9	31.7	58.4	58.6
	Lang. Arts Math	2,347	21.5	30.6	47.9	51.9	57.9*
	Math	2,356	25.5	31.6	42.9	57.5	61.3*
5	Reading	2,435	34.5	35.6	29.9	58.1	57.2*
	Lang. Arts	2,443	19.4	33.2	47.4	54.1	60.5*
	Math	2,448	31.5	34.0	34.5	57.9	58.2
		·		01.0	51. 5	37.7	30.2
6	Reading	2,555	34.7	37.4	27.9	57.7	56.8*
	Lang. Arts	2,557	31.6	36.8	31.5	59.3	59.4
	Math	3,549	21.3	37.2	41.6	56.7	61.2*
7	Reading	2,227	24.67	38.8	36.5	56.4	58.7*
	Lang. Arts	2,286	32.2	39.1	28.7	57.5	56.7*
	Math	2,288	30.6	40.9	28.5	57.5 57.8	59.7
8	Reading	2,450	31.9	. 40.6	05.5		
Ü	Lang. Arts	2,458		42.6	25.5	59.1	57.9*
	Math	•	31.2	39.0	29.7	55.2	55.1
	Maui	2,455	31.0	44.2	24.8	59.2	58.4*
9	Reading	2,546	33.5	40.3	24.6	58. <i>7</i>	57.3*
	Lang. Arts	2,547	26.1	39.7	32.6	54.0	55.1*
	Math	2,528	20.2	41.4	36.1	58.0	60.7*
10	Reading	1,965	26.8	20.6			_
10	Lang. Arts	2,298		38.6	34.6	56.5	57.6*
	•	•	29.4	42.9	27.7	54.5	54.2
	Math	2,299	32.4	41.3	26.3	60.9	59. <i>7</i> *
11	Reading	2,347	21.5	30.6	47.9	60.4	55.6*
	Lang. Arts	1,896	39.1	40.9	20.0	55.5	51.2*
	Math	1,892	37.6	40.9	21.5	61.1	56.6*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 117 ABBOTT LOOP ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

0 1 20 1006					
September 30, 1996	Membersh	ip 5	504	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Cap	Percent of Capacity 102%		2%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education 71		Classroom Teachers	20.0		
Gifted			11	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			61	Special Education Teachers	3.5
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE/ AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP			Music Teachers	1.1	
Average Daily Att		480.1		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily Membership 505.0		Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2		
Percentage of Daily Attendance 95.1%		Nurses	1.0		
CLASS	ROOM GR	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
20	& below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	2.625
Primary	4	9	-	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Intermediate	-	4	2	Clerical Support Staff	1. <i>7</i> 5
Combination	-	1	-	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditures \$1,982,012 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,053,108 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,873,704 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.					
Special Programs:	Bilingual E	d., Full-Da	y Kind	ergarten	

ABBOTT LOOP ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
504	84	62	47	56	249	49.4%			

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
316 62.7%	54 10.7%	50 9.9%	31 6.2%	31 6.2%	188 37.3%	504		

Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	504	132	26.2%					
* Esti	imate of children fro	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report									
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
Number Not Promoted	2	1	0	0	0	1	4		
Percent Not Promoted	2.6%	1.4%	0%	0%	0%	1.6%	0.9%		

			Stability Rates of Students									
rican Asian/ ive Pac. Island	er B lack	Hispanic	White	Total								
61 58 9% 77.59%	61 81.97%	32 81.25%	353 81.30%	565 80.71% 51.15%								
	ive Pac. Island	ive Pac. Islander Black 61 58 61 9% 77.59% 81.97%	ive Pac. Islander Black Hispanic 61 58 61 32 9% 77.59% 81.97% 81.25%	ive Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White 61 58 61 32 353 9% 77.59% 81.97% 81.25% 81.30%								



ABBOTT LOOP ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	60	63	51	48
	No.	76	64	64	59
Reading Comprehension	%ile	58	54	57	56
	No.	76	63	64	59
Total Reading	%ile	60	59	55	52
	No.	76	63	64	5 2
Language Mechanics	%ile	40	51	62	65
	No.	76	64	64	59
Language Expression	%ile	52	57	60	61
-	No.	76	63	63	59
Total Language	%ile	46	55	60	62
	No.	76	63	63	59
Math Computation	%ile	45	5 <i>7</i>	48	55
-	No.	75	65	63	59
Math Concepts & Applications	%ile	46	69	63	67
	No.	75	64	63	59
Total Mathematics	%ile	44	65	58	61
	No.	74	64	63	59
Total Battery	%ile	50	59	58	59
	No.	74	63	63	59
Word Analysis	%ile	54		00	0,
	No.	76			
Study Skills	%ile		64	60	60
	No.		64	63	59
Spelling	%ile	53	49	45	49
	No.	76	64	64	59
Science	%ile	54	65	54	53
	No.	76	65	63	59
Social Studies	%ile	59	55	62	52
	No.	76	65	63	59

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	_93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	59	45	46	40	42		
4	Total Language Arts	55	46	46	37	34		
4	Total Mathematics	65	58	49	41	41		
6	Total Reading	52	53	53	49	54		
6	Total Language Arts	62	55	54	53	51		
6	Total Mathematics	61	56	64	51	55		



ABBOTT LOOP ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase problem solving percentile by 5% growth	Successful
Increase skills in science concepts/applications	Successful
Promote health/safety environment to include Disaster Preparedness	Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4 Reading	36	36.1	19.4	44.4	53	56
Lang. Arts	35	20.0	34.3	4 5.7	51	55
Math	38	10.5	28.9	60.5	50	60*
5 Reading	39	23.1	35.9	41.0	49	53
Lang. Arts	37	10.8	43.2	45.9	49	54*
Math	39	33.3	35.9	30.8	54	55
6 Reading	43	18.6	44.2	37.2	49	51
Lang. Arts	45	31.1	33.3	35.6	57	58
Math	43	18.6	23.3	58.1	51	57*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 118

AIRPORT HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 19	06 More barel		242	CTAFFING	
September 30, 19	o meindeisu	τħ ?	342	STAFFING	FIE
Percent of C	apacity	9:	5%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Educ	cation		63	Classroom Teachers	13.0
Gifted			8	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			34	Special Education Teachers	5.0
	DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	.8
Average Daily A		321.6		Art Teachers	.4
Average Daily N	1 embership	339.7	7	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.7
Percentage of Da	ily Attendan	ce 94.7	7%	Nurses	.5
CLA	SSROOM GR	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	.4375
2	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	4.25
Primary	-	6	-	Bilingual Tutors	.75
Intermediate	-	5	-	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
Combination	-	2	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
				Custodians	2.0
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expenditures \$1,239,163 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,391,420 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,351,147 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.					
Special Program	s: Bilingual	Ed., Full-Da	ay Kind	ergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Spe	cial Ed.

Intensive Service Site



AIRPORT HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
342	36	21	33	23	113	33.0%		

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
180 52.6%	46 13.5%	60 17.5%	28 8.2%	28 8.2%	162 47.4%	342

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	342	147	43.0%					
* Esti	* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.								

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	_0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	71 76.06% 57.75%	28 100.00% 71.43%	48 89.58% 58.33%	37 70.27% 51.35%	204 78.92% 58.33%	388 80.41% 58.51%			



AIRPORT HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	57	 57	52	40
•	No.	53	35	45	49
Reading Comprehension	%ile	57	51	48	57
•	No.	53	34	45	49
Total Reading	%ile	57	55	51	49
Q	No.	53	34	45	49
Language Mechanics	%ile	35	55	47	64
	No.	53	36	45	49
Language Expression	%ile	57	53	60	55
• •	No.	53	36	45	49
Total Language	%ile	46	54	53	60
	No.	53	36	45	4 9
Math Computation	%ile	65	51	39	48
•	No.	53	36	45	49
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	60	57	57	63
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No.	53	36	45	49
Total Mathematics	%ile	63	55	48	57
	No.	. 53	36	45	49
Total Battery	%ile	56	55	51	53
	No.	53	34	45	49
Word Analysis	%ile	42	V -	10	17
·	No.	53			
Study Skills	%ile		61	55	64
	No.		36	4 5	49
Spelling	%ile	50	45	38	38
	No.	53	36	4 5	49
Science	%ile	55	51	4 5	52
	No.	53	36	45	49
Social Studies	%ile	56	44	64	52
	No.	53	36	45	49

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	55	46	48	44	55		
4	Total Language Arts	54	36	43	46	54		
4	Total Mathematics	55	36	41	48	62		
6	Total Reading	49	53	43	59	60		
6	Total Language Arts	60	5 <i>7</i>	48	56	61		
6	Total Mathematics	57	68	44	56	56		



AIRPORT HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Bring Airport Heights. to same technological levels as other schools	Successful
Improve students' math skills and increase their appreciation for math	Successful
Students will improve their reading comprehension	Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	·	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	28	17.9	46.4	35.7	49	51
	Lang. Arts	30	10.0	26.7	63.3	41	52*
	Math	30	30.0	36.7	33.3	50	52
5	Reading	36	22.2	41.7	36.1	49	53
	Lang. Arts	36	25.0	25.0	50.0	44	52*
	Math	36	22.2	27.8	50.0	44	51*
6	Reading	34	32.4	26.5	41.2	51	51
	Lang. Arts	33	36.4	27.3	36.4	55	5 <i>7</i>
	Math	32	18.8	43.8	37.5	52	55

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



140

Table 119

ALPENGLOW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership	, 5	550	STAFFING	FTE
•	•			FIL
Percent of Capacity	106	5%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education		45	Classroom Teachers	19.5
Gifted		43	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		5	Special Education Teachers	1.8
AVERAGE DAILY ATTE AVERAGE DAILY MEM			Gifted Teachers	2.0
Average Daily Attendance	530.7		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Membership	551.6	,	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of Daily Attendance	96.2	!%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CLASSROOM GRO	UPS		Nurses	.7
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.5
Primary -	9	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
Intermediate -	9	-	Custodians	3.0
Combination -	-	-		
		Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditures 1996-97 Budgeted Amount 1997-98 Adopted Budget * Dollars budgeted or expended	are genera	ıl fund o	\$1,804,248 \$1,789,396 \$1,855,158 ponly.	
Special Programs: Bilingual Ed.,	Gifted Se	ervice S	chool	-



ALPENGLOW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

		Student Pop	pulation Mob	ility		
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
550	78	28	6	14	126	22.9%

		Ethnici	ity ReportOctob	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
493 89.0%	14 2.5%	14 2.5%	9 1.6%	24 4.3%	61 11.0%	554

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	550	34	6.2%
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number	17	11	14	26	518	586	
% in One Sch.	82.35%	81.82%	92.86%	88.46%	89.00%	88.74%	
% in Same Sch.	52.94%	54.55%	50.00%	65.38%	69.69%	68.26%	



ALPENGLOW ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST		 -	GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	82	7 9	74	63
•	No.	78	85	94	64
Reading Comprehension	%ile	78	72	70	63
-	No.	78	85	94	64
Total Reading	%ile	81	77	74	64
<u>-</u>	No.	78	85	94	64
Language Mechanics	%ile	62	<i>7</i> 6	81	70
•	No.	78	84	94	64
Language Expression	%ile	81	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 5	64
• •	No.	78	84	94	64
Total Language	%ile	74	77	80	66
	No.	78	84	94	64
Math Computation	%ile	77	74	51	60
•	No.	79	84	93	64
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	80	70	72
- **	No.	78	85	93	64
Total Mathematics	%ile	79	80	63	67
	No.	78	84	93	64
Total Battery	%ile	81	80	76	66
•	No.	76	84	93	64
Word Analysis	%ile	72		70	01
·	No.	78			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 4	68	63
•	No.	0	85	94	64
Spelling	%ile	58	64	67	49
-	No.	7 9	85	94	64
Science	%ile	79	<i>7</i> 3	65	61
	No.	78	85	94	64
Social Studies	%ile	75	76	73	65
	No.	<i>7</i> 8	85	93	64

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area 96-97 95-96 94-95 <u>93-94</u> 92-93 4 Total Reading 77 79 N/A N/A N/A 4 Total Language Arts 77 76 N/A N/A N/A 4 Total Mathematics 80 84 N/A N/A N/A 6 Total Reading 64 69 N/A N/A N/A 6 **Total Language Arts** 66 71 N/A N/A N/A 6 Total Mathematics 67 67 N/A N/A N/A



ALPENGLOW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Incorporate technology across the curriculum	Successful
Involve students in process writing and editing and implement recreational reading program	Partially Successful
Increase positive student attitude towards math	Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	_	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	61	23.0	29.5	47.5	61	67*
	Lang. Arts	60	15.0	35.0	50.0	60	66*
	Math	60	21.7	23.3	55.0	5 7	68*
5	Reading	84	44.0	31.0	25.0	67	64*
	Lang. Arts	84	22.6	34.5	42.9	66	69*
	Math	83	67.5	16.9	15.7	71	58*
6	Reading	50	44.0	40.0	16.0	62	57*
	Lang. Arts	50	44.0	26.0	30.0	63	59
	Math	50	12.0	34.0	54.0	52	60*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 120

BAXTER ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1	1996 Membershi	n /	188	STAFFING	FTE
ocpienises 50, 1	1990 Wichibershi	Υ -	100	STAITING	FIE
Percent of	Capacity	9:	7%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Edi	ucation		82	Classroom Teachers	19.0
Gifted			17	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			38	Special Education Teachers	3.4
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.0
AVERAGE Average Daily	GE DAILY MEN Attendance	465.1		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	491.2	2	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of I	Daily Attendanc	e 94.7	7%	Nurses	.65
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS	_	Special Education Aides	.75
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Primary	-	10	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625
Intermediate	-	9	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	1	-		
			Bud		
			Dut	.0	
1995-96 Expend				\$1,757,861	
1996-97 Budgete				\$1,650,116	
1997-98 Adopte				\$1,559,241	
	ted or expended			only.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1-6)



BAXTER ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
488	36	34	28	32	130	26.6%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
327 67.4%	60 12.4%	49 10%	24 5.0%	26 5.4%	158 32.6%	534		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	488	144	29.5%						
* Esti	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0.2%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	64	30	68	31	348	541			
% in One Sch.	64.06%	80.00%	<i>7</i> 9.41%	80.65%	85.06%	81.33%			
% in Same Sch.	60.94%	60.00%	55.88%	58.06%	65.80%	63.22%			



BAXTER ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST		 _	GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	58	59	46	48
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	68	83	73
Reading Comprehension	%ile	61	56	47	64
•	No.	<i>7</i> 5	68	83	74
Total Reading	%ile	60	58	47	57
•	No.	75	68	83	<i>7</i> 3
Language Mechanics	%ile	48	57	71	62
	No.	75	68	84	74
Language Expression	%ile	59	58	59	59
	No.	74	68	84	74
Total Language	%ile	54	58	65	60
0 0	No.	74	68	84	74
Math Computation	%ile	56	73	59	64
•	No.	74	68	84	74
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	60	68	61	63
1 11	No.	74	68	84	7 4
Total Mathematics	%ile	59	72	61	64
	No.	74	68	84	74
Total Battery	%ile	59	63	59	61
•	No.	74	68	83	73
Word Analysis	%ile	59	00	00	7.5
,	No.	73			
Study Skills	%ile	, 0	66	54	60
,	No.		68	84	74
Spelling	%ile	60	51	53	48
1 8	No.	75	68	82	4 0 74
Science	%ile	56	68	47	56
	No.	74	68	83	74
Social Studies	%ile	60	47	60	60
	No.	74	68	83	74
					<u>/¥</u>

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	58	54	51	56	45			
4	Total Language Arts	58	43	48	61	40			
4	Total Mathematics	72	60	60	65	46			
6	Total Reading	5 7	65	47	55	58			
6	Total Language Arts	60	65	52	54	59			
6	Total Mathematics	64	58	49	56	53			



BAXTER ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT				
Successful				
Successful				
Partially Successful				

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	-	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	52	32.7	34.6	32.7	58	57
	Lang. Arts	51	29.4	41.2	29.4	58	57
	Math	52	7.7	30.8	61.5	52	64*
5	Reading	62	40.3	37.1	22.6	54	50
	Lang. Arts	62	12.9	21.0	66.1	48	60*
	Math	62	25.8	27.4	46.8	55	58
6	Reading	54	38.9	37.0	24.1	57	55
	Lang. Arts	55	32.7	27.3	40.0	58	58
	Math	56	16.1	44.6	39.3	54	60*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 121 BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	P :	509	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	10	7%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		66	Classroom Teachers	20.0
Gifted			35	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			36	Special Education Teachers	2.6
	GE DAILY ATTI			Music Teachers	1.0
	Attendance	491.5		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	515.1	i	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 95.4	1%	Nurses	.75
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.0
Primary	-	13	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Intermediate	-	6	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
Combination	-	1	-	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopto * Dollars budge	ed Amount	are genera	al fund	\$2,558,245 \$1,861,094 \$1,874,356 only.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Migrant Ed.

149



BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
509	33	24	15	30	102	20.0%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
396 76.9%	14 2.7%	28 5.4%	58 11.3%	19 3.7%	119 23.1%	515			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	509	50	9.8%						
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	2 3.0%	1 1.0%	1 1.0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 1.0%

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number	35	61	14	23	427	560					
% in One Sch.	74.29%	93.44%	100.00%	82.61%	84.31%	85.00%					
% in Same Sch.	62.86%	60.66%	78.57%	43.48%	68.15%	66.25%					



BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	67	71	67	54
	No.	77	55	64	66
Reading Comprehension	%ile	7 2	62	70	68
•	No.	77	55	64	66
Total Reading	%ile	72	67	70	64
_	No.	77	55	64	66
Language Mechanics	%ile	68	74	78	71
	No.	77	55	65	66
Language Expression	%ile	77	72	69	62
• •	No.	77	55	65	66
Total Language	%ile	75	74	76	67
	No.	77	55	65	66
Math Computation	%ile	70	91	67	85
•	No.	77	55	65	66
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	69	82	78	70
• ••	No.	77	55	65	66
Total Mathematics	%ile	71	89	76	79
	No.	<i>7</i> 7	55	65	66
Total Battery	%ile	75	80	77	72
-	No.	<i>77</i>	55	64	66
Word Analysis	%ile	<i>7</i> 1		•	
•	No.	<i>7</i> 7			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 8	67	69
	No.		55	65	66
Spelling	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	57	61	49
	No.	77	55	64	66
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 4	68	65	59
	No.	77	55	65	66
Social Studies	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	<i>7</i> 5	70	65
	No.	77	55	65	66

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	67	<i>7</i> 2	6 5	67	59				
4	Total Language Arts	<i>7</i> 4	67	62	70	58				
4	Total Mathematics	89	84	76	78	61				
6	Total Reading	64	68	6 5	64	61				
6	Total Language Arts	67	71	60	65	61				
6	Total Mathematics	<i>7</i> 9	76	62	70	69				

148



BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Successful
Partially Successful
Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	45	28.9	42.2	28.9	61	59
	Lang. Arts	47	6.4	34.0	59.6	52	63*
	Math	46	8.7	23.9	67.4	62	78*
5	Reading	51	39.2	31.4	29.4	63	63
	Lang. Arts	53	13.2	41.5	45.3	60	67*
	Math	53	35.8 .	37.7	26.4	72	68
6	Reading	50	28.0	38.0	34.0	59	61
	Lang. Arts	49	40.8	34.7	24.5	65	61
	Math	48	18.8	35.4	45.8	62	67*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 122

BEAR VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p ·		STAFFING	FTE		
, , ,		•			112		
Percent of	Percent of Capacity 101%		Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0			
Special Ed	lucation		47	Classroom Teachers	18.5		
Gifted			48	Librarians	1.0		
Bilingual			15	Special Education Teachers	1.2		
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	1.0		
Average Daily		467.7		Music Teachers	1.0		
Average Daily	Membership	491.5	7	Art Teachers	.5		
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 95.1	1%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0		
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	.65		
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	.625		
Primary	3	8	-	Bilingual Tutors	.5		
Intermediate	-	9	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625		
Combination	-	-	-	Custodians	2.5		
			D., -	lget *			
1995-96 Expend	ditures		Duo	\$1,917,162			
1996-97 Budget				\$1,715,135	ļ		
1997-98 Adopt				\$1,588,337			
* Dollars budge	* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.						
Special Programs: Rilingual Ed. Community School Cited Source School AC.							

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Gifted Service School, Migrant Ed.



BEAR VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
488	30	16	11	6	63	12.9%		

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
449 91.6%	2 0.4%	27 5.5%	10 2.0%	2 0.4%	41 8.4%	490		

		Free/Reduced Pr	ice Lunch Information						
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	1996-97 Elementary 488 23 4.7%								
* Est	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report									
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	27 92.59% 62.96%	11 72.73% 81.82%	2 100.00% 100.00%	2 100.00% 100.00%	480 91.46% 76.67%	522 91.19% 76.25%				



BEAR VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	77	80	71	68
	No.	73	76	74	84
Reading Comprehension	%ile	83	77	72	70
	No.	72	76	74	84
Total Reading	%ile	82	81	75	71
	No.	72	76	74	84
Language Mechanics	%ile	67	79	81	74
•	No.	73	76	<i>7</i> 5	84
Language Expression	%ile	80	83	78	76
• •	No.	73	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 5	84
Total Language	%ile	75	84	82	76
	No.	73	<i>7</i> 5	75	84
Math Computation	%ile	71	77	<i>7</i> 8	72
•	No.	72	76	<i>7</i> 5	84
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	69	81	84	85
	No.	73	76	75	84
Total Mathematics	%ile	72	82	84	79
•	No.	72	76	<i>7</i> 5	84
Total Battery	%ile	80	85	83	77
•	No.	71	<i>7</i> 5	74	84
Word Analysis	%ile	65	,,	, 1	01
•	No.	73			
Study Skills	%ile	, 0	81	<i>7</i> 3	<i>7</i> 5
·	No.		76	74	84
Spelling	%ile	69	76	66	61
. 0	No.	72	76	7 4	84
Science	%ile	72	84	67	74
	No.	73	<i>7</i> 5	74	83
Social Studies	%ile	79	81	7 2	71
	No.	72	75	72 74	83

	ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	81	80	68	72	66				
4	Total Language Arts	84	78	70	73	64				
4	Total Mathematics	82	80	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 5	67				
6	Total Reading	71	70	64	76	70				
6	Total Language Arts	76	<i>7</i> 5	62	69	63				
6	Total Mathematics	79	80	66	73	<i>7</i> 5				



BEAR VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Students will become more competent writers	Successful
Continue successful implementation of "inquiry based" Earth Systems Elementary Science Program	Successful
Enhance and increase emphasis on student recognition, discipline, and "team work"	Successful
Effectively utilize technology as a "tool" that students become increasingly competent in manipulating	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	Less Than One One Yr.'s M		1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	65	53.8	35.4	10.8	78	69*
	Lang. Arts	65	16.9	40.0	43.1	67	72*
	Math	65	26.2	40.0	33.8	69	71
5	Reading	67	43.3	22.4	34.3	68	66
	Lang. Arts	68	20.6	35.3	44.1	66	71*
	Math	68	22.1	30.9	47.1	68	73*
6	Reading	71	54.9	35.2	9.9	69	62*
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 3	37.0	46.6	16.4	69	66
	Math	<i>7</i> 2	34.7	44.4	20.8	72	68

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 123

BIRCHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	D 3	357	STAFFING	FTE
,		•			TIE
Percent of	Capacity	7	8%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	Special Education 86 Gifted 23 Bilingual 5 AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE/ AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP erage Daily Attendance 341.1 erage Daily Membership 360.1 centage of Daily Attendance 94.7%		Classroom Teachers	12.0	
Gifted			23	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			5	Special Education Teachers	3.5
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP				Music Teachers	.8
				Art Teachers	.4
Average Daily Membership 360.1				Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 94.7	7%	Nurses	. 7 5
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	3.5
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.2
Primary	2	6	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Intermediate	-	2	2	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	1	-		
<u> </u>			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopt * Dollars budge	ed Amount	are genera	al fund (\$1,320,627 \$1,280,328 \$1,306,282 ponly.	

Special Programs: Alternative ABC, Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site, Special Ed. Pre-School Site



BIRCHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
357	46	23	15	29	113	31.7%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
308 86.8%	4 1.1%	32 9.0%	3 .9%	8 2.3%	47 13.2%	355		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	357	46	12.9%						
* Esti	mate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report									
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
Number Not Promoted	3	0	0	0	0	0	3		
Percent Not Promoted	5.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.9%		

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	39	4	6	11	351	411				
% in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	74.36% 66.67%	75.00% 75.00%	66.67% 66.67%	72.73% 72.73%	80.63% 62.39%	79.56% 63.26%				



BIRCHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	79	86	80	79
	No.	47	41	43	48
Reading Comprehension	%ile	86	81	88	84
	No.	47	41	43	48
Total Reading	%ile	86	86	88	84
	No.	47	41	43	48
Language Mechanics	%ile	7 2	85	92	84
•	No.	47	41	43	48
Language Expression	%ile	74	84	89	81
• •	No.	47	41	43	47
Total Language	%ile	74	86	93	84
	No.	47	41	43	47
Math Computation	%ile	7 4	81	73	83
•	No.	47	41	43	48
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	79	89	4 5 85	46 84
11	No.	47	41	43	48
Total Mathematics	%ile	79	88	83	85
	No.	47	41	43	48
Total Battery	%ile	82	89	91	86
,	No.	47	41	43	47
Word Analysis	%ile	80	41	43	4/
,	No.	47			
Study Skills	%ile	4/	85	<i>7</i> 9	86
,	No.		41	43	
Spelling	%ile	72	82	43 72	48
1	No.	47	62 41	43	70
Science	%ile	4 7 77			48
	No.	47	86	69 43	84
Social Studies	%ile		41	43	48
Osudieo	No.	78 47	85 41	84	<i>7</i> 9
	110.	<u>47</u>	41	43	48

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	_95-96_	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	86	69	65	72	66			
4	Total Language Arts	86	71	69	65	61			
4	Total Mathematics	88	59	57	65	57			
6	Total Reading	84	81	69	69	<i>7</i> 0			
6	Total Language Arts	84	79	69	69	68			
6	Total Mathematics	85	<i>7</i> 5	64	78	72			



BIRCHWOOD ABC ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Refine and complete the grade level expectations for language arts and math	Successful
Prepare plan to implement the K-8 proposal	Partially Successful
Broaden working knowledge of how an eco-system works	Successful
Team building activities promoted to enhance public relations	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	37	16.2	37.8	45.9	67	73*
	Lang. Arts	37	16.2	32.4	51.4	67	75*
	Math	37	16.2	21.6	62.2	67	78*
5	Reading	34	8.8	38.2	52.9	63	74*
٠	Lang. Arts	32	6.3	15.6	<i>7</i> 8.1	65	81*
	Math	33	12.1	30.3	57.6	60	69*
6	Reading	40	37.5	32.5	30.0	<i>7</i> 6	<i>7</i> 5
	Lang. Arts	39	43.6	30.8	25.6	78	73
	Math	40	15.0	50.0	35.0	68	73*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 124

WILLARD BOWMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p (667	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	12:	2%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	2.0
Special Ed	ucation	2	244	Classroom Teachers	21.0
Gifted			29	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			41	Special Education Teachers	8.1
	GE DAILY ATTI GE DAILY MEM			Music Teachers	1.4
Average Daily		667.7		Art Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	Membership	705.8	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.4
Percentage of 1	Daily Attendanc	e 94.6	5%	Nurses	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Health Attendant	.6875
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	2.5
Primary	3	8	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Intermediate	-	7	-	Clerical Support Staff	2.0
Combination	-	5	-	Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget				\$2,363,067 \$1,957,288	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$2,019,155 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Open Optional, Special Ed. Pre-School Site



WILLARD BOWMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

_	Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
667	116	47	43	72	278	41.7%		

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
493 73.3%	43 6.4%	58 8.6%	62 9.2%	17 2.5%	180 26.8%	673

_		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	667	. 82	12.3%
* Est	imate of children fr	om low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	3 4.0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1.0%	4 1.0%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	85 EE 209/	71	51	21	575	803		
% in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	55.29% 45.88%	81.69% 56.34%_	72.55% 52.94%	61.90% 66.67%	77.74% 61.39%	74.97% 58.90%		



WILLARD BOWMAN ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	61	66	68	65
	No.	82	71	84	78
Reading Comprehension	%ile	54	65	66	73
	No.	83	71	84	78
Total Reading	%ile	58	67	71	71
	No.	82	71	84	78
Language Mechanics	%ile	41	63	77	76
	No.	82	71	84	78
Language Expression	%ile	55	5 <i>7</i>	72	72
	No.	81	71	84	78
Total Language	%ile	48	60	76	76
	No.	81	<i>7</i> 1	84	78
Math Computation	%ile	53	58	54	64
	No.	83	<i>7</i> 0	83	78
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	50	68	71	74
	No.	82	<i>7</i> 0	83	<i>7</i> 8
Total Mathematics	%ile	52	65	65	70
	No.	82	<i>7</i> 0	83	78
Total Battery	%ile	54	64	<i>7</i> 5	73
	No.	80	<i>7</i> 0	83	78
Word Analysis	%ile	46			
	No.	83			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 2	<i>7</i> 0	69
	No.		70	84	78
Spelling	%ile	57	58	56	60
	No.	83	<i>7</i> 1	84	78
Science	%ile	54	65	56	65
	No.	83	<i>7</i> 0	84	76
Social Studies	%ile	64	59	69	66
	No.	83	70	84	<i>7</i> 6

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
Area	_96-97	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93		
Total Reading	67	62	51	57	53		
	60	50	57		47		
Total Mathematics	65	50	57	49	51		
Total Reading	<i>7</i> 1	69	56	68	63		
Total Language Arts	76	72			53		
Total Mathematics	70	65	59	62	60		
	ITBS Percentile Rance CAT Percentile Rance Area Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Reading Total Language Arts	Total Reading 67 Total Mathematics 65 Total Reading 71 Total Reading 71 Total Language Arts 76	ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1992 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1993 Area 96-97 95-96 Total Reading 67 62 Total Language Arts 60 50 Total Mathematics 65 50 Total Reading 71 69 Total Language Arts 76 72	ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 Area 96-97 95-96 94-95 Total Reading 67 62 51 Total Language Arts 60 50 57 Total Mathematics 65 50 57 Total Reading 71 69 56 Total Language Arts 76 72 60	ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 Area 96-97 95-96 94-95 93-94 Total Reading 67 62 51 57 Total Language Arts 60 50 57 55 Total Mathematics 65 50 57 49 Total Reading 71 69 56 68 Total Language Arts 76 72 60 66		



WILLARD BOWMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Establish a school-wide behavior plan that is consistently implemented	Successful		
Increase awareness of, ability to use, and access to the technological resources	Successful		
Tie science and math curriculum together using "recycling" as a theme	Successful		

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	<u> </u>	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	53	17.0	47.2	35.8	56	60*
	Lang. Arts	53	28.3	22.6	49.1	51	55
	Math	52	28.8	42.3	28.8	59	58
5	Reading	60	30.0	25.0	45.0	59	62
	Lang. Arts	60	15.0	18.3	66.7	54	67*
	Math	59	22.0	33.9	44.1	55	60*
6	Reading	60	31.7	30.0	38.3	60	60
	Lang. Arts	60	16.7	48.3	35.0	62	65
	Math	60	15.0	30.0	55.0	55	61*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 125

CAMPBELL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30	1996 Membersh	in	539	STAFFING	TTEE
ceptember 50,	2770 11101110 01511	' Y	JJ7	SIAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	9	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		95	Classroom Teachers	19.5
Gifted			18	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			68	Special Education Teachers	4.0
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		500.2		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	Membership	527.5	5	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of	Daily Attendan	ce 94.8	3%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurses	.6
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	.75
Primary	-	12	-	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Intermediate	-	7	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
Combination	-	2	-	Custodians	2.0
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget				\$1,702,510 \$1,708,015	
1997-98 Adopt				\$1,708,013 \$1,780,814	
	eted or expended	l are genera	al fund	only.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Gifted Service School, Migrant Ed.

165



CAMPBELL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
539	72		65	31	212	39.3%			

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	ber 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
361 66.7%	22 4.1%	69 12.8%	53 9.8%	36 6.7%	180 33.3%	541

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	539	88	16.3%
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

	Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	3	0	0	1	0	0	4	
Percent Not Promoted	3.8%	0%	0%_	1.4%	0%	0%_	0.8%	

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number % in One Sch.	81 64.20%	54 87.04%	29 68.97%	51 60.78%	397 79.09%	612 75.82%				
% in Same Sch.	56.79%	66.67%	58.62%	47.06%	61.21%	59.80%				



CAMPBELL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	57	47	52	46
	No.	85	63	56	76
Reading Comprehension	%ile	65	48	54	56
-	No.	85	63	56	76
Total Reading	%ile	63	48	54	52
•	No.	85	63	56	76
Language Mechanics	%ile	51	47	69	57
	No.	85	62	59	76
Language Expression	%ile	66	44	54	52
	No.	85	62	56	76
Total Language	%ile	60	45	63	5 4
0 0	No.	85	62	56	76
Math Computation	%ile	72	57	62	70 71
•	No.	84	62	57	77
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	64	55	72	66
1	No.	84	62	57	77
Total Mathematics	%ile	69	56	70	69
	No.	84	62	57	77
Total Battery	%ile	64	48	66	58
•	No.	84	62	5 4	76
Word Analysis	%ile	5 <i>7</i>	02	54	70
,	No.	85			
Study Skills	%ile		55	62	58
,	No.		63	56	76
Spelling	%ile	59	40	49	47
1 0	No.	85	63	57	4 7 76
Science	%ile	55	59	51	51
	No.	83	62	57	77
Social Studies	%ile	62	46	67	
 	No.	83	62		58
			02	56	<u>77</u>

	ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	48	57	48	58	48				
4	Total Language Arts	45	49	48	55	54				
4	Total Mathematics	56	69	54	59	54				
6	Total Reading	52	56	61	56	51				
6	Total Language Arts	54	54	68	60	51				
6	Total Mathematics	69	68	73	64	61				



CAMPBELL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Expand and advance in active use of technology as educational tool	Successful
Increase student achievement through understanding and interest in science	Partially Successful
Promote school pride in/among students, faculty and parents	Successful

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4 Reading	52	44.2	36.5	19.2	54	50*
Lang. Arts	52	19.2	32.7	48.1	46	49
Math	52	42.3	28.8	28.8	5 <i>7</i>	56
5 Reading	45	31.1	42.2	26.7	54	53
Lang. Arts	46	15.2	39.1	45. 7	50	57*
Math	47	25.5	44.7	29.8	61	62
6 Reading	61	32.8	42.6	24.6	53	51
Lang. Arts	60	31.7	46.7	21.7	59	54
Math	61	24.6	39.3	36.1	61	61

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 126

CHESTER VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip :	331	STAFFING	FTE
		-			IIL
Percent of	Capacity	8	3%	Principals / Asst. Principals	1.0
Special E d	ucation		73	Classroom Teachers	12.0
Gifted			20	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			20	Special Education Teachers	2.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		311.4		Music Teachers	.6
Average Daily	Membership	331.2	2	Art Teachers	.3
Percentage of I	Daily Attendan	ce 94.0)%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.6
CL	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurse	.5
Primary	-	8	-	Special Education Aides	2.125
Intermediate	-	4	-	Bilingual Tutors	.5
Combination	-	1	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
				Custodians	2.0
	<u> </u>				
			Bud	get *	<u>-</u>
1995-96 Expend	litures			\$1,252,729	
1996-97 Budgete				\$1,250,293	
1997-98 Adopte	d Budget			\$1,220,722	
* Dollars budge	ted or expended	d are genera	al fund o	only.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Gifted Service School, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.



CHESTER VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
331_	30	20	26	28	104	31.4%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
207 62.4%	32 9.6%	67 18.4%	17 5.1%	9 2.7%	125 3 <u>7.7%</u>	332	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information										
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *							
1996-97	Elementary	331	122	36.9%							
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.							

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students									
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total										
Number	73	23	35	10	236	377				
% in One Sch.	76.71%	69.57%	88.57%	80.00%	78.39%	78.51%				
% in Same Sch.	% in Same Sch. 63.01% 43.48% 65.71% 70.00% 60.17% 60.48%									



CHESTER VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	59	75	56	44
	No.	48	32	45	4 0
Reading Comprehension	%ile	62	69	58	56
•	No.	48	32	45	40
Total Reading	%ile	61	73	59	51
, and the second	No.	48	32	45	40
Language Mechanics	%ile	42	69	70	70
	No.	49	32	45	40
Language Expression	%ile	55	67	58	56
	No.	49	32	45	40
Total Language	%ile	48	70	65	65
0 0	No.	49	32	45	40
Math Computation	%ile	65	58	51	60
1	No.	49	32	45	39
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	65	73	58	70
1	No.	49	32	4 5	39
Total Mathematics	%ile	67	68	56	65
	No.	49	32	4 5	39
Total Battery	%ile	59	72	63	61
,	No.	48	32	45	39
Word Analysis	%ile	49	J 2	10	37
	No.	48			
Study Skills	%ile	10	<i>7</i> 0	57	<i>57</i>
	No.		32	45	39
Spelling	%ile	58	76	50	51
- r0	No.	49	32	45	40
Science	%ile	63	66	43 46	52
	No.	49	32	45 45	32 39
Social Studies	%ile	59	65		
Joenn Studies	No.	39 49	32	60 45	55 30
			32	45	39

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	73	61	43	54	45				
4	Total Language Arts	70	47	51	52	47				
4	Total Mathematics	68	70	66	64	58				
6	Total Reading	51	54	45	53	67				
6	Total Language Arts	65	61	44	50	59				
6	Total Mathematics	65	73	50	68	74				



CHESTER VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Enhance social, emotional, and academic growth of children	Successful
Choose one of the two math programs, using input from community	Successful
Involve every student and parent in computer technology	Successful
Increase parent involvement to enlarge science focus	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	24	25.0	33.3	41.7	64	67
	Lang. Arts	24	37.5	12.5	50.0	63	63
	Math	24	16.7	3 7 .5	45.8	59	63
5	Reading	34	41.2	35.3	23.5	5 7	56
	Lang. Arts	34	8.8	47.1	44.1	51	59*
	Math	35	51.4	31.4	17.1	63	55*
6	Reading	32	34.4	40.6	25.0	53	50
	Lang. Arts	32	31.3	46.9	21.9	58	57
	Math	31	22.6	38.7	38.7	53	56

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 127

CHINOOK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 20	1996 Membershi			CT A FEILIG	
September 30,	1990 Wembershi	,	570	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity		10	1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	ucation		86	Classroom Teachers	20.5
Gifted			21	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		:	101	Special Education Teachers	3.7
	GE DAILY ATTE			Music Teachers	1.0
	Attendance			Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	572.0)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of I	Daily Attendance	94.3	1%	Nurses	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	UPS		Special Education Aides	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	3.0
Primary	1	9	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Intermediate	-	7	-	Custodians	2.75
Combination	-	3	-		
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budgete 1997-98 Adopte * Dollars budge	ed Amount d Budget ted or expended	are genera	al fund o	\$1,984,747 \$1,876,368 \$1,827,411 only. pen Optional, Slingerland (gr. 1)	







CHINOOK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
570	70	34	66	58	228	40.0%			

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
347 60.8%	3 4 6.0%	54 9.5%	107 18.7%	29 5.1%	224 39.2%	571		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	570	166	29.1%					
* Esti	* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.								

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0.4%

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number	65	128	44	39	394	670					
% in One Sch.	66.15%	77.34%	65.91%	64.10%	76.65%	74.33%					
% in Same Sch.	64.62%	60.16%	54.55%	46.15%	62.18%	60.60%					



CHINOOK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	61	63	45	33
	No.	79	77	77	90
Reading Comprehension	%ile	52	55	52	51
	No.	79	77	77	90
Total Reading	%ile	57	59	50	42
	No.	78	77	<i>7</i> 7	90
Language Mechanics	%ile	35	5 7	5 4	55
	No.	80	78	77	89
Language Expression	%ile	35	57	54	52
	No.	80	78	77	90
Total Language	%ile	33	58	53	54
	No.	80	78	77	89
Math Computation	%ile	37	47	36	53
	No.	<i>7</i> 8	<i>7</i> 8	77	89
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	35	64	57	52
	No.	<i>7</i> 9	78	77	90
Total Mathematics	%ile	34	57	47	52
	No.	78	78	77	89
Total Battery	%ile	41	58	51	48
	No.	<i>7</i> 6	77	77	89
Word Analysis	%ile	48			•
	No.	80			
Study Skills	%ile		66	53	50
	No.		<i>7</i> 7	77	90
Spelling	%ile	47	60	53	47
	No.	80	<i>7</i> 7	77	90
Science	%ile	51	64	48	44
	No.	80	77	77	90
Social Studies	%ile	51	61	60	46
	No.	<i>7</i> 9	<i>7</i> 7	77	90

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	59	55	45	51	49				
4	Total Language Arts	58	42	42	51	48				
4	Total Mathematics	57	43	45	46	56				
6	Total Reading	42	55	40	52	42				
6	Total Language Arts	54	52	42	46	36				
6	Total Mathematics	52	51	41	37	34				

172



CHINOOK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Show statistical improvement in math computation skills	Successful
Increase students' knowledge of computer terminology, functions, and programs	Partially Successful
Increase level of respect students show toward each other, adults, and school	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	5 7	43.9	33.3	22.8	61	55*
	Lang. Arts	59	18.6	37.3	44.1	47	55*
	Math	58	24.1	39.7	36.2	51	52
5	Reading	49	32.7	36.7	30.6	51	50
	Lang. Arts	50	16.0	42.0	42.0	45	51*
	Math	52	15.4	44.2	40.4	45	48*
6	Reading	<i>7</i> 2	40.3	34.7	25.0	49	46
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 1	32.4	32.4	35.2	51	52
	Math	7 2	22.2	34.7	43.1	46	51*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Open Optional

Table 128

CHUGACH OPTIONAL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	P 2	<u> </u>	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	12	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ec	lucation		40	Classroom Teachers	10.0
Gifted			27	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			0	Special Education Teachers	1.7
	GE DAILY ATTE			Music Teachers	.5
Average Daily		250.8		Art Teachers	.3
Average Daily	Membership	264.1	l	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.5
Percentage of	Daily Attendance	e 95.0)	Nurses	.5
Ci	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	.625
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Primary	2	-	-	Custodians	2.0
Intermediate	-	-	-		
Combination	-	9	-		
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopto * Dollars budge	ed Amount	are genera	\$1,010,674 \$1,070,160 \$1,081,613 only.		

177



CHUGACH OPTIONAL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
271	3	6	10	15	34	12.5%			

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
215 79.9%	7 2.6%	34 12.6%	10 3.7%	3 1.1%	54 20.1%	269		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	271 .	17	6.3%						
* Est	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	31 100.00% 74.19%	10 100.00% 100.00%	7 100.00% 85.71%	3 33.33% 33.33%	229 89.96% 78.60%	280 91.07% 78.57%	



CHUGACH OPTIONAL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST		GRADE			
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	83	76	86	79
	No.	35	35	37	35
Reading Comprehension	%ile	87	78	86	82
<u>-</u>	No.	35	35	37	35
Total Reading	%ile	87	78	89	83
	No.	35	35	37	35
Language Mechanics	%ile	58	53	85	67
	No.	35	35	37	35
Language Expression	%ile	81	80	81	81
	No.	35	35	37	35
Total Language	%ile	72	70	85	<i>3</i> 5 7 7
8 8	No.	35	35	37	35
Math Computation	%ile	64	67	47	
1	No.	35	35	37	63 35
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	77	82	89	
1	No.	35	35	37	82 35
Total Mathematics	%ile	73	78	76	35 7 4
	No.	35	35	37	74 25
Total Battery	%ile	81	<i>77</i>		35
,	No.	35	35	88 37	80
Word Analysis	%ile	66	33	3/	35
,	No.	35			
Study Skills	%ile	33	83	70	74
	No.			76	74 25
Spelling	%ile	69	35	37 70	35
-10	No.	35	63 25	70	55 25
Science	No. %ile	35 79	35 74	37 70	35
	No.		74 25	<i>7</i> 0	<i>77</i>
Social Studies		35 76	35 75	37	34
John Statics	%ile	76	75 ·	80	73
	<u>No.</u>	<u>35</u>	35	37	35

ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	_92-93	
4	Total Reading	78	88	72	63	79	
4	Total Language Arts	70	69	68	53	68	
4	Total Mathematics	78	79	65	64	75	
6	Total Reading	83	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 7	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 9	
6	Total Language Arts	77	70	74	73	71	
6	Total Mathematics	74	72	75	76	71 78	



CHUGACH OPTIONAL ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Emphasize science and technology projects	Successful
Increase the use of computers in the school as a teaching tool	Successful
Infuse more music during the school day	Partially Successful
Develop awareness of personal responsibility toward property and community	Partially Successful
Communicate the Chugach Philosophy to the parents	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Tested Year's Growth Growth Year		Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	28	32.1	28.6	39.3	67	69
	Lang. Arts	27	7.4	37.0	55.6	55	62*
	Math	27	7.4	25.9	66.7	61	71*
5	Reading	37	37.8	32.4	29.7	<i>7</i> 6	76
	Lang. Arts	37	10.8	29.7	59.5	63	72*
	Math	37	37.8	43.2	18.9	69	65
6	Reading	31	19.4	41.9	38.7	69	<i>7</i> 2
	Lang. Arts	33	39.4	27.3	33.3	67	67
	Math	33	9.1	36.4	54.5	56	65*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 129

CHUGIAK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1	906 Mombomb	in /	632	CTAFFING	
September 30, 1	990 Membersi	up (032	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of C	Capacity	128	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0	
Special Edu	Special Education 109 C			Classroom Teachers	26.0
Gifted			28	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			11	Special Education Teachers	5.0
1	E DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.3
Average Daily		603.7		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily	Membership	633.2	2	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.4
Percentage of D	aily Attendan	ce 95.3	3%	Nurses	1.0
CLA	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	.875
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.875
Primary	4	10	-	Project Pride Advocate	1.0
Intermediate	-	8	-	Bilingual Tutors	.4
Combination	-	4	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
				Custodians	3.25
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expendi	itures			\$2,534,585	
1996-97 Budgete				\$2,302,160	
1997-98 Adopted				\$1,924,043	
* Dollars budget		d are genera	al fund	only.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Full-Day Kindergarten, Migrant Ed., Project Pride, Slingerland (gr. 1), Spanish Immersion



CHUGIAK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New EnrollmentWit	hdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
632	30	27	20	29	106	16.8%				

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
549 87.1%	11 1.8%	39 6.2%	7 1.1%_	24 3.8%	81 12.9%	630		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	632	115	18.2%					
* Esti	mate of children fr	om low income famili	les is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	46	9	11	25	593	684			
% in One Sch.	73.91%	77.78%	63.64%	88.00%	88.03%	86.55%			
% in Same Sch.	69.57%	66.67%	63.64%	56.00%	73.52%	72.37%			



CHUGIAK ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	74	61	65	64
	No.	93	83	97	<i>7</i> 5
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	63	63	<i>7</i> 5
	No.	93	83	97	<i>7</i> 5
Total Reading	%ile	76	64	67	72
	No.	93	83	97	<i>7</i> 5
Language Mechanics	%ile	48	58	66	<i>7</i> 0
	No.	93	83	97	75
Language Expression	%ile	64	60	62	71
	No.	93	83	97	75
Total Language	%ile	56	60	65	71
	No.	93	83	97	75
Math Computation	%ile	61	49	36	69
-	No.	92	83	98	<i>7</i> 5
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	65	63	65	75 75
• • •	No.	92	83	98	75 75
Total Mathematics	%ile	65	57	52	73
	No.	92	83	98	75 75
Total Battery	%ile	67	61	64	74
	No.	92	83	97	75
Word Analysis	%ile	65		,,	,,
·	No.	93			
Study Skills	%ile		69	61	70
	No.		83	97	75
Spelling	%ile	53	52	43	53
	No.	93	83	97	<i>7</i> 5
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	63	54	69
	No.	93	83	9 7	75
Social Studies	%ile	69	58	66	60
	No.	92	83	97	75

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	_95-96_	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	64	64	57	67	63		
4	Total Language Arts	60	52	50	56	63		
4	Total Mathematics	57	61	50	62	66		
6	Total Reading	72	72	59	59	64		
6	Total Language Arts	<i>7</i> 1	68	57	54	56		
6	Total Mathematics	73	81	62	68	73		



CHUGIAK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve students' editing skills	Partially Successful
Teachers utilize real life situations in teaching math	Successful
Work to reduce student conflict at our school	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	-	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	76	39.5	38.2	22.4	61	58*
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 5	38.7	28.0	33.3	5 7	55
	Math	76	40.8	28.9	30.3	58	54*
5	Reading	83	31.3	33. <i>7</i>	34.9	59	60
	Lang. Arts	83	15.7	32.5	51.8	53	59*
	Math	85	44.7	31.8	23.5	5 7	52*
6	Reading	67	31.3	40.3	28.4	61	61
	Lang. Arts	67	29.9	44.8	25.4	61	60
	Math	67	14.9	50.7	34.3	59	62

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 130

COLLEGE GATE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership		45 1	STAFFING	PPP			
,	•	101		FTE			
Percent of Capacity		1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0			
Special Education		69	Classroom Teachers	19.0			
Gifted		14	Librarians	1.0			
Bilingual		43	Special Education Teachers	2.6			
AVERAGE DAILY ATTE AVERAGE DAILY MEM			Music Teachers	1.0			
Average Daily Attendance	436. 0		Art Teachers	.5			
Average Daily Membership	459.9)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.9			
Percentage of Daily Attendance	94.8	3%	Nurses	.9			
CLASSROOM GRO	UPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	.875			
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.25			
Primary 2	10	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.0			
Intermediate -	6	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625			
Combination -	1	-	Custodians	3.25			
		Bud	get *				
1995-96 Expenditures \$1,697,056 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,771,302 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,777,629 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.							
Special Programs: Bilingual Ed	., Full-Da	y Kinde	ergarten, Project Pride				

185



COLLEGE GATE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
451 42 32 26 40 140 31.0%								

Ethnicity Report-October 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
322 69.0%	55 11.8%	46 9.9%	27 5.8%	17 3.6%	145 31.1%	467	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	451	157	34.8%					
* Esti	mate of children	from low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

		Retention	Report			-	
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Percent Not Promoted	0%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.3%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	59	31	61	19	341	511				
% in One Sch.	64.41%	87.10%	78.69%	68.42%	82.99%	80.04%				
% in Same Sch.	52.54%	74.19%	59.02%	68.42%	63.34%	62.43%				



COLLEGE GATE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	43	66	58	55
	No.	69	60	65	53
Reading Comprehension	%ile	59	55	64	59
	No.	69	59	65	53
Total Reading	%ile	52	64	63	58
	No.	69	59	65	53
Language Mechanics	%ile	31	61	65	52
	No.	69	59	65	53
Language Expression	%ile	45	64	61	50
	No.	68	59	65	53
Total Language	%ile	37	62	63	50
	No.	68	59	65	53
Math Computation	%ile	51	68	61	54
<u>-</u>	No.	66	58	65	52
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	48	72	7 2	58
	No.	67	58	65	52
Total Mathematics	%ile	49	72	70	55
	No.	66	58	65	52
Total Battery	%ile	47	66	67	54
	No.	66	58	65	52
Word Analysis	%ile	35			
•	No.	69			
Study Skills	%ile		72	67	62
	No.		57	64	53
Spelling	%ile	40	66	56	44
-	No.	69	58	65	53
Science	%ile	56	68	52	41
	No.	67	57	64	53
Social Studies	%ile	50	63	65	44
	No.	65	<u>57</u>	64	52

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	<u>92-93</u>				
4	Total Reading	64	66 .	48	48	52				
4	Total Language Arts	62	49	45	48	47				
4	Total Mathematics	72	63 .	58	61	58				
6	Total Reading	58	64	50	59	62				
6	Total Language Arts	50	67	52	52	55				
6	Total Mathematics	55	60	55	55	61				



COLLEGE GATE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve math performance of students in grades 2-6	Successful
Improve student written language skills in grades K-6	Successful
Students in grades K-6 will demonstrate improved real life skills of problem solving and healthy lifestyles	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	48	27.1	35.4	37.5	54	58*
	Lang. Arts	47	19.1	19.1	61.7	48	58*
	Math	47	6.4	17.0	76.6	47	63*
5	Reading	49	34.7	28.6	36.7	60	60
	Lang. Arts	49	20.4	26.5	53.1	52	59*
	Math	49	28.6	34.7	36.7	60	62
6	Reading	38	50.0	34.2	15.8	59	55
	Lang. Arts	39	43.6	35.9	20.5	57	52*
	Math	37	18.9	40.5	40.5	49	56*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 131

CREEKSIDE PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Member	and in	200	CTAPENIC	
September 30, 1996 Membe	ersnip .	399	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	11	1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education	l Education 77		Classroom Teachers	14.0
Gifted		12	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		21	Special Education Teachers	6.5
AVERAGE DAILY A AVERAGE DAILY			Music Teachers	.8
Average Daily Attendance			Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily Membersh	ip 398.8	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.9
Percentage of Daily Attend	dance 93.2	2%	Nurses	.8
CLASSROOM	GROUPS		Project Pride Advocates	2.0
20 & belov	w 21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	6.25
Primary -	7	-	Bilingual Tutors	.5
Intermediate -	6	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.5
Combination -	1	-	Custodians	2.0
Multi-handicapped 2	-	-		
		Bud	l lget *	_
1995-96 Expenditures 1996-97 Budgeted Amount			\$1,483,368 \$1,425,064	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,320,051

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Project Pride,

Special Ed. Intensive Service Sites



CREEKSIDE PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
399	45	33	57	60	195	48.9%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
233 58.3%	54 13.5%	<i>7</i> 5 18.8%	25 6.3%	13 3.3%	167 41.8%	400		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	1996-97 Elementary 399 176 44.1%								
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	0 0%	1 2.0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4.0%	0 0%	3 1.0%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	98	28	79	14	268	487		
% in One Sch.	64.29%	71.43%	59.49%	50.00%	74.63%	69.20%		
% in Same Sch.	54.08%	60.71%	45.57%	50.00%	54.85%	53.39%		



CREEKSIDE PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE	_	
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	39	43	49	52
	No.	50	51	58	61
Reading Comprehension	%ile	42	53	48	64
	No.	50	52	58	60
Total Reading	%ile	39	50	50	59
	No.	50	51	58	60
Language Mechanics	%ile	28	35	56	64
	No.	49	52	58	61
Language Expression	%ile	38	44	46	57
	No.	49	52	58	61
Total Language	%ile	32	39	51	62
	No.	49	52	58	61
Math Computation	%ile	62	34	64	76
	No.	49	52	58	61
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	50	45	50	69
	No.	49	50	58	61
Total Mathematics	%ile	56	40	57	72
	No.	49	50	58	61
Total Battery	%ile	41	43	54	65
	No.	49	49	58	60
Word Analysis	%ile	34			
	No.	50			
Study Skills	%ile		49	47	62
	No.		52	58	61
Spelling	%ile	44	37	43	48
	No.	49	52	58	61
Science	%ile	4 6	50	41	56
	No.	49	52	58	61
Social Studies	%ile	39	46	58	55
	No.	49	49	58	60

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	
4	Total Reading	50	48	46	42	32	
4	Total Language Arts	39	35	49	35	27	
4	Total Mathematics	40	46	59	51	37	
6	Total Reading	59	46	41	46	53	
6	Total Language Arts	62	47	39	36	44	
6	Total Mathematics	72	63	52	42	45	



CREEKSIDE PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase reading ability	Partially Successful
Increase writing ability	Partially Successful
Increase math problem solving abilities	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	33	39.4	30.3	30.3	50	50
	Lang. Arts	33	12.1	36.4	51.5	34	44*
	Math	33	33.3	33.3	33.3	44	46
5	Reading	38	39.5	21.1	39.5	48	50
	Lang. Arts	37	21.6	29.7	48.6	41	49*
	Math	37	27.0	18.9	54.1	50	55
6	Reading	43	27.9	39.5	32.6	57	59
	Lang. Arts	43	30.2	34.9	34.9	60	61
	Math	42	35.7	50.0	14.3	71	67

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 132

EAGLE RIVER ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p 4	38	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	87	7%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	ducation 89		89	Classroom Teachers	16.5
Gifted		23 L		Librarians	1.0
Bilingual	al 8 S		Special Education Teachers	3.8	
	GE DAILY ATTI			Music Teachers	.9
	AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP verage Daily Attendance 420.9		Art Teachers	.5	
Average Daily	Members h ip	444.7	•	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e _. 94.7	•	Nurses	.9
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	2.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.3
Primary	3	5	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.5
Intermediate	-	5	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	5	-		
		<u>_</u>	D J	get *	

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,924,365 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,628,386 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,479,183

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Open Optional, Slingerland (gr. 3 and 4)



EAGLE RIVER ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility						
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
438	44	19	22	32	117	26.7%

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
374 84.4%	8 1.8%	29 6.6%	14 3.2%	18 4.1%	69 15.6%	443

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	438	116	26.5%					
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	2	0	1	1	1	1	6
Percent Not Promoted	3.0%	0%	1.8%	1.1%	1.6%	1.2%	1.3%

_	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	31	16	10	21	411	489		
% in One Sch.	67.74%	43.75%	70.00%	80.95%	86.37%	83.23%		
% in Same Sch.	58.06%	50.00%	40.00%	71.43%	67.88%	66.26%		



EAGLE RIVER ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	67	61	63	59
	No.	53	82	53	73
Reading Comprehension	%ile	63	61	62	66
	No.	53	82	53	73
Total Reading	%ile	65	63	65	64
_	No.	53	82	53	73
Language Mechanics	%ile	44	62	72	70
•	No.	53	83	53	73
Language Expression	%ile	64	62	60	66
· · ·	No.	53	83	53	73
Total Language	%ile	55	63	68	68
	No.	53	83	53	73
Math Computation	%ile	62	40	53	58
	No.	53	83	53	73
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	62	67	66	67
	No.	53	83	53	73
Total Mathematics	%ile	63	56	62	63
	No.	53	83	53	<i>7</i> 3
Total Battery	%ile	62	61	67	65
	No.	53	82	53	73
Word Analysis	%ile	58			
	No.	53			
Study Skills	%ile		73	58	65
	No.		83	53	73
Spelling	%ile	45	53	42	52
	No.	53	82	53	73
Science	%ile	59	72	48	60
	No.	53	83	53	73
Social Studies	%ile	67	59	63	60
	No.	53	83	53	73

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	_93-94_	92-93			
4	Total Reading	63	63	60	60	53			
4	Total Language Arts	63	63	57	61	54			
4	Total Mathematics	. 56	60	64	58	53			
6	Total Reading	64	69	67	59	67			
6	Total Language Arts	68	68	59	51	66			
6	Total Mathematics	63	74	<i>7</i> 0	59	72			



EAGLE RIVER ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Continue to address improvement of language arts skills and writing ability	Successful
Address improvement of math skills	Partially Successful
Continue to foster self-esteem in students and staff	Successful
Continue to update and improve technology	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	54	33.3	38.9	27.8	60	58
	Lang. Arts	54	18.5	38.9	42.6	55	59*
	Math	54	42.6	31.5	25.9	59	55
5	Reading	43	32.6	34.9	32.6	56	57
	Lang. Arts	43	25.6	37.2	37.2	56	60
	Math	43	30.2	25.6	44.2	55	57
6	Reading	61	36.1	41.0	23.0	61	59
	Lang. Arts	61	32.8	36.1	31.1	63	61
	Math	59	28.8	42.4	28.8	58	58

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 133

FAIRVIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30.	1996 Membershi	p	440	STAFFING	FTE
	1330 IVACINO CIGALI	r	110	STATTING	FIE
Percent of	Capacity	16	2%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	ucation		80	Classroom Teachers	25.0
Gifted			8	(7 funded by Title I) Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		:	130	Special Education Teachers	3.6
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.2
Average Daily		395.8		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily	Membership	434.3	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 91.1	1%	Bilingual Teacher	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Counselors	1.0
•	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurses	.7
Primary	16	-	-	Project Pride Advocates	2.0
Intermediate	9	-	-	Special Education Aides	1.375
Combination	-	-	-	Title I Coordinators	1.0
i				Bilingual Tutors	3.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	1.0
				Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.3
				Clerical Support Staff	1.625
		,		Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend	litures			\$1,789,852	
1996-97 Budget				\$1,861,015	
1997-98 Adopte				\$1,793,202	

\$1,793,202 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Full-day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Project Pride, Title I,



FAIRVIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
440	64	59	113	87	323	73.4%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
83 18.7%	118 26.6%	112 25.2%	53 11.9%	78 17.6%	361 81.3%	444	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	440	371	84.3%						
* Est	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	153	62	163	95	117	590			
% in One Sch.	47.71%	61.29%	56.44%	67.37%	52.99%	55.76%			
% in Same Sch.	46.41%	62.90%	47.85%	55. <i>7</i> 9%	40.17%	48.81%			



FAIRVIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	50	52	32	34
	No.	55	40	40	51
Reading Comprehension	%ile	42	63	40	41
	No.	55	40	39	51
Total Reading	%ile	45	60	36	37
-	No.	55	40	39	51
Language Mechanics	%ile	39	57	71	40
	No.	55	40	40	51
Language Expression	%ile	43	55	46	40
	No.	55	40	40	51
Total Language	%ile	42	57	60	39
	No.	55	40	40	51
Math Computation	%ile	69	62	53	48
-	No.	54	39	40	53
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	59	63	53	49
• • •	No.	55	39	40	53
Total Mathematics	%ile	64	62	54	4 7
	No.	54	39	40	53
Total Battery	%ile	49	60	51	39
•	No.	54	39	39	50
Word Analysis	%ile	35	•	0,	50
•	No.	56			
Study Skills	%ile		56	42	39
·	No.		40	40	53
Spelling	%ile	57	71	52	44
	No.	55	40	40	51
Science	%ile	49	51	42	24
	No.	55	40	40	54
Social Studies	%ile	51	49	55	47
	No.	54	40	40	53

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	60	34	26	26	35				
4	Total Language Arts	5 7 .	26	28	32	49				
4	Total Mathematics	62	38	29	40	52				
6	Total Reading	37	39	43	44	60				
6	Total Language Arts	39	39	42	48	66				
6	Total Mathematics	47	61	55	61	74				

196



FAIRVIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Enhance student improvement in area of reading and language arts with emphasis on comprehension and writing fluency	Partially Successful
Increase achievement in math problem solving and computation	Partially Successful
Foster development of students' conflict resolution skills	Unsuccessful
Nurture and increase parent, community, and business involvement	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	25	16.0	40.0	44.0	55	59
	Lang. Arts	25	12.0	36.0	52.0	49	57*
	Math	24	25.0	25.0	50.0	56	62
5	Reading	25	36.0	32.0	32.0	43	47
	Lang. Arts	28	7.1	17.9	7 5.0	41	56*
	Math	28	7.1	32.1	60.7	46	55*
6	Reading	33	30.3	12.1	57.6	41	45
	Lang. Arts	33	30.3	33.3	36.4	41	45
	Math	34	26.5	29.4	44.1	45	49

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 134

FIRE LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30.	1996 Membershi	D 4	450	STAFFING	Terr
1		r -	200	JAMMA	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	9:	3%	Principals / Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	Special Education			Classroom Teachers	18.0
Gifted		16	Librarians	1.0	
Bilingual		12	Special Education Teachers	3.2	
	GE DAILY ATTI GE DAILY MEN			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		435.7		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily Membership 459.1				Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 94.9)	Nurses	. 7 5
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	1.25
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.4
Primary	3	8	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625
Intermediate	-	7	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	1	-		
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopt * Dollars budge	ed Amount	are genera		\$1,860,752 \$1,701,120 \$1,395,846	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Migrant Ed.

201



FIRE LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
450	55	28	23	29	135	30.0%				

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total				
368 81.6%	16 3.6%	32 7.1%	16 3.6%	19 4.2%	83 18.4%	451				

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	450	76	16.9%
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

	Retention Report						
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	43	17	21	19	417	51 <i>7</i>				
% in One Sch.	74.42%	94.12%	71.43%	73.68%	81.77%	80.85%	i			
% in Same Sch.	55.81%	64.71%	52.38%	68.42%	63.55%	62.67%				



FIRE LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	60	55	58	55
	No.	66	<i>7</i> 0	67	72
Reading Comprehension	%ile	60	50	59	63
-	No.	66	69	68	71
Total Reading	%ile	60	54	61	61
_	No.	66	69	67	71
Language Mechanics	%ile	60	55	63	59
	No.	65	<i>7</i> 0	67	70
Language Expression	%ile	57	51	53	61
	No.	65	71	67	71
Total Language	%ile	59	54	59	60
	No.	65	70	67	
Math Computation	%ile	73	68	54	70
1	No.	64	70		<i>7</i> 4
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	71	68	66	71
	No.	65	70	64	69 7 0
Total Mathematics	%ile	74		65	<i>7</i> 0
,	No.	64	69	61	71
Total Battery	%ile	65	70 50	65	70
	No.		59 67	63	67
Word Analysis	%ile	64 53	67	61	69
	No.	52			
Study Skills	%ile	66	(0		
oracy orans	_		63	56	61
Spelling	No.	40	70 	66	<i>7</i> 1
opening .	%ile	49	50	47	48
Science	No.	66	71	64	<i>7</i> 1
SCIENCE	%ile	72	61	44	53
Social Studies	No.	64	69	65	<i>7</i> 0
Social Studies	%ile	64	58	58	59
	<u>N</u> o.	65	68	65	70

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	54	60	54	49	43		
4	Total Language Arts	54	55	45	41	37		
4	Total Mathematics	69	64	65	68	57		
6	Total Reading	61	63	56	60	56		
6	Total Language Arts	60	52	48	52	51		
6	Total Mathematics	71	76	59	59	63		



FIRE LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Staff learn about and demonstrate specific technological devices	Successful
Develop lessons and improvement units in science	Successful
Improve problem solving skills in mathematics	Successful
improve problem solving skills in mathematics	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	52	26.9	34.6	38.5	52	52
	Lang. Arts	52	21.2	34.6	44.2	48	52*
	Math	53	26.4	32.1	41.5	58	61
5	Reading	45	20.0	44.4	35.6	54	56
	Lang. Arts	4 6	30.4	39.1	30.4	53	56
	Math	46	32.6	30.4	37.0	5 7	5 7
6	Reading	50	38.0	30.0	32.0	58	59
	Lang. Arts	50	34.0	32.0	34.0	59	5 7
	Math	47	21.3	29.8	48.9	58	64*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 135

GOVERNMENT HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p .	326	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	12	0%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		40	Classroom Teachers	14.0
Gifted			4	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		:	128	Special Education Teachers	2.1
AVERA	GE DAILY ATT	_		Title I Specialists	
	GE DAILY MEN			Title 1 Specialists	1.5
Average Daily	Attendance	306.5	5	Music Teachers	.8
Average Daily	Membership	326.5	5	Art Teachers	.4
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 93.9	9%	Physical Ed. Teachers	.7
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Counselors	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurses	.55
Primary	1	6	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	.4375
Intermediate	-	-	-	Special Education Aides	.75
Combination	-	7	-	Title I Coordinators	.5
				Bilingual Tutors	4.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
				Clerical Support Staff	1.0
				Custodians	2.0
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expen	ditures			\$1,526,927	
1996-97 Budget	ed Amount			\$1,523,032	
1997-98 Adopt	ed Budget			C1 444 40E	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,444,485

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Spanish Immersion, Migrant Ed., Title I



GOVERNMENT HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
326	32	29	27	38	126	38.7%	

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
128 39.0%	40 12.2%	43 13.1%	21 6.4%	96 29.3%	200 61.0%	328		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	326	180	55.2%						
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	58	23	43	114	144	382			
% in One Sch.	50.00%	78.26%	76.74%	71.93%	85.42%	74.61%			
% in Same Sch.	56.90%	65.22%	34.88%	54.39%	59.03%	54.97%			



GOVERNMENT HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	49	33	43	51
	No.	37	26	28	18
Reading Comprehension	%ile	47	37	41	56
	No.	37	26	28	18
Total Reading	%ile	48	34	42	53
	No.	37	26	28	18
Language Mechanics	%ile	42	59	62	60
	No.	37	26	28	18
Language Expression	%ile	45	37	48	53
• •	No.	37	26	28	18
Total Language	%ile	44	46	55	55
	No.	37	26	28	18
Math Computation	%ile	78	60	4 5	61
•	No.	37	26	28	18
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	56	5 9	57	69
11	No.	37	26	28	18
Total Mathematics	%ile	67	60	52	65
	No.	37	26	28	18
Total Battery	%ile	52	44	49	57
•	No.	37	- 26	28	18
Word Analysis	%ile	46			10
•	No.	37			
Study Skills	%ile	•	54	52	51
·	No.		26	28	18
Spelling	%ile	37	39	48	32
•	No.	37	26	28	18
Science	%ile	55	56	4 5	39
	No.	37	26	28	18
Social Studies	%ile	56	56	59	56
	No.	37	26	28	18

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	_94-95_	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	34	40	33	25	17			
4	Total Language Arts	46	38	33	23	14			
4	Total Mathematics	60	61	57	31	29			
6	Total Reading	53	33	37	26	34			
6	Total Language Arts	55	34	22	15	28			
6	Total Mathematics	65	54	39	32	34			



GOVERNMENT HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase student achievement and interest in reading	Successful
Increase student achievement in written language skills	Successful
Promote parent, community, and business involvement	Successful
Develop a whole school climate plan	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	22	45.5	50.0	4.5	47	39*
	Lang. Arts	21	38.1	33.3	28.6	49	. 47
	Math	21	38.1	33.3	28.6	59	55
5	Reading	20	40.0	35.0	25.0	51	49
	Lang. Arts	20	30.0	35.0	35.0	55	55
	Math	20	50.0	30.0	20.0	62	55
6	Reading	16	25.0	37.5	37. 5	48	50
	Lang. Arts	16	37.5	43.8	18.8	54	49
	Math	16	12.5	37.5	50.0	50	5 7 *

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 136

HOMESTEAD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p :	529	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	10	2%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education 58		Classroom Teachers	18.5		
Gifted			37	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual	·		1	Special Education Teachers	2.6
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	1.0
	Attendance			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	Membership	529.3	3	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 95.9	9%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	.7
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.5
Primary	-	11	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
Intermediate	-	6	1	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	2	-		
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget	ed Amount			\$1,836,580 \$1,744,662	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,615,775 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Classroom Delivery Model, Migrant Ed.



HOMESTEAD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
529	39	26	9	15	89	16.8%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
471 89.0%	11 2.1%	33 6.2%	13 _ 2.5%	1 0.2%	58 11.0%	529		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	529	25	4.7%						
* Est	imate of children	from low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	d Lunch Program.						

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.4%	0 0%	1 1.5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 0.4%	

	Stability Rates of Students											
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total						
Number	36	14	14	2	498	564						
% in One Sch.	83.33%	92.86%	71.43%	50.00%	89.16%	88.30%						
% in Same Sch.	72.22%	<u>7</u> 8.57%										



HOMESTEAD ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	61	68	72	61
	No.	55	78	71	93
Reading Comprehension	%ile	58	54	71	62
	No.	55	78	71	93
Total Reading	%ile	60	62	74	63
	No.	55	78	71	93
Language Mechanics	%ile	55	74	80	73
	No.	55	78	71	93
Language Expression	%ile	65	70	72	64
• •	No.	55	78	71	93
Language Total	%ile	62	74	78	69
	No.	55	78	71	93
Math Computation	%ile	62	71	64	72
<u>-</u>	No.	55	78	70	93
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	58	<i>7</i> 6	76	73
- **	No.	55	<i>7</i> 8	70 ·	93
Total Mathematics	%ile	61	76	73	73
	No.	55	<i>7</i> 8	70	93
Total Battery	%ile	62	71	78	69
	No.	55	78	<i>7</i> 0	93
Word Analysis	%ile	56	, ,	, ,	70
•	No.	55			
Study Skills	%ile	•••	73	68	61
	No.		78	70	93
Spelling	%ile	55	62	62	54
	No.	55	78	71	93
Science	%ile	59	69	61	54
	No.	55	78	70	93
Social Studies	%ile	55	64	68	61
	No.	55	78	70	93

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area 96-97 <u>95-96</u> <u>94-95</u> <u>93-94</u> 92-93 Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics **Total Reading** Total Language Arts Total Mathematics



HOMESTEAD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Demonstrate one year's growth in math skills	Successful
Demonstrate growth in writing/language arts skills	Successful
Continue improvement on disaster preparedness	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	62	43.5	35.5	21.0	62	57*
	Lang. Arts	63	19.0	25.4	55.6	58	66*
	Math	63	31.7	31.7	36.5	62	66
5	Reading	60	30.0	38.3	31.7	62	65
	Lang. Arts	59	25.4	27.1	47.5	63	68*
	Math	59	32.2	39.0	28.8	65	64
6	Reading	83	34.9	48.2	16.9	64	59*
	Lang. Arts	83	36.1	41.0	22.9	64	62
	Math	83	19.3	41.0	39.8	61	64

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 137

HUFFMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30	1996 Membershi	n /	176	STAFFING	TYPE
Deptember 50,	TOTA INTERIORISM	.P 4	£/O	SIAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	9	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	Special Education 89			Classroom Teachers	18.0
Gifted	Gifted 35 I		Librarians	1.0	
Bilingual 5		Special Education Teachers	4.4		
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE/ AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP			Music Teachers	1.0	
Average Daily Attendance 461.0		Art Teachers	.5		
Average Daily	Membership	479.8	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 96.1	۱%	Nurses	.65
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	.875
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.25
Primary	-	10	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625
Intermediate	-	7	1	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	1	-		
Ļ					

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,811,579 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,700,283 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,612,945

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Gifted Service School, Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1, 2, and 3)



HUFFMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
476	38	22	20	19	99	20.8%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
435 90.4%	3 .6%	17 3.5%	18 3.7%	8 1.7%	46 9.6%	481		

		Free/Reduced Price	e Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	476	14	2.9%
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	
Percent Not Promoted	7.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.0%	

	Stability Rates of Students							
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total								
Number	20	18	3	9	473	523		
% in One Sch.	75.00%	83.33%	66.67%	88.89%	84.99%	84.51%		
% in Same Sch.	35.00%	88.89%	100.00%	77.78%	70.40%	69.98%		



HUFFMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	72	78	82	72
	No.	<i>7</i> 4	69	<i>7</i> 5	62
Reading Comprehension	%ile	83	76	<i>7</i> 5	79
	No.	74	70	<i>7</i> 5	61
Total Reading	%ile	81	78	81	77
	No.	74	69	<i>7</i> 5	61
Language Mechanics	%ile	63	77	81	83
•	No.	74	69	<i>7</i> 5	61
Language Expression	%ile	77	76	85	76
• •	No.	73	<i>7</i> 0	75	61
Total Language	%ile	72	79	84	82
	No.	73	69	75	61
Math Computation	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	81	79	87
•	No.	74	70	75	61
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	76	87	83	78
	No.	74	69	7 4	61
Total Mathematics	%ile	78	87	84	85
	No.	74	69	74	61
Total Battery	%ile	7 <u>9</u>	85	87	83
•	No.	73	68	74	61
Word Analysis	%ile	71	00	/ 4	01
,	No.	74			
Study Skills	%ile	7 4	85	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 9
,	No.		69	73 74	61
Spelling	%ile	58	69	64	66
	No.	74	70	75	62
Science	%ile	7 4 79	76 78	62	62 82
	No.	74 74	69	74	
Social Studies	%ile	7 4 73	73		59
	No.	73 74	73 69	82 74	<i>7</i> 5
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<i>7</i> 4	59

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97						
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
4	Total Reading	78	80	69	67	74
4	Total Language Arts	79	76	70	68	68
4	Total Mathematics	87	84	76	69	7 6
6	Total Reading	77	84	<i>7</i> 9	78	71
6	Total Language Arts	, 82	83	77	74	67
6	Total Mathematics	85	89	84	87	77



HUFFMAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Successful		
Successful		
Successful		

		_	% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		_
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4 Rea	Reading	56	48.2	39.3	12.5	<i>7</i> 5	68*
	Lang. Arts	55	23.6	36.4	40.0	65	69
	Math	55	14.5	30.9	54.5	<i>7</i> 0	77*
5	Reading	59	32.2	32.2	35.6	68	67
	Lang. Arts	5 7	21.1	28.1	50.9	66	70*
	Math	58	32.8	37.9	29.3	<i>7</i> 1	<i>7</i> 0
6	Reading	54	37.0	33.3	29.6	68	66
	Lang. Arts	54	35.2	33.3	31.5	69	69
	Math	54	13.0	44.4	42.6	66	71*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 138

INLET VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip 2	261	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	89	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		43	Classroom Teachers	10.0
Gifted			41	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			22	Special Education Teachers	1.3
B	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	2.0
L .	Attendance	245.5		Music Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	259.8	3	Art Teachers	.3
Percentage of	Daily Attendan	ce 94.5	5%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.5
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurses	.4
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.5
Primary	2	3	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Intermediate	-	3	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Combination	-	3	-	Custodians	2.0
_					

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$993,158 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,038,301 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,031,559 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Gifted Service School



INLET VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
261	31	21	24	16	92	35.2%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
196 74.5%	7 2.7%	24 9.1%	23 8.8%	13 4.9%	67 25.5%	263		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	261	50	19.2%						
* Esti	mate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	33	23	11	16	218	301			
% in One Sch.	51.52%	86.96%	63.64%	37.50%	82.57%	76.41%			
% in Same Sch.	33.33%	65.22%	18.18%	18.75%	64.68%	57.14%			



INLET VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	68	77	66	67
	No.	29	30	31	34
Reading Comprehension	%ile	67	62	66	<i>7</i> 8
	No.	29	30	31	34
Total Reading	%ile	68	73	69	74
	No.	29	30	31	34
Language Mechanics	%ile	57	59	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 9
	No.	29	30	31	33
Language Expression	%ile	79	69	76	<i>7</i> 7
•	No.	29	30	31	34
Total Language	%ile	70	65	78	80
	No.	29	30	31	33
Math Computation	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 3	57	83
•	No.	29	30	31	34
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	76	<i>7</i> 7	80	84
* **	No.	29	30	31	34
Total Mathematics	%ile	78	76	72	84
	No.	29	30	31	34
Total Battery	%ile	73	72	76	82
·	No.	29	30	31	33
Word Analysis	%ile	55	00	01	33
•	No.	29			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 8	<i>7</i> 0	79
•	No.		30	31	34
Spelling	%ile	55	71	64	62
	No.	29	30	31	34
Science	%ile	65	<i>7</i> 5	59	81
	No.	29	30	31	34
Social Studies	%ile	<i>7</i> 3	64	76	77
	No.	29	30	31	34

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	_95-96_	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	73	74	69	67	62				
4	Total Language Arts	65	61	69	62	63				
4	Total Mathematics	<i>7</i> 6	76	78	76	76				
6	Total Reading	74	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 7	64	66				
6	Total Language Arts	80	<i>7</i> 8	<i>7</i> 7	65	60				
6	Total Mathematics	. 84	87	86	76	65				



INLET VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve current fourth grade knowledge in language arts	Successful
Improve current fourth grade knowledge in math	Successful
Improve student knowledge and use of computer technology	Successful

	_	_	% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		,
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gra	ide/Area	Teste d	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	21	19.0	52.4	28.6	63	64
	Lang. Arts	21	19.0	47.6	33.3	58	61
	Math	21	33.3	33.3	33.3	63	65
5	Reading	25	28.0	44.0	28.0	64	64
	Lang. Arts	25	12.0	40.0	48.0	57	70*
	Math	25	20.0	56.0	24.0	66	66
6	Reading	28	46.4	32.1	21.4	67	64
	Lang. Arts	28	32.1	35.7	32.1	68	69
	Math	28	35.7	28.6	35. <i>7</i>	70	73

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 139

KASUUN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p (602	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	11	0%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation	:	113	Classroom Teachers	22.0
Gifted			19	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			51	Special Education Teachers	3.8
	GE DAILY ATTI			Gifted Teachers	2.0
Average Daily		577.5		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	Membership	606.5	5	Art Teachers	.6
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 95.2	2%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GRO	DUPS		Nurses	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	3.25
Primary	2	12	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Intermediate	-	6	2	Custodians	3.0
Combination	-	2	-		
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expend	ditures			\$14,210	

1996-97 Budgeted Amount 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$2,123,294 \$1,902,374 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed.



KASUUN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
602	58	27	51	51	187	31.1%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
420 69.5%	40 6.6%	68 11.3%	41 6.8%	35 5.8%	184 30.5%	604			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	602	123	20.4%					
* Est	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	3	1	0	0	1	0	5
Percent Not Promoted	3.4%	1.1%	0%	0%	1.2%	0%	0.8%

Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	81	44	50	44	464	683			
% in One Sch.	80.25%	93.18%	64.00%	72.73%	81.25%	80.09%			
% in Same Sch.	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%*			
*New School									



KASUUN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	63	68	59	53
	No.	92	<i>7</i> 0	71	80
Reading Comprehension	%ile	66	66	58	65
	No.	92	<i>7</i> 0	71	80
Total Reading	%ile	66	69	61	60
	No.	92	70	71	80
Language Mechanics	%ile	51	70	69	61
	No.	91	70	70	80
Language Expression	%ile	60	65	64	59
•	No.	91	70	71	80
Total Language	%ile	56	68	67	59
	No.	91	70	70	80
Math Computation	%ile	55	51	56	63
•	No.	92	70	<i>7</i> 2	80
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	65	69	66	76
• • • •	No.	92	70	<i>7</i> 2	80
Total Mathematics	%ile	62	62	62	70
	No.	92	70	72	80
Total Battery	%ile	62	68	66	64
•	No.	91	70	70	80
Word Analysis	%ile	66	, ,	, 0	00
•	No.	92			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 3	61	65
•	No.		<i>7</i> 0	71	80
Spelling	%ile	51	49	45	47
	No.	91	70	7 0	80
Science	%ile	66	61	49	65
	No.	92	70	71	80
Social Studies	%ile	61	58	65	61
	No.	92	<i>7</i> 0	71	80

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	<u>95-96</u> <u>94-95</u> <u>93-94</u>	92-93						
4	Total Reading	69	DATA							
4	Total Language Arts	68								
4	Total Mathematics	62	NOT							
6	Total Reading	60								
6	Total Language Arts	59	AVAILABLE							
6	Total Mathematics	70								



KASUUN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Successful
Successful
Successful
-

		Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997		
Gr 4	ade/Area Reading Lang. Arts Math	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean		
5	Reading Lang. Arts Math		DATA	NOT	AVAII	LABLE			
6	Reading Lang. Arts Math								
* _	* Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level								



Table 140

KENNEDY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

C 4 1 20 50535 i i	 -			
September 30, 1996 Membersh	ip :	347	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	11	3%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education		30	Classroom Teachers	14.0
Gifted		6	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		17	Special Education Teachers	1.0
AVERAGE DAILY ATT AVERAGE DAILY ME			Gifted Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Attendance	328.9		Music Teachers	.6
Average Daily Membership	340.4	Į.	Art Teachers	.3
Percentage of Daily Attendan	ce 96.6	5	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.6
CLASSROOM GR	OUPS		Title I Specialist	1.33
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurses	.5
Primary 3	6	-	Special Education Aides	1.625
Intermediate -	2	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.3
Combination -	3	-	Title I Coordinators	.5
			Bilingual Tutors	.5
			Clerical Support Staff	1.0
			Custodians	2.0
		Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditures			\$1,285,441	
1996-97 Budgeted Amount			\$1,040,291	
1997-98 Adopted Budget			\$1,043.018	
* Dollars budgeted or expended				
Special Programs: Bilingual I	d., Gifted S	Service	School, Project Pride, Title I	



KENNEDY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
347	55	50	28	17	150	43.2%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
216 63.2%	77 22.5%	11 3.2%	14 4.1%	24 7.0%	126 36.8%	342			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	347	179	51.6%						
* Esti	mate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

	Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 2.2%	1 1.6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2.2%	3 1.1%	

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number % in One Sch.	13 84.62%	15 80.00%	97 68.04%	27 74.07%	252	404			
% in Same Sch.		40.00%	44.33%	33.33%	71.83% 26.19%	71.78% 32.18%			

KENNEDY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	61		42	40
	No.	46	38	33	39
Reading Comprehension	%ile	62	48	53	47
	No.	46	38	33	39
Total Reading	%ile	63	52	48	44
•	No.	46	38	33	39
Language Mechanics	%ile	51	51	72	42
	No.	46	38	33	38
Language Expression	%ile	74	53	65	49
3 3 1	No.	46	38	32	39
Total Language	%ile	65	52	7 1	46
3 0	No.	46	38	32	38
Math Computation	%ile	61	53	44	29
1	No.	46	38	33	39
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	66	68	55 55	44
1 11	No.	46	38	33	39
Total Mathematics	%ile	65	62	50	35
	No.	46	38	33	39
Total Battery	%ile	65	55	58	40
,	No.	46	38	32	38
Word Analysis	%ile	62	50	32	30
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No.	46			
Study Skills	%ile	40	63	55	42
sumy sums	No.		38	33	
Spelling	%ile	58	45	58	38
-10	No.	46	45 38	38 33	48
Science	%ile	57	38 74		39
	No.	46	74 37	51	40
Social Studies	%ile	46 66		33	38
Journal Didutes	No.		74 26	60 22	38
	INO.	46	<u>36</u>	33	36

	Histori ITBS Percentile Ra CAT Percentile Ra		2-93 to 1994			
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	_95-96_	94-95	93-94	92-93
4	Total Reading	52	67	23	56	35
4	Total Language Arts	52	60	30	56	47
4	Total Mathematics	62	51	18	54	56
6	Total Reading	44	5 <i>7</i>	52	44	48
6	Total Language Arts	46	61	67	50	48
6	Total Mathematics	35	53	41	40	38



KENNEDY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase written expression and mechanics for grades 1 through 6	Successful
Increase problem solving skills in math grades 2 through 6	Successful
Increase parent involvement	Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	26	19.2	26.9	53.8	50	55
	Lang. Arts	26	7.7	42.3	50.0	47	54*
	Math	26	15.4	34.6	50.0	44	55*
5	Reading	18	61.1	33.3	5.6	56	48*
	Lang. Arts	17	17.6	35.3	47.1	55	62*
	Math	18	38.9	27.8	33.3	52	49
6	Reading	27	37.0	48.1	14.8	48	47
	Lang. Arts	26	38.5	38.5	23.1	49	48
	Math	27	40.7	33.3	25.9	46	43

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



228

. . .

Table 141

KINCAID ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p 4	187	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	89	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	ucation		77	Classroom Teachers	18.5
Gifted			30	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual	Bilingual 19		Special Education Teachers	4.6	
	GE DAILY ATTE			Gifted Teachers	2.0
Average Daily	GE DAILY MEM Attendance	467.3		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Membership 492.8			3	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of 1	Daily Attendanc	e 94.8	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	5.3 7 5
Primary	-	11	-	Bilingual Tutors	.5
Intermediate	-	3	4	Clerical Support Staff	1.625
Combination	1	1	-	Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1995-96 Expend				\$43,100	
1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopte				\$1,943,142 \$1,655,368	•

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only. Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.,



KINCAID ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
539	62	40	24	35	161	29.9%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
388 79.7%	23 4.7%	29 6.0%	32 6.6%	15 3.1%	99 20.3%	487	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	487	62	12.7						
* Estin	nate of children	from low income familie	s is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

	Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	
Percent Not Promoted	1.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.6%	0.4%	

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	37	35	28	16	447	563		
% in One Sch.	67.57%	85.71%	64.29%	<i>7</i> 5.00%	<i>7</i> 8.75%	77.62%		
% in Same Sch. *New School	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%*		



KINCAID ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	68	67	74
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	61	62
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	71	65	71
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	62	62
Total Reading	%ile	74	72	69	75
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	61	62
Language Mechanics	%ile	56	60	77	73
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	62	63
Language Expression	%ile	64	73	65	74
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	62	63
Total Language	%ile	62	70	72	74
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	62	63
Math Computation	%ile	70	70	62	7 3
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	61	63
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	73	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 7	74
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	61	63
Total Mathematics	%ile	74	76	73	74
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	61	63
Total Battery	%ile	71	73	75	76
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	60	62
Word Analysis	%ile	62	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	02
	No.	<i>7</i> 5			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 4	<i>7</i> 2	<i>7</i> 5
	No.		69	58	63
Spelling	%ile	58	56	54	61
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	62	63
Science	%ile	66	70	62	72
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	69	58	63
Social Studies	%ile	67	69	68	69
	No.	74	69	58	63

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	<u>95-96</u> <u>94-95</u> <u>93-94</u>	92-93					
4	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 2	DATA						
4	Total Language Arts	<i>7</i> 0							
4	Total Mathematics	76	NOT						
6	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 5							
6	Total Language Arts	<i>7</i> 4	AVAILABLE						
6	Total Mathematics	74							



KINCAID ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Ensure effective two-way communication between home and school	Successful
Implement Everyday Math program	Successful
Improve and enhance learning across the curriculum using technology	Successful

	_	Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Are 4 Readin Lang. A Math		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean_
5 Readin Lang. A Math	•		DATA	NOT	AVAII	LABLE	
6 Readin Lang. A Math	•						



KLATT ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Table 142

September 30, 199	6 Membersh	in 6	539	STAFFING	
ocpiemoci so, 155	o Membersii	ip s	137	SIATTING	FTE
Percent of Ca	pacity	123	3%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Educa	ition		85	Classroom Teachers	21.0
Gifted			43	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			7 5	Special Education Teachers	4.0
	DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily A		511.4		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily M	embership	547.2	!	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of Dai	ly Attendan	ce 93.5	;	Nurses	1.0
CLAS	SROOM GR	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
20	& below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.5
Primary	1	10	-	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Intermediate	-	5	2	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	1.0
Combination	-	3	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
				Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditu 1996-97 Budgeted A 1997-98 Adopted E * Dollars budgeted	Amount Budget	l are genera	l fund (\$1,928,190 \$2,055,262 \$1,988,891	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.,



KLATT ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
487	31	31	51	63	176	36.1%			

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
379 69.0%	20 3.6%	73 13.3%	51 9.3%	26 4.7%	170 31.0%	549	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	539	168	31.2%						
* Esti:	mate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Percent Not Promoted	0.9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.6%	

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	85	55	24	34	423	621			
% in One Sch.	71.76%	89.09%	54.17%	58.82%	81.56%	78.58%			
% in Same Sch.	52.94%	70.91%	66.67%	58.82%	61.70%	61.35%			



KLATT ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	46	62	48	48
	No.	68	72	63	73
Reading Comprehension	%ile	56	67	56	61
	No.	68	72	63	73
Total Reading	%ile	52	66	53	58
	No.	68	72	63	73
Language Mechanics	%ile	27	62	53	61
	No.	67	72	64	73
Language Expression	%ile	56	64	56	60
•	No.	67	72	63	73
Total Language	%ile	42	64	54	61
•	No.	67	72	63	73
Math Computation	%ile	56	54	46	61
•	No.	67	73	62	73
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	54	70	61	63
- ••	No.	67	73	62	73
Total Mathematics	%ile	55	64	56	62
	No.	67	73	62	73
Total Battery	%ile	48	66	55	61
	No.	67	72	59	73
Word Analysis	%ile	51		0,	, ,
-	No.	68			
Study Skills	%ile		72	58	64
•	No.		72	62	73
Spelling	%ile	48	61	47	42
	No.	67	72	64	73
Science	%ile	50	66	46	46
	No.	67	72	61	73
Social Studies	%ile	60	63	57	5 4
	No.	67	72	61	72

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97										
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	66	65	51	37	49				
4	Total Language Arts	64	50	45	45	49				
4	Total Mathematics	64	60	49	49	53				
6	Total Reading	58	53	51	53	65				
6	Total Language Arts	61	61	50	54	5 <i>7</i>				
6	Total Mathematics	62	61	61	54	61				



KLATT ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase knowledge and use of technology	Successful
Develop responsible citizenship	Partially Successful
Continue with the new science curriculum	Successful
Increase parent and community involvement in school	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	51	23.5	35.3	41.2	53	58*
	Lang. Arts	51	17.6	11.8	70.6	43	58*
	Math	53	20.8	32.1	47.2	54	59*
5	Reading	54	50.0	42.6	7.4	60	52*
	Lang. Arts	54	27.8	33.3	38.9	51	53
	Math	54	42.6	25.9	31.5	57	55
6	Reading	52	32.7	32.7	34.6	53	56
	Lang. Arts	52	23.1	28.8	48.1	52	58*
	Math	52	23.1	23.1	53.8	49	58*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 143

LAKE HOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	ip 4	173	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Percent of Capacity 87% P		Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0	
Special Ed	lucation		62	Classroom Teachers	18.0
Gifted			22	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			95	Special Education Teachers	3.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	.9
Average Daily		448.8		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	473.2	2	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.9
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 94.8	3	Bilingual Teacher	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurses	.6
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Health Attendant	.6875
Primary	4	8	-	Special Education Aides	2.0
Intermediate	-	6	-	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Combination	-	2	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625
				Custodians	3.0

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$3,235 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,766,879 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,624,564

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.,



LAKE HOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility										
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
473	52	46	42	48	188	39.7%				

	Ethnicity Report-October 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
266 55.8%	35 7.3%	46 9.6%	109 22.9%	21 4.4%	211 44.2%	477			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information										
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *							
1996-97	Elementary	473	100	21.1%							
* Esti	* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.										

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	
Percent Not Promoted	1.4%	1.5%	0%	0%	1.4%	0%	0.6%	

Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	57	123	39	24	302	545				
% in One Sch.	68.42%	76.42%	84.62%	75.00%	75.50%	75.60%				
% in Same Sch.	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%*				
*New School										



LAKE HOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		_	GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	41	51	55	52
	No.	51	62	55	67
Reading Comprehension	%ile	62	49	68	60
	No.	52	62	55	67
Total Reading	%ile	54	51	63	58
	No.	51	62	55	67
Language Mechanics	%ile	43	58	78	72
•	No.	51	62	55	66
Language Expression	%ile	41	53	73	61
• •	No.	51	62	55	66
Total Language	%ile	42	56	77	68
	No.	51	62	55	66
Math Computation	%ile	53	41	52	64
•	No.	52	62	55	66
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	51	62	62	66
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No.	52	62	55	66
Total Mathematics	%ile	52	52	59	64
	No.	52	62	55	66
Total Battery	%ile	48	52	69	64
•	No.	51	62	55	66
Word Analysis	%ile	40			
•	No.	52			
Study Skills	%ile		60	62	62
•	No.		62	55	65
Spelling	%ile	40	42	57	55
	No.	52	62	55	67
Science	%ile	50	59	5 4	45
	No.	52	62	55	65
Social Studies	%ile	49	52	67	51
	No.	51	62	55	65

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96 94-95 93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	51	DATA					
	Total Language Arts	56						
	Total Mathematics		NOT					
6	Total Reading	58	AVAILABLE					
	Total Language Arts	68						
	Total Mathematics	64						



LAKE HOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Enhance student involvement and success with literacy	Partially Successful
Learn to value math, become mathematical problem solvers, and learn to communicate and reason mathematically	Partially Successful
Facilitate interpretation of technology into curriculum	Successful
Parent understanding, participation, and involvement in school programs will be facilitated	Successful

		Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading Lang. Arts Math						
5	Reading Lang. Arts Math		DATA	NOT	AVAI	AVAILABLE	
6	Reading Lang. Arts Math						






Table 144

LAKE OTIS ELEMENTARY PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

September 30, 19	996 Membershi	ip 5	532	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of C	Capacity	108	8%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education 123		Classroom Teachers	22.0		
Gifted			22	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			57	Special Education Teachers	4.5
1	E DAILY ATT		_	Music Teachers	1.2
Average Daily		500.7		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily	Membership	530. 6	5	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
Percentage of D	aily Attendand	ce 94.4	1%	Nurses	1.0
CLA	SSROOM GR	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	2.125
Primary	-	15	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.5
Intermediate	-	5	-	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
Combination	-	2	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
				Custodians	3.0
				last *	

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,571,649 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,209,940 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$2,028,992 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1 and 5)



LAKE OTIS ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
532	45	35	73	51	204	38.3%	

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
335 63.9%	62 11.8%	70 13.4%	33 6.3%	24 4.6%	189 36.1%	524	

		Free/Reduced Pri	ice Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97 * Estir	Elementary mate of children fr	532 om low income famili	201 ies is based on Free/Reduce	37.8% ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	8	.0	0	1	0	0	9
Percent Not Promoted	7.5%	0%	0%	1.5%	0%	0%	1.6%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	100	34	78	33	379	624			
% in One Sch.	53.00%	94.12%	65.38%	57.58%	<i>7</i> 9.95%	73.40%			
% in Same Sch.	44.00%	64.71%	50.00%	45.45%	64.12%	58.17%			



LAKE OTIS ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	66	77	51	44
	No.	58	60	47	60
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	<i>7</i> 1	49	60
	No.	58	60	47	60
Total Reading	%ile	71	<i>7</i> 7	52	54
	No.	58	60	47	5 9
Language Mechanics	%ile	56	68	62	58
	No.	58	60	49	60
Language Expression	%ile	66	75	53	53
3 3 1	No.	58	60	49	61
Total Language	%ile	62	7 4	57	
0 0	No.	58	60	49	57
Math Computation	%ile	60	74	37	60 33
	No.	58			32
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile		60	49	60
The contest and reprications	No.	61 50	85	54	56
Total Mathematics		58	60	49	60
Total Mathematics	%ile	62	83	46	41
Total Battery	No.	58	60	49	60
Total Battery	%ile	66	80	54	51
Mond Amalusia	No.	58	60	47	58
Word Analysis	%ile	58			
Conde Clair	No.	58			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 8	53	5 <i>7</i>
o	No.		59	49	59
Spelling	%ile	60	70	52	53
	No.	58	60	47	61
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	74	43	48
	No.	58	59	48	60
Social Studies	%ile	65	<i>7</i> 5	51	50
<u></u>	No.	58	59	48	60

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	
4	Total Reading	77	69	56	51	54	
4	Total Language Arts	74	52	55	55	52	
4	Total Mathematics	83	64	64	64	55	
6	Total Reading	54	49	49	44	52	
6	Total Language Arts	57	57	47	44	5 <u>4</u>	
6	Total Mathematics	41	50	45	39	49	



LAKE OTIS ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Develop awareness of geography skills in K-6	Successful
Emphasize positive School Climate	Successful
Expand knowledge and awareness of new inquiry based science curriculum	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	45	28.9	37.8	33.3	69	67
	Lang. Arts	45	40.0	28.9	31.1	65	64
	Math	44	15.9	27.3	56.8	66	74*
5	Reading	38	36.8	39.5	23.7	56	54
	Lang. Arts	39	12.8	4 8.7	38.5	52	5 7 *
	Math	39	51.3	30.8	17.9	60	53*
6	Reading	45	48.9	37.8	13.3	64	59*
	Lang. Arts	47	44.7	34.0	21.3	64	60*
	Math	47	44.7	34.0	21.3	53	49

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 145

MT. SPURR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip :	298	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	7	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		40	Classroom Teachers	12.0
Gifted	Gifted 14		Librarians	1.0	
Bilingual 8		Special Education Teachers	1.6		
	GE DAILY ATT GE DAILY MEN			Music Teachers	.6
Average Daily		286.4		Art Teachers	.35
Average Daily	Membership	296.3	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.6
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	ce 96.6	5%	Nurses	.55
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Special Education Aides	.625
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.25
Primary	2	6	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Intermediate	-	3	-	Custodians	2.0
Combination	-	2	-		
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend	ditures			\$1,209,990	

1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,190,591 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,128,785 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1, 2, and 3)



MT. SPURR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
298	108	52	58	21	239	80.2%		

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
244 82.7%	29 9.8%	5 1.7%	9 3.1%	8 2.7%	51 17.3%	295

		Free/Reduced Pri	ice Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	298	39	13.1%
* Est	imate of children f	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	5	18	42	14	316	395			
% in One Sch.	100.00%	38.89%	54.76%	50.00%	60.44%	58.99%			
% in Same Sch	. 60.00%	16.67%	45.24%	42.86%	47.78%	46.08%			



MT. SPURR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	73	76	66	55
	No.	56	31	31	38
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 4	<i>7</i> 7	67	67
	No.	56	31	31	38
Total Reading	%ile	76	79	69	62
	No.	56	31	31	38
Language Mechanics	%ile	60	70	84	69
	No.	56	31	31	38
Language Expression	%ile	77	76	70	63
	No.	56	31	31	38
Total Language	%ile	71	76	80	66
-	No.	56	31	31	38
Math Computation	%ile	60	83	63	7 4
-	No.	56	31	31	38
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	73	79	69	<i>7</i> 8
- **	No.	56	31	31	38
Total Mathematics	%ile	70	83	69	77
	No.	56	31	31	38
Total Battery	%ile	74	80	76	70
•	No.	56	31	31	38
Word Analysis	%ile	73			50
·	No.	56			
Study Skills	%ile	- •	83	68	73
	No.		31	31	38
Spelling	%ile	66	80	61	64
-	No.	56	31	31	38
Science	%ile	67	74	64	63
	No.	56	31	31	38
Social Studies	%ile	70	73	68	61
	No.	56	31	31	38

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	_94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	79	76	57	64	56			
4	Total Language Arts	76	63	56	54	59			
4	Total Mathematics	83	65	68	67	70			
6	Total Reading	62	<i>7</i> 3	74	63	54			
6	Total Language Arts	66	73	67	57	63			
6	Total Mathematics	<i>7</i> 7	<i>7</i> 9	<i>7</i> 7	66	63			



MT. SPURR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Emphasize writing skills	Partially Successful
Increase emphasis on recreational reading	Successful
Train staff in basic keyboarding skills and computer programs	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	_	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	17	23.5	35.3	41.2	62	64
	Lang. Arts	17	11.8	41.2	47.1	56	64*
	Math	17	5.9	17.6	76. 5	59	73*
5	Reading	22	27.3	36.4	36.4	60	61
	Lang. Arts	22	18.2	22.7	59.1	57	68*
	Math	22	27.3	31.8	40.9	60	62
6	Reading	29	37.9	44.8	17.2	5 <i>7</i>	56
	Lang. Arts	29	34.5	44.8	20.7	58	55
	Math	29	10.3	41.4	48.3	57	66*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 146

MT. VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip	419	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	9	0%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		83	Classroom Teachers (2 paid by Title I)	19.0
Gifted			9	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		:	155	Special Education Teachers	4.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		402.0		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	/ Membership	428.9)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.9
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 93.7	7%	Title I Specialists	3.0
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Bilingual Teachers	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Counselors	1.0
Primary	8	4	-	Nurses	.7
Intermediate	1	5	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	.875
Combination	-	1	-	Special Education Aides	2.0
				Title I Coordinators	1.0
				Bilingual Tutors	3.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	1.0
				Clerical Support Staff	1.5
				Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	
1005-06 Evnon	J:4			#0.0F0.04F	

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,353,067 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,855,610 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,767,002 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Gifted Program, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1 through 4), Title I



MT. VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
419	82	63	111	89	345	82.3%		

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	oer 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
118 26.6%	87 19.6%	99 22.4%	92 20.8%	47 10.6%	325 73.4%	443

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	419	381	90.9%
* Esti	imate of children i	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percent Not Promoted	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.1%

	Stability Rates of Students						
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number	153	· 105	99	65	146	568	
% in One Sch.	34.64%	72.38%	60.61%	53.85%	54.79%	53.52%	
% in Same Sch	28.76%	56.19%	50.51%	46.15%	45.89%	44.01%	



MT. VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		GRADE				
		3	4	5	6	
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	20	26	16	29	
	No.	49	43	43	43	
Reading Comprehension	%ile	25	27	25	43	
	No.	49	44	43	43	
Total Reading	%ile	22	26	19	35	
	No.	49	43	43	43	
Language Mechanics	%ile	24	30	37	49	
	No.	49	44	43	43	
Language Expression	%ile	21	27	25	35	
	No.	48	43	43	43	
Total Language	%ile	20	27	27	41	
	No.	48	43	43	43	
Math Computation	%ile	29	44	25	36	
	No.	49	43	43	43	
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	22	34	33	47	
	No.	49	44	43	43	
Total Mathematics	%ile	22	36	27	39	
	No.	49	43	43	43	
Total Battery	%ile	19	27	22	37	
	No.	48	43	43	43	
Word Analysis	%ile	16			10	
	No.	48				
Study Skills	%ile		37	30	41	
	No.		43	43	43	
Spelling	%ile	25	27	32	34	
	No.	48	44	43	43	
Science	%ile	18	31	19	25	
	No.	47	44	43	43	
Social Studies	%ile	23	27	28	42	
	No.	47	44	43	43	

	Historio ITBS Percentile Ra CAT Percentile Ran		2-93 to 1994			
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
4	Total Reading	26	38	25	22	22
4	Total Language Arts	27	31	30	22	15
4	Total Mathematics	36	23	37	24	32
6	Total Reading	35	23	26	32	34
6	Total Language Arts	41	23	26	31	36
6	Total Mathematics	39	50	42	40	44



MT. VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT			
Improve technological literacy	Successful			
Improve positive school climate	Successful			
Develop community understanding of math teaching methods	Successful			

		·	% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Tested Year's Growth		Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	29	24.1	27.6	48.3	32	35
	Lang. Arts	29	13.8	17.2	69.0	24	38*
	Math	29	20.7	24.1	55.2	31	42*
5	Reading	22	68.2	22.7	9.1	44	31*
	Lang. Arts	20	45.0	30.0	25.0	47	35*
	Math	21	33.3	38.1	28.6	40	36
6	Reading	26	19.2	46.2	34.6	39	43
	Lang. Arts	26	19.2	42.3	38.5	43	48
	Math	26	42.3	46.2	11.5	49	43*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 147

MULDOON ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership	4	116	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	122	2%	Principals / Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education 86		Classroom Teachers	18.0	
Gifted		13	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		64	Special Education Teachers	4.0
AVERAGE DAILY ATTEN AVERAGE DAILY MEMB			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Attendance	393.7		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily Membership	425.1		Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of Daily Attendance	Percentage of Daily Attendance 92.6%		Counselors	1.0
CLASSROOM GROU	ЛРS		Nurses	.7
20 & below 2	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.25
20 to \$6.000 Z	.1 10 30	J1 +	Teacher Assistants	3.0
Primary 2	6	-	Title I Coordinators	1.0
Intermediate 1	2	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.5
Combination 1	7	-	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
			Clerical Support Staff	1.5
		:	Custodians	2.0
		Bud	get *	

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,446 ,825 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,635,878 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,705,201

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Alaska 2,000 Grant, Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Title I



MULDOON ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
416	56	45	65	74	240	57.7%				

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1995									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total				
180 43.0%	87 20.8%	104 24.8%	33 7.9%	15 3.6%	239 57.0%	419				

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	416	275	66.1%						
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Percent Not Promoted	0%	1.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.4%	

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	141	40	99	19	228	527				
% in One Sch.	56.74%	72.50%	<i>76.77%</i>	68.42%	61.40%	64.14%				
% in Same Sch.	48.94%	47.50%	55.56%	36.84%	44.30%	47.63%				



MULDOON ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	39	34	29	25
	No.	49	40	55	38
Reading Comprehension	%ile	41	39	38	33
•	No.	48	40	55	38
Total Reading	%ile	40	36	34	27
•	No.	48	40	55	38
Language Mechanics	%ile	20	25	37	41
	No.	48	41	55	38
Language Expression	%ile	34	33	37	28
0 0 1	No.	48	41	55	38
Total Language	%ile	25	27	36	3 2
0 0	No.	48	41	55	38
Math Computation	%ile	28	29	25	23
1	No.	48	38	54	37
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	49	49	45	43
1	No.	48	39	54	37
Total Mathematics	%ile	39	39	34	31
	No.	48	38	5 4	37
Total Battery	%ile	33	33	33	28
•	No.	48	37	54	37
Word Analysis	%ile	25	37	J4	37
,	No.	49			
Study Skills	%ile	17	45	39	35
,	No.		39	5 4	33 37
Spelling	%ile	30	34	30	33
1 0	No.	49	40	54	38
Science	%ile	39	47	32	38 33
	No.	49	39	52 53	33 37
Social Studies	%ile	44	42		
	No.	44 49	42 39	49 52	41
		<u> 47</u>	<u> </u>	53	36

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	36	34	44	39	36				
4	Total Language Arts	27	22	51	32	35				
4	Total Mathematics	39	32	51	36	32				
6.	Total Reading	27	41	41	31	43				
6	Total Language Arts	32	45	41	34	44				
_6	Total Mathematics	31	47	39	36	40				



MULDOON ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Meet grade level standards for reading	Partially Successful
Establish and meet a home/school reading goal	Successful
Develop socially responsible students and reduce violence	Partially Successful
Increase parent and community awareness and involvement in school/community issues	Successful

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
Reading	26	23.1	38.5	38.5	36	40
Lang. Arts	28	25.0	21.4	53.6	30	37
Math	25	24.0	48.0	28.0	43	43
Reading	37	43.2	45.9	10.8	43	39*
Lang. Arts	36	25.0	44.4	30.6	37	39
Math	36	38.9	38.9	22.2	41	39
Reading	27	25.9	51.9	22.2	38	37
Lang. Arts	27	25.9	51.9	22.2	41	42
Math	27	37.0	33.3	29.6	40	40
	Reading Lang. Arts Math Reading Lang. Arts Math Reading Lang. Arts	Ade/Area Tested Reading 26 Lang. Arts 28 Math 25 Reading 37 Lang. Arts 36 Math 36 Reading 27 Lang. Arts 27 Lang. Arts 27	Ade/Area Tested Year's Growth Reading 26 23.1 Lang. Arts 28 25.0 Math 25 24.0 Reading 37 43.2 Lang. Arts 36 25.0 Math 36 38.9 Reading 27 25.9 Lang. Arts 27 25.9 Lang. Arts 27 25.9	Ade/Area Tested Year's Growth Growth Reading 26 23.1 38.5 Lang. Arts 28 25.0 21.4 Math 25 24.0 48.0 Reading 37 43.2 45.9 Lang. Arts 36 25.0 44.4 Math 36 38.9 38.9 Reading 27 25.9 51.9 Lang. Arts 27 25.9 51.9 Lang. Arts 27 25.9 51.9	Ade/Area Tested Year's Growth Growth Year's Growth Reading 26 23.1 38.5 38.5 Lang. Arts 28 25.0 21.4 53.6 Math 25 24.0 48.0 28.0 Reading 37 43.2 45.9 10.8 Lang. Arts 36 25.0 44.4 30.6 Math 36 38.9 38.9 22.2 Reading 27 25.9 51.9 22.2 Lang. Arts 27 25.9 51.9 22.2	Ade/Area Tested Year's Growth Growth Year's Growth Mean Reading 26 23.1 38.5 38.5 36 Lang. Arts 28 25.0 21.4 53.6 30 Math 25 24.0 48.0 28.0 43 Reading 37 43.2 45.9 10.8 43 Lang. Arts 36 25.0 44.4 30.6 37 Math 36 38.9 38.9 22.2 41 Reading 27 25.9 51.9 22.2 38 Lang. Arts 27 25.9 51.9 22.2 41

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 148

NORTH STAR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30.	1996 Membershi	in 4		STAFFING	Table 1
		r ·	100	JAPPING	FTE
Percent of Capacity 83%		Principals / Asst. Principals	1.0		
Special E	ducation		72	Classroom Teachers	18.0
Gifted			20	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		1	145	Special Education Teachers	3.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	2.0
Average Daily	AGE DAILY MEN y Attendance	427.1		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	y Membership	465.8	3	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of	Daily Attendance	e 91.7	7%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CI	LASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Counselors	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Title I Specialists	4 .0
Primary	2	7	-	Bilingual Teachers	1.0
Intermediate	-	3	-	Nurses	1.0
Combination	-	6	-	Health Attendants	.9375
				Teacher Assistants/Aides	3.0
				Special Education Aides	2.5
				Title I Coordinators	1.0
				Bilingual Tutors	3.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	1.0
				Clerical Support Staff	1.625
				Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	

Duaget

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,984,565 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,955,654 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,887,034

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Gifted Service School, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1), Title I, Even Start



NORTH STAR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

_	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
463	78	64	132	134	408	88.1%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
191 41.3%	47 10.2%	128 27.7%	52 11.3%	44 9.5%	271 58.7%	462	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	463	340	73.4%					
* Est	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.5%	1 1.6%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1.6%	1 1.5%	4 0.8%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	187	<i>7</i> 5	73	69	250	654				
% in One Sch.	48.66%	62.67%	47.95%	49.28%	59.20%	54.28%				
% in Same Sch.	35.29%	45.33%	31.51%	39.13%	45.20%	40.21%				



NORTH STAR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	41	49	38	34
•	No.	62	38	51	5 4
Reading Comprehension	%ile	45	46	37	49
•	No.	63	38	51	56
Total Reading	%ile	44	48	38	42
, and the second	No.	62	38	51	5 6
Language Mechanics	%ile	36	64	58	51
	No.	64	38	51	56
Language Expression	%ile	48	54	4 5	47
	No.	64	38	51	56
Total Language	%ile	41	59	51 51	48
0 0	No.	64	38	51 51	56
Math Computation	%ile	39	39	33	33
1	No.	63	38	51	56
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	39	54	39	45
1	No.	64	3 7	51	4 5 56
Total Mathematics	%ile	37	46	35	38 ·
	No.	63	37	51	56 ·
Total Battery	%ile	41	5 1	42	41
•	No.	62	37	51	56
Word Analysis	%ile	28	37	31	<i>3</i> 6 .
,	No.	64			
Study Skills	%ile	04	62	42	42
,	No.		38	51	43 55
Spelling	%ile	45	64	39	36
1 0	No.	64	38	59 51	
Science	%ile	42	58 54		56
	No.	64		38 51	37 52
Social Studies	%ile	43	38	51 50	53 45
	No.	43 64	48	50	4 5
	110.	04	38	51	53

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	_95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	48	40	39	28	32			
4	Total Language Arts	59	32	50	29	37			
4	Total Mathematics	46	24	55	31	39			
6	Total Reading	42	32	33	32	36			
6	Total Language Arts	48	28	34	36	32			
6	Total Mathematics	38	36	37	36	44			



NORTH STAR ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase literacy performance and attitudes in area of language arts	Successful
Increase literacy performance and attitudes in area of math and technology	Successful
Promote safe, discipline, alcohol/drug free school environment	Partially Successful
Promote partnerships to increase parental involvement	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
ra	de/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
	Reading	26	19.2	42.3	38.5	46	48
	Lang. Arts	26	11.5	11.5	76.9	42	55*
	Math	26	30.8	42.3	26.9	48	48
	Reading	33	42.4	33.3	24.2	49	45
	Lang. Arts	32	28.1	21.9	50.0	43	51*
	Math	32	37.5	37.5	25.0	40	51
	Reading	39	20.5	35.9	43.6	43	47
	Lang. Arts	39	20.5	43.6	35.9	51	53
	Math	40	10.0	40.0	50.0	39	45*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 149

NORTHERN LIGHTS ABC ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip :	364	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	11	6%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		20	Classroom Teachers	13.0
Gifted				Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			13	Special Education Teachers	.4
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	.6
Average Daily		349.3		Art Teachers	.3
Average Daily	Membership	365.8	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.7
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 95.5	5%	Nurses	.4
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS	_	Special Education Aides	.125
	20 & b elow	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.5
Primary	-	8	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Intermediate	-	6	-	Custodians	2.0
Combination	-	-	-		
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopt	ed Amount			\$1,246,024 \$1,248,309 \$1,247,075	j

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,247,075 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: ABC Program, Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed.,



NORTHERN LIGHTS ABC ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
364	6_	6	11	18	41	11.3%			

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
272 74.5%	20 5.5%	16 4.4%	46 12.6%	11 3.0%	93 25.5%	365	

	<u> </u>	Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	364	18	4.9%
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	
Percent Not Promoted	0%	2.0%	0%	0%	1.9%	0%	0.5%	

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	16	47	24	11	286	384			
% in One Sch.	100.00%	93.62%	75.00%	81.82%	91.96%	91.15%			
% in Same Sch	. 75.00%	63.83%	62.50%	63.64%	77.62%	74.48%			



NORTHERN LIGHTS ABC ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	86	81	73	77
	No.	50	52	51	53
Reading Comprehension	%ile	83	80	<i>7</i> 9	81
	No.	50	51	51	53
Total Reading	%ile	87	83	80	82
	No.	50	51	51	53
Language Mechanics	%ile	73	84	84	88
	No.	51	51	51	53
Language Expression	%ile	87	<i>7</i> 9	<i>7</i> 7	86
	No.	51	51	51	53
Total Language	%ile	83	84	83	88
	No.	51	51	51	53
Math Computation	%ile	78	90	83	95
-	No.	51	52	51	53
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	84	89	82	94
	No.	51	52	51	52
Total Mathematics	%ile	84	91	85	95
	No.	51	52	51	52
Total Battery	%ile	88	88	86	92
	No.	50	51	5 1	52
Word Analysis	%ile	85	0.		32
	No.	51			
Study Skills	%ile		85	<i>7</i> 5	81
	No.		52	51	53
Spelling	%ile	. 88	80	82	<i>7</i> 5
	No.	51	51	51	53
Science	%ile	78	<i>7</i> 8	63	83
	No.	51	52	51	53 53
Social Studies	%ile	84	80	81	82
	No.	51	52	51	53

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	_95-96_	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	83	80	77	69	77			
4	Total Language Arts	84	84	81	7 5	84			
4	Total Mathematics	91	87	88	<i>7</i> 7	82			
6,	Total Reading	82	77	77	68	<i>7</i> 5			
6	Total Language Arts	88	83	71	71	77			
6	Total Mathematics	95	85	89	<i>7</i> 7	85			



NORTHERN LIGHTS ABC ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Maintain or improve quarterly reading percentages	Successful
Maintain or improve math skills based on CAT scores	Partially Successful
Maintain or improve spelling skills in grades 1 through 6	Partially Successful
Exhibit courtesy and respect to all students, staff, and visitors	Successful
Emphasize school-wide improvement in penmanship	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean 69 71 78* 70 73 75 72 77
4	Reading	46	32.6	43.5	23.9	72	69
	Lang. Arts	46	39.1	21.7	39.1	69	<i>7</i> 1
	Math	47	19.1	40.4	40.4	<i>7</i> 2	78*
5	Reading	41	24.4	34.1	41.5	68	<i>7</i> 0
	Lang. Arts	42	28.6	26.2	45.2	<i>7</i> 1	73
	Math	42	23.8	42.9	33.3	73	<i>7</i> 5
6	Reading	47	23.4	38.3	38.3	69	72
	Lang. Arts	47	29.8	36.2	34.0	76	<i>7</i> 7
	Math	47	19.1	29.8	51.1	79	88*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 150

NORTHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p	401	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	8	8%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ec	lucation		86	Classroom Teachers	14.0
Gifted			8	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			65	Special Education Teachers	4.0
	GE DAILY ATTI			Music Teachers	.8
	Average Daily Attendance 372.9			Art Teachers	.4
Average Daily	Average Daily Membership 398.5			Physical Ed. Teachers	.8
Percentage of	Percentage of Daily Attendance 93.6%			Bilingual Teachers	.5
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	.6
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	2.75
Primary	-	7	1	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Intermediate	-	5	-	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
Combination	-	2	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.5
				Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopte	ed Amount		-1 <i>6</i> 1	\$1,836,303 \$1,439,094 \$1,451,408	

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only. Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. K through 4)



NORTHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
401	46	65	67	70	248	61.8%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
211 53.7%	29 7.4%	73 18.6%	63 16.0%	17 4.3%	182 46.3%	393			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	401	148	36.9%						
* Est	* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.									

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	3	0	0	3	0	0	6	
Percent Not Promoted	5.0%	0%	0%	5.0%_	0%	0%	1.0%	

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number % in One Sch.	100 52.00%	74 74.32%	51 45.10%	26 57.69%	259 67.57%	510 62.75%			
% in Same Sch.		50.00%	43.14%	50.00%	49.81%	45.69%			



NORTHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	47	58	49	53
	No.	58	5 <i>7</i>	39	47
Reading Comprehension	%ile	42	53	55	58
	No.	58	58	39	47
Total Reading	%ile	44	58	54	56
	No.	58	57	39	47
Language Mechanics	%ile	41	53	57	54
	No.	58	58	39	47
Language Expression	%ile	46	57	52	53
	No.	58	56	39	47
Total Language	%ile	44	54	54	53
	No.	58	56	39	47
Math Computation	%ile	57	81	48	56
•	No.	58	57	39	47
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	56	71	65	58
• ••	No.	57	5 <i>7</i>	39	47
Total Mathematics	%ile	58	78	59	57
	No.	57	57	39	47
Total Battery	%ile	47	64	5 7	55
•	No.	5 <i>7</i>	56	39	47
Word Analysis	%ile	42	30	33	4/
•	No.	58			
Study Skills	%ile	50	62	60	ΕO
•	No.		57	39	59
Spelling	%ile	37	59	39 47	47
•	No.	58	58	39	41
Science	%ile	46	60	39 45	47 50
	No.	57	57		50
Social Studies	%ile	50	64	38 63	46
	No.	50 57	57	62 38	49
	1NU.	3/	5/	38	46

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	58	64	57	36	53			
4	Total Language Arts	54	53	48	34	49			
4	Total Mathematics	78	68	57	40	52			
6	Total Reading	56	47	57	43	51			
6	Total Language Arts	53	55	54	42	44			
6	Total Mathematics	57	49	66	45	50			



NORTHWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase academic performance in reading	Successful
Improve 3rd and 4th grade students' knowledge in language arts	Partially Successful
Emphasize language arts instruction and correlate other curricula areas	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		-
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	34	26.5	38.2	35.3	55	56
	Lang. Arts	34	29.4	11.8	58.8	48	54*
	Math	34	41.2	11.8	47.1	64	68
5	Reading	20	25.0	45.0	30.0	55	56
	Lang. Arts	21	19.0	38.1	42.9	46	50
	Math	21	38.1	33.3	28.6	55	54
6	Reading	26	23.1	46.2	30.8	56	56
	Lang. Arts	26	38.5	38.5	23.1	56	55
	Math	26	38.5	38.5	23.1	60	59

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 151

NUNAKA VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip :	374	STAFFING	FIE
Percent of	Capacity	9	8%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		66	Classroom Teachers	16.0
Gifted			11	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			36	Special Education Teachers	3.2
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	.8
	Attendance			Art Teachers	.4
Average Daily	Membership	369.2	2	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	ce 94.2	2%	Nurses	.65
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS	_	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.3
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.25
Primary	1	8	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Intermediate	-	4	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Combination	-	3	-	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget	ed Amount			\$1,453,668 \$1,562,498	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,455,846 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Full-Day Kindergarten, Migrant Ed.,

Slingerland (gr. 1 through 6)



NUNAKA VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
374	31	42	49	50	172	46.0%	

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
216 57.0%	43 11.4%	76 20.1%	26 6.9%	18 4.8%	163 43.0%	379

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	374	169	45.2%
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0.3%	0.7%	0%	0%	1.0%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	93	32	53	21	252	451		
% in One Sch.	59.14%	62.50%	64.15%	<i>7</i> 6.19%	69.84%	66.74%		
% in Same Sch.	44.09%	43.75%	52.83%	57.14%	56.35%	52.55%		



NUNAKA VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Reading Vocabulary %ile No. Reading Comprehension %ile No. Total Reading %ile No.	54 59 53 58	44 43 46	5 55 53	6
Reading Comprehension %ile No. Total Reading %ile No.	59 53 58	43 46		
Reading Comprehension %ile No. Total Reading %ile No.	53 58	46	53	
No. Total Reading %ile No.	58			41
Total Reading %ile No.			63	53
No.		43	53	41
	55	46	60	38
	58	43	53	41
Language Mechanics %ile	33	43	67	39
· No.	58	42	53	40
Language Expression %ile	42	42	63	43
No.	5 <i>7</i>	42	53	40
Total Language %ile	37	42	66	38
No.	57	42	53	40
Math Computation %ile	59	34	54	36
No.	55	42	54	40
Math Concepts and Applications %ile	46	45	73	47
No.	58	43	53	40
Total Mathematics %ile	51	41	67	41
No.	54	42	53	40
Total Battery %ile	46	42	67	38
No.	53	42	52	40
Word Analysis %ile	44			10
No.	60			
Study Skills %ile		49	62	42
No.		42	53	40
Spelling %ile	44	31	50	28
No.	59	42	53	41
Science %ile	49	38	61	41
No.	57	43	52	40
Social Studies %ile	48	34	7 <u>4</u>	41
No.	57	42	51	39

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	46	39	32	41	59				
4	Total Language Arts	42	37	34	42	51				
4	Total Mathematics	41	47	35	48	60				
6	Total Reading	38	60	35	44	29				
6	Total Language Arts	38	48	26	33	28				
6	Total Mathematics	41	58	42	43	31				



NUNAKA VALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Focus on reading instruction	Partially Successful
Adopt and implement new math curriculum	Partially Successful
Ensure have a safe, accepting, supportive school community	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	26	26.9	38.5	34.6	50	49
	Lang. Arts	27	14.8	3 7. 0	48.1	4 0	47*
	Math	27	51.9	29.6	18.5	50	44
5	Reading	41	12.2	39.0	48.8	46	55*
	Lang. Arts	40	7. 5	20.0	72.5	46	60*
	Math	40	20.0	27.5	52.5	51	61*
6	Reading	31	25.8	38.7	35.5	39	42
	Lang. Arts	30	36.7	33.3	30.0	44	44
	Math	30	10.0	36.7	53.3	38	47*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 152

OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip .	403	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	8	8%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ec	Special Education		63	Classroom Teachers	14.5
Gifted	Gifted		55	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			10	Special Education Teachers	2.4
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	2.0
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBER Average Daily Attendance		393.8		Music Teachers	.8
Average Daily	Membership	411.8	3	Art Teachers	.4
Percentage of	Daily Attendan	ce 95.6	5%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurses	.7
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.25
Primary	1	8	-	Bilingual Tutors	.25
Intermediate	-	2	4	Clerical Support Staff	1.5
Combination	-	1	-	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
 1995-96 Expend	ditures			\$1,633,870	

1996-97 Budgeted Amount 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,451,051 \$1,440,052

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Gifted Service School



OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
403	31	12	22	22	87	21.6%		

		Ethnici	ty ReportOctol	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
347 85.7%	9 2.2%	17 4.2%	23 5.7%	9 2.2%	58 14.3%	405

		Free/Reduced Price	e Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	403	. 40	9.9%
* Esti	mate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.4%	1 1.6%	0 0%	1 2.1%	0 0%	0 00%	3 .8%

Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number	23	23	14	11	392	453	
% in One Sch	69.57%	100.00%	57.14%	81.82%	86.13%	84.99%	
% in Same Sch.	52.17%	69.57%	35.71%	72.73%	65.97%	64.68%	



OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	75	77	74	77
	No.	49	48	61	57
Reading Comprehension	%ile	70	<i>7</i> 5	68	76
	No.	49	48	62	57
Total Reading	%ile	74	79	75	79
	No.	49	48	61	57
Language Mechanics	%ile	63	87	71	84
	No.	49	48	62	5 7
Language Expression	%ile	76	86	7 <u>4</u>	77
	No.	49	48	62	57
Total Language	%ile	72	88	73	8 2
	No.	49	48	62	57
Math Computation	%ile	78	91	75	86
	No.	49	48	62	5 <i>7</i>
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	83	90	83	86
	No.	49	48	62	5 <i>7</i>
Total Mathematics	%ile	83	93	82	86
	No.	49	48	62	5 <i>7</i>
Total Battery	%ile	78	89	81	85
	No.	49	48	61	5 <i>7</i>
Word Analysis	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	10	01	57
	No.	49			
Study Skills	%ile		85	71	80
	No.		48	62	5 <i>7</i>
Spelling	%ile	56	67	60	64
	No.	49	48	62	57
Science	%ile	73	76	63	<i>7</i> 0
	No.	49	48	62	57
Social Studies	%ile	67	77	71	<i>7</i> 0
	No.	49	48	62	57

	ITBS Percentile Ra	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97				
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
4	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 9	79	<i>7</i> 1	72	68
4	Total Language Arts	88	73	68	68	70
4	Total Mathematics	93	85	84	7 8	70 79
6.	Total Reading	79	<i>7</i> 9	<i>7</i> 7	62	62
6	Total Language Arts	82	<i>7</i> 7	79	67	61
6	Total Mathematics	86	89	86	80	79



OCEAN VIEW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Successful
Successful
Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	<u> </u>	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	43	25.6	37.2	37.2	67	69
	Lang. Arts	43	7.0	30.2	62.8	64	76*
	Math	43	9.3	18.6	72.1	<i>7</i> 0	83*
5	Reading	51	23.5	51.0	25.5	67	67
	Lang. Arts	52	23.1	44.2	32.7	63	64
	Math	52	42.3	34.6	23.1	73	71
6	Reading	49	34.7	32.7	32.7	69	69
	Lang. Arts	49	24.5	44.9	30.6	71	72
	Math	48	16.7	45.8	37.5	71	<i>7</i> 5

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



276

Table 153

O'MALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p .	574	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	12	6%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		Classroom Teachers	20.0	
Gifted			68	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			12	Special Education Teachers	4.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		533.7		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	Membership	559.1	1	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 95.5	5%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	1.0
				Health Attendant	.6875
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	3.875
Primary	2	9	-	Bilingual Tutors	.33
Intermediate	-	8	1	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
Combination	-	1	-	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budgeto 1997-98 Adopto * Dollars budge	ed Amount	are genera	al fund (\$1,933,823 \$1,873,193 \$1,685,974 only.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Gifted Service School, Slingerland (gr. 1 through 3), Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



O'MALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
574	47	46	18	14	125	21.8%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
533 92.4%	3 .5%	18 3.1%	12 2.1%	11 1.9%	44 7.6%	577		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	574	18	3.1%						
* Esti	mate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

	Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

	Stability Rates of Students									
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total										
Number	20	13	4	10	551	598				
% in One Sch.	80.00%	100.00%	25.00%	100.00%	85.48%	85.45%				
% in Same Sch.	65.00%	84.62%	50.00%	60.00%	75.14%	74.58%				



O'MALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	76	82	72	71
	No.	71	<i>7</i> 0	104	97
Reading Comprehension	%ile	84	81	80	80
	No.	71	70	104	97
Total Reading	%ile	83	84	79	78
	No.	71	70	104	97
Language Mechanics	%ile	67	83	83	84
•	No.	71	70	104	97
Language Expression	%ile	<i>7</i> 7	83	83	79
•	No.	71	70	104	97
Total Language	%ile	74	85	85	83
	No.	71	<i>7</i> 0	104	97
Math Computation	%ile	80	93	73	90
•	No.	71	70	104	97
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	83	89	84	90
• ••	No.	71	70	104	97
Total Mathematics	%ile	84	92	82	91
	No.	71	70	104	97
Total Battery	%ile	82	89	85	87
•	No.	71	70	104	97
Word Analysis	%ile	70	, ,	101	,,
•	No.	<i>7</i> 1			
Study Skills	%ile	-	85	<i>7</i> 7	<i>7</i> 5
•	No.		70	104	97
Spelling	%ile	64	67	65	64
	No.	71	70	104	97
Science	%ile	86	81	69	75
	No.	71	<i>7</i> 0	104	97
Social Studies	%ile	<i>7</i> 6	80	79	77
	No.	71	69	104	97

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	_92-93				
4	Total Reading	84	84	76	72	78				
4	Total Language Arts	85	78	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 5	81				
4	Total Mathematics	92	87	89	85	87				
6	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 8	77	76	71	74				
6	Total Language Arts	83	<i>7</i> 9	76	70	71				
6	Total Mathematics	91	83	<i>7</i> 8	76	<i>7</i> 5				



O'MALLEY ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Demonstrate improved writing skills	Successful
Improve spelling skills measured quarterly	Partially Successful
Implement mediator component of RCCP	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	56	16.1	42.9	41.1	68	73*
	Lang. Arts	5 7	19.3	22.8	57.9	61	72*
	Math	57	8.8	28.1	63.2	69	81*
5	Reading	89	36.0	34.8	29.2	71	68
	Lang. Arts	88	17.0	39.8	43.2	67	72*
	Math	87	42.5	37.9	19.5	<i>7</i> 5	71*
6	Reading	82	32.9	37.8	29.3	67	66
	Lang. Arts	82	28.0	35.4	36.6	70	71
	Math	82	18.3	30.5	51.2	72	79*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 154

ORION ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30.	, 1996 Membershi	D	636	STAFFING	
	, 1990 IVICATOCIBILI	P '	050	STATING	FTE
Percent of	Percent of Capacity 129%			Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special E	ducation		97	Classroom Teachers	25.0
Gifted			8	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			6	Special Education Teachers	4.6
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.2
	y Attendance	595.4		Art Teachers	.6
Average Dail	y Membership	617.1	1	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
Percentage of	Daily Attendance	e 96.5	5%	Nurses	1.0
Cì	LASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Teacher Assistants/Aides	2.1875
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	2.0
Primary	6	7	-	Bilingual Tutors	.75
Intermediate	-	4	2	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Combination	-	6	-	Custodians	3.0
<u> </u>		_	Rud	get *	

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,970,671 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,026,971 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,982,052 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Slingerland (gr. 1 through 4), Special Ed. Pre-School Site, Resource Tutorial



ORION ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New EnrollmentWith	ndrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
636	47	84	23	39	193	30.3%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
459 73.1%	106 16.9%	5 0.8%	22 3.5%	36 5.7%	169 26.9%	628		

_	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	636	222	34.9%					
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	3	0	0	0	0	2	5
Percent Not Promoted	2.9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3.3%	1.9%

	Stability Rates of Students										
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total											
Number	5	24	125	37	506	697					
% in One Sch.	80.00%	83.33%	<i>7</i> 7.60%	72.97%	78.85%	78.48%					
% in Same Sch.	60.00%	54.17%	44.00%	54.05%	51.98%	50.79%					



ORION ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	67	69	57	43
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	71	65	59
Reading Comprehension	%ile	63	61	64	55
	No.	76	72	65	59
Total Reading	%ile	66	69	63	49
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	71	65	59
Language Mechanics	%ile	54	53	64	49
	No.	76	71	65	59
Language Expression	%ile	64	62	64	54
•	No.	76	72	65	59
Total Language	%ile	60	58	65	51
-	No.	76	71	65	59
Math Computation	%ile	66	52	44	39
-	No.	76	72	64	59
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	62	66	62	58
	No.	76	72	64	59
Total Mathematics	%ile	65	61	54	48
	No.	76	72	64	59
Total Battery	%ile	65	64	64	49
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	70	64	59
Word Analysis	%ile	67			0,
	No.	76			
Study Skills	%ile		66	63	48
	No.		72	65	59
Spelling	%ile	56	63	59	45
	No.	76	72	64	59
Science	%ile	52	64	51	45
	No.	<i>7</i> 5	72	65	59
Social Studies	%ile	56	59	61	43
	No	7 5	7 1	65	59

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	69	60	49	44	50				
4	Total Language Arts	58	50	51	42	45				
4	Total Mathematics	61	49	56	50	54				
6	Total Reading	49	56	52	50	50				
6	Total Language Arts	51	57	54	41	52				
6	Total Mathematics	48	47	51	49	53				



ORION ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Develop increased awareness of resolving conflicts	Successful
Increase and strengthen reading skills	Successful
Improve understanding of grade level focus in science	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		-
		nber	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Are	a Tes	<u>te</u> d	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4 Reading		54	33.3	31.5	35.2	60	59
Lang. A	rts	54	35.2	31.5	33.3	56	55
Math		55	32.7	41.8	25.5	59	57
5 Reading	3	50	36.0	32.0	32.0	59	57
Lang. A	rts	50	22.0	30.0	48.0	53	57
Math		49	34.7	38.8	26.5	54	52
6 Reading	3	4 6	39.1	34.8	26.1	51	49
Lang. A	rts	46	50.0	34.8	15.2	5 <i>7</i>	50*
Math		45	40.0	48.9	11.1	52	48*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 155

PTARMIGAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip :	346	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	10	3%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	ducation		60	Classroom Teachers	13.0
Gifted			10	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			23	Special Education Teachers	3.6
	GE DAILY ATT			M usic Teachers	.6
Average Daily		324.0		Art Teachers	.4
Average Daily	Membership	347.0)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.7
Percentage of	Daily Attendan	ce 93.4	1%	Nurses	.6
				Title I Specialists	1.48
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Title I Coordinator	.8
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	2.125
Primary	1	5	-	Bilingual Tutors	.5
Intermediate	-	3	-	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
Combination	-	5	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
				Custodians	2.0
			Bud	get *	

Budget

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,360,334 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,281,861 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,257,099 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1), Under Achieving School Grant



PTARMIGAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility										
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
346	44	40	65	61	210	60.7%				

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
180 53.6%	52 15.5%	67 19.9%	16 4.8%	21 6.3%	156 46.4%	336			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	346	200	57.8%					
* Esti	imate of children f	rom low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
Percent Not Promoted	5.7%	0%	1.9%	0%	0%	0%	1.3%

Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number	96	23	<i>7</i> 9	23	227	448	
% in One Sch.	56.25%	52.17%	55.70%	82.61%	66.52%	62.50%	
% in Same Sch.	40.63%	43.48%	35.44%	52 . 17%	55.07%	47.77%	



PTARMIGAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		GRADE			
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	43	29	35	47
	No.	45	47	34	42
Reading Comprehension	%ile	49	47	40	52
-	No.	44	47	34	42
Total Reading	%ile	48	37	37	51
_	No.	44	47	34	42
Language Mechanics	%ile	35	34	45	60
	No.	45	47	35	42
Language Expression	%ile	50	37	40	50
	No.	45	47	34	42
Total Language	%ile	42	34	42	5 4
	No.	45	47	34	42
Math Computation	%ile	48	45	30	51
•	No.	46	45	35	42
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	41	44	39	61
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No.	46	45	35	42
Total Mathematics	%ile	42	44	34	55
	No.	46	45	35	42
Total Battery	%ile	44	37	39	53
·	No.	44	45	34	42
Word Analysis	%ile	45	40	<i>5</i> - 1	42
ŕ	No.	4 5			
Study Skills	%ile	10	48	47	54
·	No.		44	35	42
Spelling	%ile	40	42	32	42
	No.	45	47	35	42
Science	%ile	42	50	28	38
	No.	45	41	35	<i>3</i> 6 42
Social Studies	%ile	4 3	32	40	
	No.	45	32 42	40 35	44
	110.		4		42

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	
4	Total Reading	37	40	31	41	30	
4	Total Language Arts	34	26	36	42	35	
4	Total Mathematics	44	38	38	35	36	
6	Total Reading	51	36	33	47	43	
6	Total Language Arts	54	36	38	39	43	
6	Total Mathematics	55	37	30	49	50	



PTARMIGAN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Successful		
Successful		
Partially Successful		

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	-	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade / Area		Tested Year's Growth Gro		Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	30	23.3	36.7	40.0	43	48
	Lang. Arts	30	16.7	30.0	53.3	37	45*
	Math	30	23.3	46.7	30.0	51	51
5	Reading	25	40.0	28.0	32.0	47	44
	Lang. Arts	25	20.0	24.0	56.0	37	45*
	Math	25	36.0	36.0	28.0	44	44
6	Reading	29	31.0	37.9	31.0	52	52
	Lang. Arts	29	41.4	44.8	13.8	54	52
	Math	29	20.7	27.6	51.7	49	54

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 156

RABBIT CREEK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip !	504	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	11	1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		98	Classroom Teachers	17.5
Gifted			37	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			8	Special Education Teachers	5.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		473 .1		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	498.2	2	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of	Daily Attendan	ce 95.0)	Nurses	1.0
				Health Attendant	.6875
CL	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Special Education Aides	5.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.33
Primary	3	7	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75
Intermediate	-	7	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	2	-		
			Buc	lget *	
1995-96 E xpend	ditures			\$1,778,328	

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,778,328 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,682,616 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,627,810 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1 through 3), Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



RABBIT CREEK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility										
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
504	30	37	22	21	110	21.8%				

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total				
431 85.5%	17 3.4%	33 6.6%	14 2.8%	9 1.8%	73 14.5%	504				

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	504	43	8.5%						
* Esti	mate of children fr	om low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report								
1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
1	0	0	0	0	0	1 0 . 2%		
	1	1 2 1 0	1 2 3 1 0 0	1 2 3 4 1 0 0 0		1 2 3 4 5 6 1 0 0 0 0 0		

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	38 78.95% 73.68%	14 78.57% 71.43%	19 84.21% 57.89%	16 50.00% 50.00%	453 86.09% 69.54%	540 84.26% 68.89%					



²⁸⁷290

RABBIT CREEK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	63	78	71	73
	No.	73	62	61	<i>7</i> 9
Reading Comprehension	%ile	58	65	71	<i>7</i> 6
•	No.	73	62	61	79
Total Reading	%ile	61	75	73	76
V	No.	73	62	61	79
Language Mechanics	%ile	48	63	77	73
	No.	73	62	61	79
Language Expression	%ile	65	70	76	79 72
0 0 1	No.	73	61	61	72 79
Total Language	%ile	59	68	78	7 9 74
0 0	No.	<i>7</i> 3	61	61	7 4 79
Math Computation	%ile	67	72	72	85
•	No.	73	61	61	79
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	66	74	75	84
1 11	No.	73	61	61	79
Total Mathematics	%ile	69	7 4	77	85
	No.	73	61	61	7 9
Total Battery	%ile	64	75	79	81
,	No.	73	61	61	79
Word Analysis	%ile	57	01	01	79
,	No.	73			
Study Skills	%ile	73	<i>7</i> 9	<i>7</i> 0	72
,	No.		61	61	72 79
Spelling	%ile	53	70	66	
· t0	No.	73	62	61	59 7 0
Science	%ile	67			<i>7</i> 9
	No.	73	68	62	64
Social Studies	%ile	73 64	61	61 70	79 67
TITLE OFMARCO	No.		69	78	67 7 0
	INO.	<u>73</u>	<u>61</u>	61	79

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	_95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 5	72	65	61	65				
4	Total Language Arts	68	67	59	60	62				
4	Total Mathematics	74	73	77	<i>7</i> 5	80				
6	Total Reading	76	83	74	<i>7</i> 3	68				
6	Total Language Arts	74	78	76	68	71				
6	Total Mathematics	85	91	83	<i>7</i> 8	81				



RABBIT CREEK ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Value math, improve problem solving, and improve conceptual understanding	Successful
Enhance student literacy through instructional techniques and motivational activities	Successful
Enhance access to technology	Partially Successful
Create a positive school climate	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	49	34.7	30.6	34.7	62	63
	Lang. Arts	48	14.6	27.1	58.3	51	60*
	Math	48	25.0	37.5	37.5	61	63
5	Reading	52	30.8	36.5	32.7	63	64
	Lang. Arts	52	19.2	28.8	51.9	61	68*
	Math	52	23.1	42.3	34.6	65	68
6	Reading	66	30.3	28.8	40.9	64	66
	Lang. Arts	66	33.3	39.4	27.3	66	65
	Math	65	16.9	38.5	44.6	70	74*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 157

RAVENWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

1996 Membershi	ip ·	445	STAFFING	FTE		
cent of Capacity 92% F			Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0		
ucation		46	Classroom Teachers	17.0		
		37	Librarians	1.0		
		4	Special Education Teachers	1.9		
			Music Teachers	.7		
Attendance			Art Teachers	.4		
Membership	444.5	5	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8		
Daily Attendanc	e 95.4	1	Nurses			
ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	.875		
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	.2		
4	5	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.5		
-	7	1	Custodians	2.5		
Combination - 1 -						
		Bud	lget *	_		
itures ed Amount		\$1,679,669 \$1,543,800				
	Capacity ucation GE DAILY ATT GE DAILY MEN Attendance Membership Daily Attendance ASSROOM GRO 20 & below 4 itures	Capacity 9 ucation GE DAILY ATTENDANCE GE DAILY MEMBERSHIF Attendance 423.9 Membership 444.9 Daily Attendance 95.4 ASSROOM GROUPS 20 & below 21 to 30 4 5 - 7 - 1 itures d Amount	Capacity 92% ucation 46 37 4 SE DAILY ATTENDANCE/ GE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Attendance 423.9 Membership 444.5 Daily Attendance 95.4 ASSROOM GROUPS 20 & below 21 to 30 31 + 4 5 - 7 1 - 7 1 - Bud itures d Amount	Capacity 92% Principals / Asst. Principals Classroom Teachers Librarians 4 Special Education Teachers Music Teachers Art Teachers Phys. Ed. Teachers Nurses ASSROOM GROUPS 20 & below 21 to 30 31 + 4 5 - Clerical Support Staff - 7 1 Custodians Budget * Situres 4 5 - Staff Custodians Special Education Aides Clerical Support Staff Custodians \$1,679,669 \$1,543,800		

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed.



RAVENWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
445	44	23	9	15	91	20.4%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
411 92.2%	2 .5%_	19 4.3%	5 1.1%	9 2.0%	35 7.9%	446		

		Free/Reduced Pric	e Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	445	13	2.9%
* Esti	mate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	2 3.2%	0 0%	2 2.8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 0.9%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	20	9	2	9	441	481				
% in One Sch.	85.00%	55.56%	50.00%	100.00%	89.12%	88.36%				
% in Same Sch.	s in Same Sch. 80.00% 44.44% 50.00% 88.89% 70.07% 70.27%									



RAVENWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST		-	GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	84	82	80	71
	No.	69	60	79	80
Reading Comprehension	%ile	81	78	77	7 9
	No.	69	60	7 9	80
Total Reading	%ile	85	82	81	77
	No.	69	60	7 9	80
Language Mechanics	%ile	63	69	<i>7</i> 5	84
	No.	69	60	79	80
Language Expression	%ile	77	81	<i>7</i> 8	80
	No.	69	59	79	80
Total Language	%ile	73	78	79	85
•	No.	69	59	. 5 79	80
Math Computation	%ile	71	59	74	87
	No.	69	60	78	80
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	76	87	<i>7</i> 8	86
- ••	No.	69	60	<i>7</i> 8	80
Total Mathematics	%ile	76	79	79	87
	No.	69	60	<i>7</i> 8	80
Total Battery	%ile	81	81	83	86
	No.	69	59	<i>7</i> 8	80
Word Analysis	%ile	76		, ,	30
	No.	69			
Study Skills	%ile		83	<i>7</i> 8	82
	No.		60	79	80
Spelling	%ile	58	72	72	65
	No.	69	60	7 9	80
Science	%ile	82	80	66	71
	No.	69	60	<i>7</i> 9	80
Social Studies	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	76	80	74
	No.	69	60	79	80

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 Grade Area <u>96-97</u> 95-96 94-95 93-94 92-93 Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics



RAVENWOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Develop goal of furthering computer access	Successful
Emphasize development of portfolios	Partially Successful
Continue with Family Science, Green Star Program, EXCELS, and participate in Earth Systems Program	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	48	33.3	37.5	29.2	73	<i>7</i> 1
	Lang. Arts	47	36.2	23.4	40.4	<i>7</i> 0	69
	Math	48	47.9	33.3	18.8	7 2	67*
5	Reading	66	21.2	42.4	36.4	65	69*
	Lang. Arts	67	25.4	28.4	46.3	59	67*
	Math	66	21.2	28.8	50.0	58	66*
6	Reading	69	42.0	37.7	20.3	<i>7</i> 0	65*
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 0	18.6	40.0	41.4	66	72*
	Math	<i>7</i> 0	11.4	40.0	48.6	65	73*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 158

ROGERS PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Contombor 20	1006) 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Lom. Tara	
September 30,	1996 Membershi	Р (611	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	11	8%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	Special Education 70		Classroom Teachers	17.0	
Gifted		2	209	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			61	Special Education Teachers	3.0
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE/ AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP				Gifted Teachers	7.0
Average Daily Attendance 590.2			Music Teachers	1.1	
Average Daily	Average Daily Membership 621.4			Art Teachers	.6
Percentage of	Daily Attendance	e 95.0	0%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	.8
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.375
Primary	3	7	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.5
Intermediate	-	4	3	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Combination	-	7	-	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopt * Dollars budg	ted Amount	are genera	al fund (\$1,538,252 \$1,613,756 \$1,587,543 pnly.	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Intensive Accelerated, Migrant Ed.,

Slingerland (gr. K through 3)



ROGERS PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
611	611 49 29 28 52 158 25.9%									

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
449 73.4%	35 5.7%	44 7.2%	57 9.3%	27 4.4%	163 26.6%	612	

		Free/Reduced Price	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	611	94	15.4%
* Est	imate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Percent Not Promoted	2.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.3%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	53	71	42	32	486	684		
% in One Sch.	81.13%	77.46%	73.81%	71.88%	86.63%	83.77%		
% in Same Sch.	69.81%	54.93%	64.29%	53.13%	65.02%	63.74%		



ROGERS PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	80	81	79	83
	No.	91	95	83	90
Reading Comprehension	%ile	80	<i>7</i> 5	76	82
· •	No.	91	96	83	90
Total Reading	%ile	82	80	80	85
, and the second	No.	9 <u>1</u>	95	83	90
Language Mechanics	%ile	70	68	76	84
	No.	91	96	83	90
Language Expression	%ile	84	78	79	84
	No.	91	96	83	90
Total Language	%ile	80	75	79	86
	No.	91	96	83	90
Math Computation	%ile	84	79	71	90
•	No.	91	95	83	90
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	82	82	85	90
1 11	No.	91	96	83	90
Total Mathematics	%ile	86	84	82	90 91
	No.	91	95	83	90
Total Battery	%ile	85	82	83	90 90
·	No.	91	94	83	90
Word Analysis	%ile	69	74	0.5	90
•	No.	91			
Study Skills	%ile	71	81	76	84
•	No.		96	83	90
Spelling	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	73	69	76
	No.	91	96	83	90
Science	%ile	81	77	71	79
	No.	91	96	83	90
Social Studies	%ile	75	78	78	90 81
	No.	91	96	78 83	
				03	90

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	- 80	83	<i>7</i> 5	74	74		
4	Total Language Arts	<i>7</i> 5	73	69	77	<i>7</i> 5		
4	Total Mathematics	84	83	84	84	<i>7</i> 7		
6.	Total Reading	85	87	79	82	79		
6	Total Language Arts	86	84	78	83	78		
6	Total Mathematics	91	86	79	87	87		





ROGERS PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Provide opportunities to use higher-level/critical-thinking skills	Partially Successful
Provide foundation and plan for implementation of math curriculum adoption	Partially Successful
Develop comprehensive technology program	Successful
Promote a healthy school climate and increase student accountability	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	71	32.4	39.4	28.2	70	69
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 1	35.2	49.3	15.5	69	64
	Math	70	34.3	42.9	22.9	78	76
5	Reading	65	33.8	33.8	32.3	<i>7</i> 0	69
	Lang. Arts	65	12.3	41.5	46.2	63	68*
	Math	64	35.9	35.9	28.1	71	69
6	Reading	<i>7</i> 7	36.4	41.6	22.1	<i>7</i> 8	<i>7</i> 5
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 7	24.7	42.9	32.5	73	<i>7</i> 5
	Math	<i>7</i> 7	11.7	51.9	36.4	77	81*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 159

RUSSIAN JACK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p 4	420	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	11-	4%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		94	Classroom Teachers	16.0
Gifted			11	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			4 5	Special Education Teachers	4.5
	GE DAILY ATTI			Music Teachers	.9
Average Daily		393.1		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Me m bership	419.0)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 93.8	3%	Title I Specialists	2.0
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurses	.6
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Project Pride Advocates	3.0
Primary	-	9	-	Title I Teacher Assistant	1.3
Intermediate	-	3	-	Special Education Aides	.875
Combination	-	5	-	Title I Coordinators	1.0
				Bilingual Tutors	1.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
				Clerical Support Staff	1.625
				Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend	ditures			\$1,582,055	
1996-97 Budget				\$1,830,881	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,538,529

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Alaska School for the Deaf, Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Project Pride, Title I



RUSSIAN JACK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
420	59	41	49	37	186	44.3%	

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	ber 1996			
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
184 44.2%	82 19.7%	101 24.3%	26 6.3%	23 5.5%	232 55.8%	416	

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary	420	269	64.0%					
* Est	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	126	30	94	27	229	506		
% in One Sch.	65.87%	80.00%	70.21%	77.78%	70.31%	70.16%		
% in Same Sch.	56.35%	56.67%	60.64%	51.85%	55.90%	56.72%		



RUSSIAN JACK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		<u> </u>
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	41	44	35	43
	No.	45	49	52	47
Reading Comprehension	%ile	36	44	32	48
	No.	44	49	52	47
Total Reading	%ile	38	44	34	45
-	No.	44	49	52	47
Language Mechanics	%ile	25	49	43	46
	No.	4 5	49	52	47
Language Expression	%ile	39	44	36	48
• •	No.	42	49	52	47
Total Language	%ile	31	45	40	46
•	No.	42	49	5 2	40 47
Math Computation	%ile	39	53	18	24
•	No.	45	49	52	47
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	42	54	37	60
11	No.	44	49	52	47
Total Mathematics	%ile	40	53	25	37
	No.	44	49	52	37 47
Total Battery	%ile	36	46	31	42
•	No.	42	49	51 52	42 47
Word Analysis	%ile	29	47	32	4/
,	No.	45			
Study Skills	%ile	40	58	37	43
•	No.		49	52	
Spelling	%ile	31	44	52 41	47 40
•	No.	45	49	52	40 47
Science	%ile	45 46	53	32 31	47
	No.	45	33 49		40
Social Studies	%ile	43 43	49 45	51 42	47
	No.	43 43		42 51	46
	110.	<u> 43</u>	49	51	<u>4</u> 6

	Historio ITBS Percentile Ra CAT Percentile Ran		2-93 to 1994						
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94_	92-93			
4	Total Reading	44	40	41	48	49			
4	Total Language Arts	45	42	41	51	53			
4	Total Mathematics	53	38	54	55	57			
6	Total Reading	45	38	52	42	43			
6	Total Language Arts								
6	Total Mathematics	37	39	47	35	50			



RUSSIAN JACK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Plan and implement new science program	Successful
Increase parent involvement	Successful
Promote life long enjoyment and appreciation of reading	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		-
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	<u>T</u> ested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	38	34.2	42.1	23.7	49	48
	Lang. Arts	38	18.4	44.7	36.8	46	48
	Math	37	24.3	24.3	51.4	48	52
5	Reading	28	39.3	42.9	17.9	44	41
	Lang. Arts	29	41.4	27.6	31.0	44	45
	Math	29	58.6	27.6	13.8	45	35*
6	Reading	37	29.7	43.2	27.0	49	47
	Lang. Arts	37	48.6	35.1	16.2	53	47*
	Math	37	54.1	32.4	13.5	51	44*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 160

SAND LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Comtombon 20, 1006 March			COTA POTENTIA	
September 30, 1996 Membershi	p s	569	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	11	3%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education		78	Classroom Teachers	21.5
Gifted		41	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		38	Special Education Teachers	3.5
AVERAGE DAILY ATTI AVERAGE DAILY MEN			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Attendance	547.4		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily Membership	574.6	5	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.1
Percentage of Daily Attendanc	e 95.3	3%	Nurses	1.0
CLASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	1.5
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Primary 1	11	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Intermediate 1	4	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination -	6	-		
		Bud	lget *	

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,609,821 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,626,967 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,811,320

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Japanese Immersion, Migrant Ed.



SAND LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
569	42	24	19	27	112	19.7%			

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	per 1996	_	
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
435 75.9%	19 3.3%	52 	53 9.3%	14 2.4%	138 24.1%	573

		Free/Reduced Pri	ice Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	569	71	12.5%
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	:			
Number	63	59	22	16	459	619				
% in One Sch.	80.95%	81.36%	81.82%	81.25%	90.20%	87.88%				
% in Same Sch.	55.56%	71.19%	63.64%	56.25%	70.81%	68.66%				



SAND LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	66	<i>7</i> 5	57	70
	No.	<i>7</i> 9	83	85	87
Reading Comprehension	%ile	71	66	58	<i>7</i> 6
	No.	79	83	85	87
Total Reading	%ile	70	72	60	75
	No.	79	83	85	87
Language Mechanics	%ile	68	65	<i>7</i> 0	81
	No.	79	83	85	87
Language Expression	%ile	73	70	58	74
• •	No.	79	83	85	87
Total Language	%ile	72	70	65	80
	No.	79	83	85	87
Math Computation	%ile	83	86	62	79
-	No.	7 9	83	85	87
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	72	81	<i>7</i> 0	84
• ••	No.	79	83	85	87
Total Mathematics	%ile	80	86	68	83
	No.	79	83	85	87
Total Battery	%ile	76	78	66	81
·	No.	<i>7</i> 9	83	85	87
Word Analysis	%ile	63	00	00	07
•	No.	<i>7</i> 9			
Study Skills	%ile	• •	<i>7</i> 2	60	<i>7</i> 1
•	No.		83	85	87
Spelling	%ile	57	59	43	59
-	No.	79	83	8 5	39 87
Science	%ile	64	71	59	65
	No.	79	83	85	87
Social Studies	%ile	71	72	62	68
	No.	71 79	83	85	68 87

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	_95-96_	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	72	71	68	57	60		
4	Total Language Arts	<i>7</i> 0	66	69	56	55		
4	Total Mathematics	86	80	80	70	69		
6	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 5	59	56	61	60		
6	Total Language Arts	80	64	46	50	53		
6	Total Mathematics	83	70	62	68	70		



SAND LAKE ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Plan and implement activities in Citizenship, Health, Environment, and Celebrations	Successful
Apply mathematical strategies and problem solving to real life	Successful
Demonstrate improvement in overall writing skills	Successful
Correlate discipline plan to effective school practices	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		<u> </u>
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	70	40.0	30.0	30.0	67	64
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 0	20.0	38.6	41.4	59	62*
	Math	7 0	14.3	25.7	60.0	64	<i>7</i> 5*
5	Reading	68	44.1	30.9	25.0	63	59
	Lang. Arts	68	27.9	41.2	30.9	61	62*
	Math	68	44.1	35.2	20.6	<i>7</i> 0	65
6	Reading	80	32.5	33.8	33.8	64	65
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 9	19.0	45.6	35.4	65	69*
	Math	<i>7</i> 8	21.8	32.1	46.2	68	72*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 161

SCENIC PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membersh	in t	577	STAFFING	1
Ochientoei oo, 1990 Mentoeisii	<u>п</u> ү :	<i>) </i>	STATEING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	11-	4%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education		84	Classroom Teachers	20.5
Gifted		26	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		45	Special Education Teachers	3.7
AVERAGE DAILY ATT AVERAGE DAILY ME			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Attendance	554.0		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily Membership	583.3	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.1
Percentage of Daily Attendant	ce 95.0)%	Nurses	1.0
CLASSROOM GR	OUPS		Special Education Aides	2.125
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Primary -	9	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Intermediate -	10	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination -	2	-		į
Team/Combination -	1	-		
		Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditures 1996-97 Budgeted Amount 1997-98 Adopted Budget * Dollars budgeted or expende	d are genera	al fund (\$2,031,989 \$1,903,931 \$1,753,108 pnly	

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed.



SCENIC PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
577	58	34	54	50	196	34.0%			

White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
380 65.5%	91 15.7%	64 11.0%	24 4.1%	21 3.6%	200 34.5%	580

		Free/Reduced Pric	e Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	577	97	16.8%
* Esti	mate of children	from low income familie	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

		Retentio	n Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	0 0%	0 0%	1 0.2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0.1%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Isl an der	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	<i>7</i> 7	29	102	28	431	667				
% in One Sch.	68.83%	79.31%	68.63%	71.43%	79.81%	76.46%	1			
% in Same Sch.	49.35%	62.07%	60.78%	60.71%	64.50%	61.92%				



SCENIC PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

%ile No. %ile No. %ile No. %ile No. %ile	3 58 86 61 85 61 85 47	73 73 62 75 69 73	63 83 64 82 66	50 97 64 97
No. %ile No. %ile No. %ile No.	86 61 85 61 85 47	73 62 75 69 73	83 64 82	97 64
%ile No. % ile No. %ile No.	61 85 61 85 4 7	62 75 69 73	83 64 82	97 64
No. %ile No. %ile No.	85 61 85 4 7	62 75 69 73	64 82	64
%ile No. %ile No.	61 85 4 7	75 69 73	82	
No. %ile No.	61 85 4 7	69 73		
No. %ile No.	85 47	73		59
%ile No.	47		82	97
No.		73	76	68
	86	74	83	97
	62	62	63	61
No.	86	73	83	96
%ile	56	69	71	65
				96
				73
				97
				71
				97
				72
				97
				66
				96
		/1	02	90
	00	73	EO	69
				97
	16			
				46 07
		_		97 54
				56
				96 61
OTIC	86	0 4		
	No. %ile	%ile 65 No. 86 %ile 60 No. 86 %ile 64 No. 86 %ile 61 No. 85 %ile 54 No. 86 %ile 54 No. 86 %ile 54 No. 86 %ile 58 No. 86 %ile 58 No. 86	%ile 65 68 No. 86 75 %ile 60 70 No. 86 75 %ile 64 71 No. 86 75 %ile 61 72 No. 85 71 %ile 54 No. 86 %ile 73 No. 74 %ile 46 64 No. 86 74 %ile 58 67 No. 86 74	%ile 65 68 64 No. 86 75 83 %ile 60 70 63 No. 86 75 83 %ile 64 71 65 No. 86 75 83 %ile 61 72 70 No. 85 71 82 %ile 54 No. 86 %ile 73 59 No. 74 83 %ile 46 64 56 No. 86 74 83 %ile 58 67 48 No. 86 74 83 No. 86 74 83

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	_95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	69	70	54	53	61			
4	Total Language Arts	69	62	51	63	66			
4	Total Mathematics	71	65	58	61	72			
6	Total Reading	59 .	67	69	67	63			
6	Total Language Arts	65	<i>7</i> 5	<i>7</i> 5	62	64			
6	Total Mathematics	72	76	79	68	57			



311

SCENIC PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve and support reading at each grade level	Successful
Increase the knowledge and use of technology	Successful
Promote positive school climate for the students	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gı	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	57	29.8	38.6	31.6	60	60
	Lang. Arts	5 7	19.3	28.1	52.6	55	61*
	Math	59	40.7	32.2	27.1	64	61
5	Reading	58	34.5	37.9	27.6	61	61
	Lang. Arts	58	10.3	27.6	62.1	55	66*
	Math	58	20.7	27.6	51. <i>7</i>	58	62
6	Reading	83 .	26.5	44.6	28.9	55	56
	Lang. Arts	82	25.6	36.6	37.8	5 <i>7</i>	59
	Math	83	18.1	39.8	42.2	60	64*

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



SPRING HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Table 162

September 30, 199	96 Membersh	ip ⁶		STAFFING	FTE
	o wichibeish	γ .	<i>33</i> 3	JAMING	FIE
Percent of Capacity		12:	3%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Educ	ation	1	114	Classroom Teachers	22.0
Gifted			11	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			63	Special Education Teachers	5.0
i e	DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.0
AVERAGI Average Daily A	E DAILY MEI ttendance	MBERSHIP 555.2		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily M	Iembership	584.9)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of Da	ily Attendan	ce 95.0	Nurses	.95	
CLAS	SSROOM GR	OUPS	<u> </u>	Special Education Aides	4.0
2	0 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Primary	3	12	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Intermediate	-	8	1	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	-	-		
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expendito 1996-97 Budgeted 1997-98 Adopted * Dollars budgeted	Amount Budget d or expende			\$2,253,747 \$1,836,826 \$1,913,152 only.	
Special Programs	Bilingual E	d., Migrant	Ed.		



SPRING HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility										
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
593	49	51_	35	31	166	28.0%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
407 68.5%	35 5.9%	84 14.1%	41 6.9%	27 4.6%	187 31.5%	594		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information										
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *							
1996-97	Elementary	593	127	21.4%							
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.							

Retention Report									
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	2 2.0%	1 1.0%	1 1.0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 0.7%		

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number	95	48	43	32	437	655					
% in One Sch.	71.58%	81.25%	69. <i>7</i> 7%	<i>7</i> 5.00%	81.92%	79.24%					
% in Same Sch.	30.53%	47.92%	58.14%	31.25%	51.03%	47.33%					



SPRING HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	66	58	54	45
	No.	69	78	66	86
Reading Comprehension	%ile	74	64	60	56
	No.	69	78	66	86
Total Reading	%ile	73	62	58	51
	No.	69	78	66	86
Language Mechanics	%ile	55	64	63	68
	No.	68	78	66	86
Language Expression	%ile	67	60	53	60
	No.	68	78	66	86
Total Language	%ile	62	62	58	65
	No.	68	78	66	86
Math Computation	%ile	72	65	42	64
•	No.	69	78	66	86
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	68	71	62	60
• •	No.	69	78	66	86
Total Mathematics	%ile	71	69	53	63
	No.	69	78	66	86
Total Battery	%ile	70	64	58	60
•	No.	68	78	66	86
Word Analysis	%ile	64	. •		00
•	No.	69			
Study Skills	%ile		72	57	59
•	No.		78	66	86
Spelling	%ile	56	65	45	51
•	No.	68	78	66	86
Science	%ile	67	68	51	52
	No.	69	78	66	86
Social Studies	%ile	64	62	69	58
	No.	69	78	66	36 86

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97										
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	_94-95_	93-94	92-93					
4	Total Reading	62	64	46	56	52					
4	Total Language Arts	62	57	40	54	54					
4	Total Mathematics	69	69	48	58	60					
6	Total Reading	51	65	57	59	55					
6	Total Language Arts	65	64	55	58	50					
6	Total Mathematics	63	72	63	66	52					



SPRING HILL ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Discuss, review, select, and adopt a new math program	Successful
Demonstrate improvement in written expression	Successful
Establish School-Business partnerships with area businesses	Successful

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	aTested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4 Reading	g 49	32.7	40.8	26.5	58	59
Lang. A	rts 49	22.4	34.7	42.9	54	60*
Math	49	16.3	34.7	49.0	58	66*
5 Reading	g 37	40.5	37.8	21.6	58	55
Lang. A	rts 37	27.0	40.5	32.4	53	56
Math	37	40.5	40.5	18.9	60	5 7
6 Reading	g 58	34.5	37.9	27.6	55	53
Lang. A	rts 58	25.9	20.7	53.4	52	58*
Math	58	13.8	24.1	62.1	49	58*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 163

SUSITNA ELEMENTARY PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

September 30, 1996 Membership	674	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	Percent of Capacity 128%		1.0
Special Education	107	Classroom Teachers	24.0
Gifted	42	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual	38	Special Education Teachers	5.0
AVERAGE DAILY ATTEN AVERAGE DAILY MEMBI		Music Teachers	1.2
Average Daily Attendance	633.4	Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily Membership	670.7	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
Percentage of Daily Attendance	94.4%	Nurses	1.0
CLASSROOM GROU	PS	Special Education Aides	1.25
20 & below 21	l to 30 31 +	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Primary 1	7 -	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
Intermediate -	6 1	Clerical Support Staff	2.0
Combination -	11	Custodians	3.0
	Bud	get *	

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,011,776 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,009,010 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$2,221,245 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Open Optional



SUSITNA ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility											
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility					
674	84	62	47	56	249	36.9%					

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islan d er	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
467 69.3%	61 9.1%	107 15.9%	25 3.7%	14 2.1%	207 30.7%	674			

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	674	159	23.6%
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report								
1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
0	3	0	1	0	0	4 0.6%		
	1 0 0%	1 2 0 3	1 2 3 0 3 0	-	1 2 3 4 5 0 3 0 1 0	1 2 3 4 5 6 0 3 0 1 0 0		

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number	131	. 27	72	16	514	760					
% in One Sch.	67.18%	85.19%	75.00%	68.75%	81.13%	78.03%					
% in Same Sch.	48.85%	70.37%	58.33%	31.25%	61.28%	58.55%					



SUSITNA ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	44	<u>57</u>	63	54
	No.	97	85	98	84
Reading Comprehension	%ile	48	51	60	66
•	No.	97	85	98	84
Total Reading	%ile	46	55	63	62
•	No.	97	85	98	8 <u>4</u>
Language Mechanics	%ile	30	45	<i>7</i> 5	64
	No.	97	86	99	83
Language Expression	%ile	45	52	65	61
	No.	97	86	99	83
Total Language	%ile	36	48	72	62
0 0	No.	97	86	99	83
Math Computation	%ile	36	42	61	64
•	No.	97	85	99	82
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	47	54	72	<i>7</i> 0
1	No.	97	86	96	82
Total Mathematics	%ile	41	49	69	68
	No.	97	85	96	82
Total Battery	%ile	40	50	71	65
•	No.	97	85	95	82
Word Analysis	%ile	34	00	75	02
•	No.	97			
Study Skills	%ile	,,	55	65	64
•	No.		86	97	84
Spelling	%ile	33	52	54	42
	No.	97	86	99	83
Science	%ile	45	50	51	56
	No.	97	86	98	5 6 84
Social Studies	%ile	45	47	98 68	64 57
	No.	97	86	97	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>84</u>

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area <u>96-97</u> <u>95-96</u> 94-95 93-94 92-93 Total Reading Total Language Arts **Total Mathematics** Total Reading Total Language Arts **Total Mathematics**



SUSITNA ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve skills for reading content or subject area materials in grades 3-6	Unsuccessful
Develop and/or adopt a technology curriculum plan	Successful
Demonstrate ability to create age-appropriate document using a word processing program	Successful
Develop and improve their interpersonal, social, problem solving and communication skills	Successful
Undertake three of five phases of a voluntary self-study process leading to school accreditation	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	-	_
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	64	40.6	34.4	25.0	58	54
	Lang. Arts	64	25.0	26.6	48.4	45	50*
	Math	64	34.4	31.3	34.4	53	52
5	Reading	69	24.6	40.6	34.8	58	58
	Lang. Arts	<i>7</i> 1	16.9	36.6	46.5	56	63*
	Math	70	10.0	25.7	64.3	51	62*
6	Reading	64	32.8	39.1	28.1	5 7	5 7
	Lang. Arts	63	28.6	44.4	27.0	58	58
	Math	65	6.2	26.2	67.7	48	63*

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 164

TAKU ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Member	chin	4 E 17	CTAFFING	
September 30, 1990 Member	ərnb ,	457	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	13	6%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education		98	Classroom Teachers	16.5
Gifted		7	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		50	Special Education Teachers	4.5
AVERAGE DAILY A			Music Teachers	.8
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Average Daily Attendance 422.8		Art Teachers	.4	
Average Daily Membership	453.1	1	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8
Percentage of Daily Attenda	ance 93.3	3%	Nurses	.7
CLASSROOM C	GROUPS		Special Education Aides	1.25
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Primary 5	7	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.625
Intermediate -	2 2		Custodians	2.5
Combination -	3	-		

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,454,828 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,497,851 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,557,436 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1 through 6), Under Achieving School Grant



TAKU ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
457	71	39	70	56	236	51.6%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
275 61.0%	45 10.0%	79 17.5%	25 5.5%	27 6.0%	176 39.0%	451			

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	457	206	45.1%
* Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	104	36	54	33	334	561				
% in One Sch.	55.77%	66.67%	68.52%	51.52%	70.66%	66.31%				
% in Same Sch.	36.54%	38.89%	44.44%	39.39%	52.40%	47.06%				



TAKU ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST	GRADE				
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	71	53	57	28
·	No.	68	51	49	37
Reading Comprehension	%ile	57	47	52	41
•	No.	68	51	49	37
Total Reading	%ile	65	50	56	33
G	No.	68	51	49	37
Language Mechanics	%ile	53	42	66	58
	No.	68	51	49	37
Language Expression	%ile	63	45	61	47
	No.	69	51	49	37
Total Language	%ile	60	42	62	51
	No.	68	51	49	37
Math Computation	%ile	57	54	61	66
•	No.	71	52	49	38
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	73	5 <i>7</i>	60	49
1 11	No.	<i>7</i> 1	52	49	37
Total Mathematics	%ile	69	56	63	56
	No.	<i>7</i> 1	52	49	37
Total Battery	%ile	65	49	63	45
•	No.	68	51	49	37
Word Analysis	%ile	60			0,
•	No.	68			
Study Skills	%ile		57	55	44
•	No.		51	49	37
Spelling	%ile	51	41	54	29
	No.	69	51	49	37
Science	%ile	73	54	49	35
	No.	71	51	49	37
Social Studies	%ile	67	47	57	42
	No.	69	51	49	37

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97						
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	
4	Total Reading	50	35	28	41	39	
4	Total Language Arts	42	27	24	43	37	
4	Total Mathematics	56	35	28	49	45	
6	Total Reading	33	55	37	44	44	
6	Total Language Arts	51	67	35	46	38	
6	Total Mathematics	56	65	38	51	46	



TAKU ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Implement Project Achieve training received by staff	Partially Successful		
Improve mastery of computation facts in addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division	Partially Successful		
Improve skills of comprehension in reading and increase vocabulary skills and usage	Partially Successful		

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	31	35.5	25.8	38.7	55	53
	Lang. Arts	31	22.6	32.3	45.2	46	46
	Math	32	34.4	31.3	34.4	53	55
5	Reading	29	17.2	34.5	48.3	42	54*
	Lang. Arts	28	7.1	21.4	<i>7</i> 1.4	41	59*
	Math	28	3.6	21.4	75.0	44	5 7 *
6	Reading	23	73.9	21.7	4.3	68	45*
	Lang. Arts	20	40.0	35.0	25.0	64	55
	Math	21	33.3	42.9	23.8	61	58

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 165

TUDOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE **SCHOOL PROFILE**

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p 5	91	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	114	1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		93	Classroom Teachers	22.0
Gifted			10	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			61	Special Education Teachers	4.0
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	1.2
Average Daily	GE DAILY MEN Attendance	548.1		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily	Membership	584.4		Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.3
Percentage of	Daily Attendano	e 94.0)	Nurses	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	5.25
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	1.5
Primary	4	11	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875
Intermediate	-	9	-	Custodians	2.5
Combination	-	-	-	Speech	1.0

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,113,751 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,016,727 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,869,613

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Migrant Ed., Slingerland (gr. 1 through 4) Special Ed. Intensive Service Site, Speech



TUDOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL PROFILE

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
591	64	44	65	60	233	39.4%			

-	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
368 62.5%	59 10.0%	78 13.2%	50 8.5%	34 5.8%	221 37.5%	589		

		Free/Reduced Pri	ice Lunch Information	<u> </u>
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	591	206	34.9%
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1.3%	0 0%	0 0%	2 0.4%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	108	· 59	74	38	401	680				
% in One Sch.	60.19%	79.66%	68.92%	68.42%	81.05%	<i>7</i> 5.59%				
% in Same Sch.	31.48%	42.37%	45.95%	36.84%	57.86%	49.85%				



TUDOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	48	60	57	41
	No.	77	74	81	69
Reading Comprehension	%ile	47	57	63	54
	No.	80	74	82	69
Total Reading	%ile	48	59	63	48
	No.	77	74	81	69
Language Mechanics	%ile	36	64	58	54
	No.	79	74	82	69
Language Expression	%ile	47	59	63	52
·	No.	77	74	82	69
Total Language	%ile	42	63	62	53
	No.	77	7 4	82	69
Math Computation	%ile	46	69	48	42
-	No.	79	<i>7</i> 5	82	4 2 69
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	45	68	65	55
	No.	79	75	82	69
Total Mathematics	%ile	45	70	5 <u>9</u>	48
	No.	79	75	82	69
Total Battery	%ile	44	64	63	4 9
•	No.	<i>7</i> 5	7 4	81	69
Word Analysis	%ile	51	74	01	09
•	No.	<i>7</i> 5			
Study Skills	%ile	,,	64	60	54
•	No.		74	82	69
Spelling	%ile	43	62	49	44
	No.	79	74	82	44 69
Science	%ile	48	57	55	59 50
	No.	7 7	74	82	69
Social Studies	%ile	50	57	62 67	
	No.	77	74	82	50
	110.			82	69

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	59	62	46	42	42			
4	Total Language Arts	63	49	50	51	44			
4	Total Mathematics	70	54	61	54	55			
6	Total Reading	48	51	44	53	56			
6	Total Language Arts	53	53	46	51	49			
6	Total Mathematics	48	60	49	56	50			



TUDOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve math computation and problem solving skills across grade levels	Successful
Increase participation and effectiveness of Recreational Reading Program	Successful
Prepare students and staff to enter information age through technology	Successful
Create a healthier school environment	Partially Successful
Build a variety of in/out of school activities	Successful

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	_	
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area_	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4 Reading	46	28.3	37.0	34.8	51	55
Lang. Arts	49	10.2	12.2	<i>7</i> 7.6	42	58*
Math	48	18.8	20.8	60.4	53	60*
5 Reading	51	33.3	41.2	25.5	60	60
Lang. Arts	51	11.8	43.1	45.1	53	60*
Math	51	19.6	43.1	37.3	55	58
6 Reading	45	22.2	46.7	31.1	47	50
Lang. Arts	45	26.7	37.8	35.6	52	53
Math	45	24.4	35.6	40.0	48	50

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 166

TURNAGAIN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip 4	432	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	9	0%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	ducation 59		59	Classroom Teachers	17.0
Gifted			22	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			50	Special Education Teachers	5.7
	GE DAILY ATT			Music Teachers	.8
Average Daily	GE DAILY ME Attendance	411.5		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	435.4	Į.	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.8
Percentage of I	Daily Attendan	ce 94.5	5%	Bilingual Teachers	.5
CLAS	SSROOM GRO	UPS		Nurses	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Health Attendant	.6875
Primary	3	4	-	Special Education Aides	3.0
Intermediate	-	6	-	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Combination	-	4	-	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
				Clerical Support Staff	1.625
			1	Custodians	2.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budgete				\$2,142,314 \$1,539,902	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,416,644

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.



TURNAGAIN ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
432	46	42	47	33	168	38.9%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
287 66.4%	12 2.8%	62 14.4%	57 13.2%	14 3.2%	145 33.6%	432			

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	432	118	27.3%
*Esti	mate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

	Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.7%	2 4.2%	5 6.8%	0 0%	1 1.6%	0 0%	9 2.4%		

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	74	63	18	22	333	510				
% in One Sch.	62.16%	74.60%	66.67%	50.00%	78.68%	74.12%				
% in Same Sch.	29.73%	58.73%	27.78%	36.36%	59.76%	53.14%				



TURNAGAIN ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	72	77	49	60
	No.	64	58	60	70
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 8	73	56	68
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Total Reading	%ile	77	76	54	66
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Language Mechanics	%ile	66	<i>7</i> 0	70	<i>7</i> 8
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Language Expression	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	72	62	<i>7</i> 0
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Total Language	%ile	73	74	67	7 5
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Math Computation	%ile	79	88	64	80
	No.	65	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	80	81	74	78
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Total Mathematics	%ile	83	87	72	80
	No.	64	58	60	<i>7</i> 0
Total Battery	%ile	80	81	66	77
	No.	63	58	60	68
Word Analysis	%ile	60			00
	No.	64			
Study Skills	%ile		76	60	69
	No.		58	60	71
Spelling	%ile	59	66	55	56
	No.	64	58	60	71
Science	%ile	71	69	52	73
	No.	64	58	60	70
Social Studies	%ile	77	67	64	70 70
	No.	64	58	60	70 70

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area <u>95-96</u> <u>96-97</u> <u>94-95</u> 93-94 92-93 Total Reading **Total Language Arts Total Mathematics** Total Reading Total Language Arts 5 **Total Mathematics**



TURNAGAIN ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Provide an opportunity to enhance social awareness and behaviors	Successful
Experience math problem-solving opportunities	Successful
Provide more opportunity to read during the school day	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		-
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grac	le/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
1	Reading	42	19.0	45.2	35.7	67	69
I	Lang. Arts	42	21.4	28.6	50.0	61	68*
ľ	Math	42	19.0	26.2	54.8	69	78*
5 I	Reading	43	41.9	39.5	18.6	63	58*
I	Lang. Arts	43	14.0	48.8	37.2	61	66
1	Math	43	27.9	32.6	39.5	66	69
6 I	Reading	46	28.3	43.5	28.3	61	63
I	Lang. Arts	47	21.3	38.3	40.4	66	68
1	Math	46	8.7	41.3	50.0	61	70*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



TYSON, WILLIAM ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Table 167

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip 3	370	STAFFING	FTE
		-			FIE
Percent of	Capacity	75	5%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		<i>7</i> 7	Counselors	2.0
Gifted			4	Classroom Teachers	15.0
Bilingual		1	.03	Librarians	1.0
	GE DAILY ATT			Special Education Teachers	4.0
Average Daily		332.8		Music Teachers	.8
Average Daily	Membership	363.2	!	Art ⁻ Teachers	.4
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	e 91.7	" %	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.9
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Bilingual Teachers	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Counselors	2.0
Primary	2	5	2	Title I Specialist	3.0
Intermediate	2	4	-	Title I Coordinator	1.0
Combination	-	-	-	Nurses	.65
				Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.30
				Special Education Aides	1.25
				Bilingual Tutors	2.0
			:	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
				Clerical Support Staff	1.0
				Custodians	3.0
Budget *					

1995-96 Expenditures \$7,061 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,667,863 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,606,002

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.



TYSON, WILLIAM ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
370	51	54	86	61	252	68.1%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
90 24.0%	78 20.8%	134 35.7%	50 13.3%	23 6.1%	285 76.0%	375		

		Free/Reduced Pri	ice Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	370	310	83.8%
* Est	imate of children f	rom low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 0.3%	0 0%	1 0.2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 0.7%	

Stability Rates of Students										
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total										
Number	172	74	93	30	115	484				
% in One Sch.	53.49%	62.16%	54.84%	70.00%	56.52%	56.82%				
% in Same Sch.	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%*				
*New School	_									



TYSON, WILLIAM ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	22	19	22	31
	No.	43	40	47	34
Reading Comprehension	%ile	31	20	28	42
	No.	43	40	47	34
Total Reading	%ile	25	19	24	36
	No.	43	40	47	34
Language Mechanics	%ile	29	27	39	50
•	No.	43	40	47	34
Language Expression	%ile	29	25	29	43
	No.	43	39	47	34
Total Language	%ile	26	24	32	45
	No.	43	39	47	34
Math Computation	%ile	42	33	30	70
•	No.	43	40	47	34
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	36	42	46	58
1 11	No.	43	40	47	34
Total Mathematics	%ile	37	39	36	6 4
	No.	43	40	47	3 4
Total Battery	%ile	26	25	29	4 7
,	No.	43	39	47	34
Word Analysis	%ile	30	37	7,	<i>5</i> - 1
•	No.	42			
Study Skills	%ile	14	36	35	45
,,	No.		40	46	45 34
Spelling	%ile	25	25	28	
- ro	No.	43	40	26 47	34
Science	%ile	30	28		34
	No.	43		26 45	34
Social Studies	%ile		40	45	34
Jocial Statics		31	22	41	4 5
	No	43	39	45	34

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97										
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96 94-95 93-94	92-93						
4	Total Reading	19	DATA							
	Total Language Arts	24								
	Total Mathematics	39	NOT							
6	Total Reading	36								
	Total Language Arts	4 5	AVAILABLE							
	Total Mathematics	64								



TYSON, WILLIAM ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Successful
Successful
Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading Lang. Arts Math					_	
5	Reading Lang. Arts Math		DATA	NOT	AVAII	LABLE	
6	Reading Lang. Arts Math						



Table 168

URSA MAJOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p -	<u> </u>	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	9	2%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		49	Classroom Teachers	17.0
Gifted			10	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			17	Special Education Teachers	1.5
	GE DAILY ATTI			Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily		417.1		Art Teachers	.5
Average Daily	Membership	430.7	7	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Percentage of Daily Attendance 96.9%		Title I Specialists	.83		
CI	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Counselors	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurses	.6
Primary	1	10	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.3
Intermediate	-	3	3	Title I Coordinators	.5
Combination	-	-	-	Bilingual Tutors	.5
				Title I Parent Tutors	.5
				Clerical Support Staff	1.50
				Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget 1997-98 Adopto * Dollars budge	ed Amount	250 gonow	ol 6 om d	\$146,359** \$1,212,478 \$1,172,160	

Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only. **Ursa Major was closed for 1995-96 for renovation.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Title I



URSA MAJOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility										
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
429	56	60	7	28	151	35.2%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
295 68.5%	100 23.2%	6 1.4%	10 2.3%	20 4.6%	136 31.6%	431		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information										
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *							
1996-97	Elementary	429	180	42.0%							
* Esti	imate of children i	from low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.							

Retention Report										
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total			
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	0 0%									

Stability Rates of Students										
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total										
Number	7	. 11	120	23	322	483				
% in One Sch.	85.71%	81.82%	70.83%	82.61%	77.64%	76.40%				
% in Same Sch.	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%*				
*Ursa Major wa	Ursa Major was closed 1995-96 for renovation.									



URSA MAJOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	58	70	45	53
	No.	63	54	60	59
Reading Comprehension	%ile	61	62	55	69
	No.	63	54	60	59
Total Reading	%ile	59	67	51	63
	No.	63	54	60	59
Language Mechanics	%ile	50	72	62	67
	No.	63	54	60	59
Language Expression	%ile	67	69	62	61
· ·	No.	44	54	60	59
Total Language	%ile	61	73	64	64
	No.	44	54	60	59
Math Computation	%ile	64	63	50	86
-	No.	63	54	60	59
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	66	68	51	69
• ••	No.	63	54	60	59
Total Mathematics	%ile	67	67	51	81
	No.	63	54	60	59
Total Battery	%ile	63	70	57	71
-	No.	44	54	60	59
Word Analysis	%ile	59	•-		0,5
·	No.	63			
Study Skills	%ile		<i>7</i> 2	59	68
	No.		54	60	59
Spelling	%ile	66	77	49	53
	No.	63	54	60	59
Science	%ile	56	69	46	55
	No.	63	54	60	59
Social Studies	%ile	65	66	61	58
	No.	63	54	60	59

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area <u>96-97</u> 95-96 94-95 <u>93-94</u> 92-93 Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Reading Total Language Arts **Total Mathematics**



URSA MAJOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase spelling proficiency by 3 percent	Partially Successful
Open and bring on-line new computer lab	Partially Successful
Increase number of minutes per week each child spends reading	Partially Successful

Grade/Area	Number Tested	% Achieved Less Than One Year's Growth	% Achieved One Yr.'s Growth	% Achieved More Than One Year's Growth	1996 Mean	1997 Mean
4 Reading Lang. Arts Math						
5 Reading Lang. Arts Math		DATA ·	NOT	AVAII	LABLE	
6 Reading Lang. Arts Math						



Table 169

URSA MINOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership Percent of Capacity	256	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity			FIE
,	89%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education	34	Classroom Teachers	10.0
Gifted	2	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual	14	Special Education Teachers	1.4
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENI AVERAGE DAILY MEMBE		Music Teachers	.4
Average Daily Attendance	251.8	Art Teachers	.3
Average Daily Membership	258.4	Phys. Ed. Teachers	.5
Percentage of Daily Attendance	97.4%	Title I Specialists	.83
CLASSROOM GROUP	PS	Nurses	.5
20 & below 21	to 30 31 +	Teacher Assistants/Aides	2.0
Primary -	6 -	Title I Coordinators	.5
Intermediate -	1 -	Bilingual Tutors	.3
Combination -	3 -	Title I Parent Tutors	.5
		Clerical Support Staff	1.0
		Custodians	2.0
	Buc	lget *	
1995-96 Expenditures 1996-97 Budgeted Amount 1997-98 Adopted Budget * Dollars budgeted or expended are Special Programs: Bilingual Ed.,			

341



URSA MINOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility						
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
256	70	69	6	4	149	58.2%

-		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	ber 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
160 61.3%	70 26.8%	.8%	10 3.8%	19 7.3%	101 38.7%	261

	-	Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	256	126	49.2%
* Est	imate of children fr	om low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	<u> </u>	0%	<u> </u>	0%	0%	0%

Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	2	11	91	26	185	315		
% in One Sch.	100.00%	63.64%	53.85%	73.08%	63.78%	61.90%		
% in Same Sch	. 50.00%	63.64%	42.86%	42.31%	54.05%	50.16%		



URSA MINOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	80	46	44	57
	No.	37	34	27	15
Reading Comprehension	%ile	72	50	45	61
	No.	37	34	27	15
Total Reading	%ile	<i>77</i>	48	45	59
	No.	37	34	27	15
Language Mechanics	%ile	63	56	55	67
	No.	37	34	27	15
Language Expression	%ile	72	50	57	55
	No.	37	34	27	15
Total Language	%ile	69	52	55	61
	No.	37	34	27	15
Math Computation	%ile	69	34	38	42
	No.	37	34	27	15
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	63	54	51	71
	No.	37	34	27	15
Total Mathematics	%ile	68	45	45	57
	No.	37	34	27	15
Total Battery	%ile	74	48	50	58
	No.	37	34	27	15
Word Analysis	%ile	54			
	No.	37			
Study Skills	%ile		56	58	60
	No.		34	27	15
Spelling	%ile	66	43	40	54
	No.	37	34	27	15
Science	%ile	55	65	48	49
	No.	37	34	27	15
Social Studies	%ile	68	48	53	50
	No.	37	34	27	15

	Histori ITBS Percentile R CAT Percentile Ra		2-93 to 1994			
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	_94-95_	93-94	92-93
4	Total Reading	48	61	46	51	38
4	Total Language Arts	52	48	64	51	43
4	Total Mathematics	45	49	53	62	36
6	Total Reading	59	51	57	56	55
6	Total Language Arts	61	60	51	54	45
6	Total Mathematics	57	53	61	60	39



URSA MINOR ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Teach to the standards of the ASD math curriculum	Successful
Demonstrate increased inter-personal problem solving skills	Partially Successful
Improve literacy through development of total communication skills	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	23	82.6	13.0	4.3	70	50*
	Lang. Arts	23	60.9	30.4	8.7	65	52*
	Math	23	82.6	8.7	8.7	67	. 48*
5	Reading	17	47.1	47.1	5.9	60	49*
	Lang. Arts	18	22.2	16.7	61.1	48	56*
	Math	18	38.9	27.8	33.3	52	51
6	Reading	10	60.0	40.0	0.0	51	47
	Lang. Arts	10	20.0	50.0	30.0	50	51
	Math	10	50.0	40.0	10.0	53	48

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



WILLIWAW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Table 170

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p .	565	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	12	1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation	:	105	Classroom Teachers (2 funded by Title I)	23.0
Gifted			13	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		1	132	Special Education Teachers	1.5
	GE DAILY ATTI GE DAILY MEM			Music Teachers	1.1
Average Daily		529.7		Art Teachers	.6
Average Daily	Membership	5 7 1.9)	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 92.6	5%	Bilingual Teachers	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Indian Ed. Resource Teacher	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Title I Specialists	3.0
Primary	9	6	-	Counselors	1.0
Intermediate	-	3	2	Nurses	.85
Combination	-	1	2	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
			_	Project Pride Advocates	3.0
				Special Education Aides	1.25
				Title I Coordinators	1.0
				Bilingual Tutors	2.0
		•		Clerical Support Staff	1.75
				Custodians	3.0



WILLIWAW ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Budget *

1994-95 Expenditures \$2,014,633 1995-96 Budgeted Amount \$2,065,930 1996-97 Adopted Budget \$2,154,603 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Project Pride, Title I

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
565 40 44 55 76 215 38.1%							

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	American Asian / Total School White Black Native Pac Islander Hispanic Minority Total							
171 30.5%	69 12.3%	186 33.2%	80 14.3%	54 9.6%	389 69.5%	560		

		Free/Reduced Pric	e Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	565	415	73.5%
* Esti	mate of children	from low income familie	s is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	0 0%						

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	230	99	90	56	194	669			
% in One Sch.	70.43%	78.79%	66.67%	87.50%	<i>7</i> 5. <i>7</i> 7%	74.14%			
% in Same Sch.	48.70%	52.53%	53.33%	71.43%	52.58%	52.91%			



WILLIWAW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	34	51	27	25
	No.	70	68	56	43
Reading Comprehension	%ile	45	43	41	40
	No.	<i>7</i> 0	68	57	43
Total Reading	%ile	41	46	33	32
	No.	70	68	56	43
Language Mechanics	%ile	28	52	52	42
	No.	70	68	57	43
Language Expression	%ile	36	39	41	35
	No.	69	68	57	43
Total Language	%ile	31	44	46	37
• •	No.	69	68	5 <i>7</i>	43
Math Computation	%ile	68	54	33	46
-	No.	69	68	56	43
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	40	56	50	49
••	No.	68	68	56	43
Total Mathematics	%ile	53	56	41	47
	No.	68	68	56	43
Total Battery	%ile	40	48	40	37
·	No.	68	68	56	43
Word Analysis	%ile	32		00	10
•	No.	70			
Study Skills	%ile		49	47	36
•	No.		68	57	43
Spelling	%ile	35	46	47	35
	No.	<i>7</i> 0	68	57	43
Science	%ile	40	49	33	30
	No.	68	68	57	43
Social Studies	%ile	46	43	55	39
	No.	69	68	57	43

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	46	41	45	30	41			
4	Total Language Arts	44	35	44	32	38			
4	Total Mathematics	56	46	59	37	47			
6	Total Reading	32	34	39	37	39			
6	Total Language Arts	37	29	45	32	36			
6	Total Mathematics	47	31	48	40	52			



WILLIWAW ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase reading comprehension skills in grades 4-6	Successful
Demonstrate increased skills in math problem solving and computation	Successful
Demonstrate improvement in writing	Partially Successful
Increase parent involvement and commitment to education	Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	47	21.3	44.7	34.0	52	52
	Lang. Arts	47	14.9	34.0	51.1	43	52*
	Math	47	14.9	40.4	44.7	51	57*
5	Reading	35	45.7	28.6	25.7	44	39
	Lang. Arts	38	28.9	26.3	44.7	45	4 8
	Math	37	35.1	51.4	13.5	49	45
6	Reading	35	22.9	34.3	42.9	36	41*
	Lang. Arts	36	30.6	33.3	36.1	41	43
	Math	36	11.1	47.2	41.7	42	48*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 171

WILLOW CREST ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membersh	ip 5	506	STAFFING	FTE			
Percent of Capacity	9'	7%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0			
Special Education		95	Classroom Teachers				
Special Education	Special Education 95		Classroom Teachers	17.5			
Gifted		6	Librarians	1.0			
Bilingual		97	Special Education Teachers	5.5			
AVERAGE DAILY ATT AVERAGE DAILY MEI			Music Teachers	.8			
Average Daily Attendance	450.2		Art Teachers	.5			
Average Daily Membership	484.1		Phys. Ed. Teachers	.9			
Percentage of Daily Attendant	ce 93.0)%	Bilingual Teachers	1.0			
CLASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurses	.75			
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.75			
Primary 2	10	_	Bilingual Tutors	2.0			
Intermediate -	6	-	Indian Education Tutor/Counselors	.5			
Combination -	1	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.75			
			Custodians	2.5			
		Bud	get *				
	1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,653,142						
Special Programs: Bilingual E	d., Indian	Ed., Un	der Achieving School Grant				

349



WILLOW CREST ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
M embership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total M obility	Percent Mobility		
506	68_	69	87	63	287	56.7%		

		Ethnici	ity ReportOctol	per 1996			
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total	
260 52.6%	30 6.1%	87 17.6%	71 14.4%	46 9.3%	234 47.4%	494	

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Elementary	506	202	39.9%
* Esti	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	113	86	36	50	320	605		
% in One Sch.	54.87%	66.28%	63.89%	70.00%	66.56%	64.46%		
% in Same Sch.	37.17%	59.30%	36.11%	56.00%	51.88%	49.59%		



WILLOW CREST ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST	 _		GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	34	51	27	25
	No.	70	68	56	43
Reading Comprehension	%ile	45	43	41	40
	No.	70	68	5 7	43
Total Reading	%ile	41	46	33	32
	No.	70	68	56	43
Language Mechanics	%ile	28	52	52	42
	No.	<i>7</i> 0	68	5 <i>7</i>	43
Language Expression	%ile	36	39	41	35
	No.	69	68	5 <i>7</i>	43
Total Language	%ile	31	44	46	37
	No.	69	68	5 <i>7</i>	43
Math Computation	%ile	68	54	33	46
	No.	69	68	56	43
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	40	56	50	49
	No.	68	68	56	43
Total Mathematics	%ile	53	56	41	47
	No.	68	68	56	43
Total Battery	%ile	40	48	40	37
	No.	68	68	56	43
Word Analysis	%ile	32			
	No.	<i>7</i> 0			
Study Skills	%ile		49	47	36
	No.		68	57	43
Spelling	%ile	35	46	47	35
	No.	<i>7</i> 0	68	57	43
Science	%ile	40	49	33	30
	No.	68	68	57	43
Social Studies	%ile	46	43	55	39
	No.	69	68	57	43

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	46	57	51	40	43		
4	Total Language Arts	44	44	54	40	48		
4	Total Mathematics	56	50	56	45	54		
6	Total Reading	32	60	49	51	43		
6	Total Language Arts	37	63	52	54	45		
6	Total Mathematics	47	55	46	49	46		



WILLOW CREST ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Review, select, and adopt a new mathematics program	Successful
Enhance technology skills and incorporate into writing process	Successful
Develop Resolving Conflict Creatively Program	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	34	14.7	38.2	47.1	49	55*
	Lang. Arts	34	17.6	29.4	52.9	41	51*
	Math	35	11.4	25.7	62.9	42	52*
5	Reading	45	40.0	33.3	26.7	56	51
	Lang. Arts	44	18.2	29.5	52.3	51	59*
	Math	44	22.7	47.7	29.5	52	53
6	Reading	40	25.0	32.5	42.5	54	55
	Lang. Arts	41	29.3	29.3	41.5	60	63
	Math	42	28.6	35. <i>7</i>	35. <i>7</i>	54	5 7

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 172

WONDER PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	P 4	483	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	10	9%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ec	lucation		91	Classroom Teachers	20.0
Gifted			27	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			72	Special Education Teachers	5.0
	GE DAILY ATTI			Gifted Teachers	1.0
AVERA Average Daily	GE DAILY MEN Attendance	1BERSHIP 447.3		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily	Membership	484.9)	Art Teachers	.5
Percentage of	Daily Attendanc	e 92.2	2%	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GRO	DUPS		Title I Specialists	1.5
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Counselors	1.0
Primary	6	8	-	Nurses	.8
Intermediate	-	4	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
Combination	-	3	-	Special Education Aides	1.5
				Title I Coordinators	1.0
			ı	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
				Clerical Support Staff	1.5
				Custodians	3.0
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budget				\$1,763,504 \$2,019,497	

1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,019,497 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,858,935

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Gifted Service School, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Title I



WONDER PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
483	71	62	107	81	321	66.5%	

		Ethnici	ity ReportOctol	ber 1996	•	
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
179 37.7%	94 19.8%	141 29.7%	25 5.3%	36 7.6%	296 62.3%	475

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information							
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *				
1996-97	Elementary	483	291	60.2%				
* Esti	imate of children fr	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.				

		Retention	Report	_		-	
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted Percent Not Promoted	1 1.3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1.9%	0 0%	0 0%	2 0.4%

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	193	44	120	44	224	625			
% in One Sch.	49.22%	40.91%	64.17%	54.55%	67.41%	58.40%			
% in Same Sch.	43.52%	47.73%	47.50%	34.09%	50.89%	46.56%			



WONDER PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

Reading Vocabulary	SUBTEST			GRADE		
No. 63 46 49 53 Sample of the state of t			3	4	5	6
No. 63 46 49 53 Study Skills No. 63 46 49 53 Steince No. 63 46 49 53 Start Study Skills No. 63 46 49 53 Steince No. 63 46 49 53 Steince No. 63 46 49 53 Start Study Skills No. 63 46 49 54 Start Study Skills No. 63 46 49 53 Start Study Skills No. 63 45 49 53 Start Study Skills No. 63 45 49 53 Start Start Study Skills No. 63 45 49 53 Start Start Study Skills No. 63 45 49 53 Start Start Study Skills No. 63 45 49 53 Start S	Reading Vocabulary	%ile	41	42	27	28
Reading Comprehension %ile 48 52 40 37 No. 63 46 49 53 Total Reading No. 63 46 49 53 Language Mechanics %ile 32 41 64 44 No. 63 46 49 54 Language Expression %ile 40 37 47 42 No. 63 46 49 54 Total Language %ile 34 38 55 43 Math Computation %ile 34 38 55 43 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 49 54 Math Mathematics %ile 46 48 50 42 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 Word Analysis %ile 36 55 50 44 Study Skills %ile 42 49 42 <		No.	63	46	49	
Total Reading No. 63 46 49 53 Language Mechanics %ile 44 48 34 31 Language Mechanics %ile 32 41 64 44 No. 63 46 49 54 Language Expression %ile 40 37 47 42 No. 63 46 49 54 Total Language %ile 34 38 55 43 Math Computation %ile 40 33 42 35 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 Word Analysis %ile 36 49 53 Study Skills %ile 42	Reading Comprehension	%ile	48			
Total Reading %ile 44 48 34 31 No. 63 46 49 53 Language Mechanics %ile 32 41 64 44 No. 63 46 49 54 Language Expression %ile 40 37 47 42 No. 63 46 49 54 Total Language %ile 34 38 55 43 Math Computation %ile 40 33 42 35 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 47 49 54 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 Word Analysis %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49		No.	63			
Language Mechanics No. 63 46 49 53	Total Reading	%ile	44			
Language Mechanics						
No. 63	Language Mechanics	%ile				
Language Expression						
Total Language	Language Expression	%ile				
Total Language %ile 34 38 55 43 Math Computation %ile 40 33 42 35 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 36 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 55 50 44 No. 63 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	•					
No. 63 46 49 54 Math Computation %ile 40 33 42 35 No. 64 47 49 54 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 36 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 No. 63	Total Language					
Math Computation %ile 40 33 42 35 No. 64 47 49 54 Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 36 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 36 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 63 45 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53						
No. 64 47 49 54 No. 63 46 49 53 No. 63 46 49 53 No. 63	Math Computation					
Math Concepts and Applications %ile 46 48 50 42 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 36 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 36 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	•					
Total Mathematics No. 64 47 49 54 Wile 43 40 47 36 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 No. 63 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	Math Concepts and Applications					
Total Mathematics %ile 43 40 47 36 No. 64 47 49 54 Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 36 36 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Total Battery No. 64 47 49 54 %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 36 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	Total Mathematics					
Total Battery %ile 40 41 45 34 No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis %ile 36 36 36 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53		*				
No. 63 46 49 53 Word Analysis	Total Battery					
Word Analysis %ile 36 No. 63 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	•					
No. 63 Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	Word Analysis			40	47	33
Study Skills %ile 55 50 44 No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	•					
No. 46 50 53 Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	Study Skills		00	55	50	11
Spelling %ile 42 49 42 32 No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	•					
No. 63 45 49 53 Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	Spelling		42			
Science %ile 38 52 41 36 No. 64 46 50 53	• 0					
No. 64 46 50 53	Science					
Social Cultiva						
	Social Studies	%ile	48	37	50 53	53 42

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area <u>96-97</u> <u>95-96</u> <u>94-95</u> 93-94 92-93 Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics



WONDER PARK ELEMENTARY PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Continue to improve writing through keyboarding skills	Successful
Focus on strategies designed to improve targeted weaknesses in the area of reading	Successful
Continue to strive for more parent involvement	Partially Successful

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
1	Reading	30	30.0	16.7	53.3	39	49*
	Lang. Arts	29	10.3	24.1	65.5	33	45*
	Math	31	25.8	38.7	35.5	45	47
5	Reading	31	54.8	29.0	16.1	52	45*
	Lang. Arts	31	12.9	25.8	61.3	50	58*
	Math	31	22.6	41.9	35.5	47	49
6	Reading	35	22.9	51.4	25.7	40	40
	Lang. Arts	36	13.9	41.7	44.4	43	49*
	Math	36	19.4	27.8	52.8	38	46*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 173

GLADYS WOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membershi	n	575	STAFFING		
September 50, 1996 Wichibership	P ·	373	STAFFING	FTE	
Percent of Capacity	:	114	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0	
Special Education		88	Classroom Teachers	21.0	
Gifted		28	Librarians	1.0	
Bilingual	55		Special Education Teachers	3.6	
AVERAGE DAILY ATTE AVERAGE DAILY MEM			Music Teachers	1.0	
Average Daily Attendance	532.5		Art Teachers	.6	
Average Daily Membership	564.3	3	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0	
Percentage of Daily Attendance	e 94.4	! %	Nurses	1.0	
CLASSROOM GRO	UPS		Special Education Aides	1.5	
20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	2.0	
Primary 3	8	-	Clerical Support Staff	1.875	
Intermediate -	8	-	Custodians	2.5	
Combination -	4	-			
		Bud	get *		
1995-96 Expenditures \$1,863,581 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,846,397 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,855,355 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.					
Special Programs: Bilingual Ed	., Indian E	d., Mig	rant Ed.		





GLADYS WOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

		Student Pop	oulation Mob	ility		-
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
575	65	44	52	40	201	35.0%

		Ethnici	ity ReportOctol	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
405 70.8%	26 4.6%	78 13.6%	44 7.7%	19 3.3%	167 29.2%	572

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Elementary	575	138	24.0%						
* Esti	imate of children f	rom low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

		Retention	Report				
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Percent Not Promoted	0%	1.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.3%

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	94	48	40	20	444	646		
% in One Sch.	63.83%	81.25%	62.50%	85.00%	81.53%	77.86%		
% in Same Sch.	54.26%	72.92%	50.00%	50.00%	67.79%	64.55%		



GLADYS WOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	54	57	71	53
	No.	72	79	88	66
Reading Comprehension	%ile	66	59	65	63
	No.	72	79	87	67
Total Reading	%ile	62	59	71	60
	No.	72	79	87	66
Language Mechanics	%ile	48	67	<i>7</i> 7	73
	No.	71	80	88	67
Language Expression	%ile	56	61	73	57
-	No.	71	80	87	67
Total Language	%ile	52	65	77	66
<u> </u>	No.	<i>7</i> 1	80	87	67
Math Computation	%ile	65	58	58	47
-	No.	71	80	88	4 7 67
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	58	71	68	60
•	No.	68	80	88	67
Total Mathematics	%ile	62	67	64	52
	No.	68	80	88	67
Total Battery	%ile	58	64	73	60
·	No.	68	79	87	66
Word Analysis	%ile	46	,,	07	00
•	No.	72			
Study Skills	%ile	, _	69	61	60
•	No.		80	86	67
Spelling	%ile	54	5 <i>7</i>	62	48
•	No.	71	79	88	40 67
Science	%ile	54	79 70	53	50
	No.	67	80	55 86	
Social Studies	%ile	53	60	71	67 40
	No.	68	80	71 86	49 67

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
4	Total Reading	59	67	53	53	49			
4	Total Language Arts	65	71	61	56	46			
4	Total Mathematics	67	53	59	61	51			
6	Total Reading	60	55	45	53	64			
6	Total Language Arts	66	64	51	56	61			
6	Total Mathematics	52	60	50	53	57			



GLADYS WOOD ELEMENTARY PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Improve positive attitudes toward reading and recreational reading	Successful		
Students will increase abilities with various functions of the computer	Successful		
Work to increase in and out of school parent/community involvement	Successful		

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area		Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	67	37.3	41.8	20.9	59	57
	Lang. Arts	68	20.6	33.8	45.6	55	61*
	Math	68	29.4	32.4	38.2	61	62
5	Reading	68	26.5	35.3	38.2	59	63
	Lang. Arts	69	20.3	34.8	44.9	61	67*
	Math	70	22.9	30.0	47.1	51	58*
6	Reading	59	55.9	23.7	20.3	64	56*
	Lang. Arts	59	52.5	22.0	25.4	66	60*
	Math	59	42.4	30.5	27.1	56	52

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 174

CENTRAL SCHOOL OF SCIENCE PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	ip	753	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	8	6%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	2.0
Special Ed	lucation		76	Classroom Teachers	35.0
Gifted			<i>7</i> 0	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			55	Special Education Teachers	5.3
1	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	.2
	AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Average Daily Attendance 685.4		Bilingual Teachers	.5	
Average Daily	Average Daily Membership 729.5		Counselors	1.6	
Percent of Dail	y Attendance	94.0	0%	Nurses	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Media Aides/Career Resource Advisors	2.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	1.0
English	3	20	7	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
Math	Math 2 16 7		Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5	
Science	0	19	13	Clerical Support Staff	4.0
Social Studies	1	13	10	Custodians	5.0

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,833,618 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,760,771 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$3,375,528 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



CENTRAL SCHOOL OF SCIENCE PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
753	101	101	67	40	309	41.0%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
451 60.6%	122 16.4%	57 7.7%	43 5.8%	71 9.5%	293 39.4%	744		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Secondary	753	228	30.3%					
* Esti	imate of children	from low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

	Retention Report	-
7	8	Total
10 2.6%	4 1.2%	14 2.0%
	7 10 2.6%	7 8 10 4

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	:			
Number	7 0	51	153	85	502	861				
% in One Sch.	47.14%	74.51%	66.01%	63.53%	80.28%	73.05%	:			
% in Same Sch.	30.00%	35.29%	24.84%	32.94%	36.85%	33.68%				



CENTRAL SCHOOL OF SCIENCE PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	61	65
	No.	362	290
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	67
	No.	361	290
Total Reading	%ile	68	67
	No.	361	290
Language Mechanics	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	68
	No.	360	287
Language Expression	%ile	61	60
	No.	359	287
Total Language	%ile	67	65
	No.	358	287
Math Computation	%ile	66	52
	No.	360	289
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	69	73
	No.	363	291
Total Mathematics	%ile	69	64
	No.	359	286
Total Battery	%ile	70	68
	No.	355	284
Study Skills	%ile	63	54
	No.	363	291
Spelling	%ile	54	51
	No.	362	290
Science	%ile	66	80
	No.	363	291
Social Studies	%ile	66	68
	No.	363	290

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	_95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
7	Total Reading	68	68	55	53	N/A			
7	Total Language Arts	67	63	N/A	N/A	- 1,			
7	Total Mathematics	69	67	55	51				
8	Total Reading	67	68	53	58	60			
8	Total Language Arts	65	64	56	59	62			
8	Total Mathematics	64	62	47	47	55			



CENTRAL SCHOOL OF SCIENCE PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase school-wide pride via school identity functions	Successful
See that Central is at the top of the list in the District's construction plan.	Successful
Each academic team will develop at least one integrated unit each quarter.	Partially Successful
Continue to develop a plan to involve more parents in school	Successful

	Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
7 Reading	208	19.7	38.9	41.3	52	56*
Lang. Arts	205	27.8	35.1	37.1	55	57
Math	207	35.3	37.7	27.1	58	58
8 Reading	227	32.6	41.0	26.4	62	61
Lang. Arts	224	25.9	44.6	29.5	60	60
Math	225	34.7	48.9	16.4	62	59*

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 175

CLARK MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	<u></u> Р	879	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity 95%		95%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	2.0	
	• ,				2.0
Special Ed	lucation		160	Classroom Teachers	35.0
Gifted			36	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			160	Special Education Teachers	10.4
	GE DAILY ATTI GE DAILY MEM			Gifted Teachers	.2
Average Daily		796.		Title I Facilitators	3.0
Average Daily	Membership	856.	3	Bilingual Teachers	1.0
Percentage of	Percentage of Daily Attendance 93.0%		0%	Counselors	3.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	UPS		Indian Education Community Counselor	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurses	1.0
English	6	19	7	Media Aides/Career Resource Advisors	2.0
Math	2	11	15	Special Education Aides	6.75
Science	2	13	13	Title I Coordinators	1.0
Social Studies	1	25	4	Title I Student Advisor	1.0
				Bilingual Tutors	3.0
				Clerical Support Staff	4.0
				Custodians	5.5
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budgeto	ed Amount			\$3,458,829 \$3,480,150	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$3,467,247

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Alaska School for the Deaf, Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site, Title I



CLARK MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
879	115	126	107	62	410	46.6%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
354 40.5%	158 18.1%	197 22.5%	116 13.3%	49 5.6%	520 59.5%	874		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Secondary	879	. 460	52.3%					
* Esti	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

		Retention Report	
Grade Repeated	7	8	Total
Number Not Promoted	42	35	77
Percent Not Promoted	8.8%	10.1%	9.4%

Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	243	131	1 <i>7</i> 9	56	412	1,021		
% in One Sch.	55.14%	78.63%	68.72%	<i>7</i> 5.00%	72.57%	68.66%		
% in Same Sch.	29.22%	34.35%	29.61%	33.93%	33.98%	32.13%		



CLARK MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	36	37
	No.	422	298
Reading Comprehension	%ile	48	45
	No.	423	298
Total Reading	%ile	42	42
	No.	422	298
Language Mechanics	%ile	44	42
	No.	424	298
Language Expression	%ile	27	34
	No.	424	298
Total Language	%ile	33	36
	No.	424	298
Math Computation	%ile	53	33
	No.	422	294
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	46	56
	No.	423	294
Total Mathematics	%ile	50	43
	No.	422	294
Total Battery	%ile	41	40
	No.	416	290
Study Skills	%ile	40	32
	No.	425	294
Spelling	%ile	34	39
	No.	424	298
Science	%ile	42	59
	No.	425	294
Social Studies	%ile	44	44
	No.	425	294

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	_95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93		
7	Total Reading	42	42	46	43	N/A		
7	Total Language Arts	33	32	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7	Total Mathematics	50	4 5	46	45	N/A		
8	Total Reading	42	46	44	39	46		
8	Total Language Arts	36	39	46	52	54		
8	Total Mathematics	43	43	41	42	41		



CLARK MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve student achievement, motivation, higher order thinking skills, and the ability to integrate and apply knowledge to real-life situations	Partially Successful
Insure a safe, caring school environment	Partially Successful
Increase parent and community involvement	Partially Successful
Develop and pilot Integrated Authentic Assessment tools	Partially Successful

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
7 Reading	286	22.0	38.1	39.9	44	47*
Lang. Arts	290	34.5	36.2	29.3	44	43
Math	290	26.2	45.2	28.6	50	51
8 Reading	232	30.6	44.4	25.0	48	46
Lang. Arts	231	30.3	35.9	33.8	43	43
Math	230	34.8	45.2	20.0	50	47*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



GRUENING MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30.	1996 Membershi	n 1	021	STAFFING	FTE
	200 Membershi	r 1/	021	STATTING	FIE
Percent of	Capacity	12	20%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	3
Special Ed	lucation		130	Classroom Teachers	51.0
Gifted			108	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			10	Special Education Teachers	7.3
	GE DAILY ATTE			Gifted Teachers	.4
	Attendance			Counselors	2.0
Average Daily	Membership	1,013.0	0	Nurses	1.0
Percentage of 1	Daily Attendanc	e 93.2	2	Special Education Aides	3.75
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Bilingual Tutors	.5
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Media Aides/Career Resource Advisors	2.0
English	2	31	5	Clerical Support Staff	4.0
Math	4	26	8	Custodians	5.5
Science	2	29	9		
Social Studies	0	26	11		

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$4,458,289 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$4,431,625 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$2,873,486

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School, Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



GRUENING MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
1,021	78	85	28	34	225	22.0%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
886 86 . 8%	24 2.4%	6 <u>4</u> 6.3%	21 2.1%	26 2.6%	135 13.2%	1,021		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Secondary	1,021	100	9.8%					
* Estir	nate of children fro	om low income famili	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report					
Grade Repeated	7	8	Total		
Number Not Promoted	1	6	7		
Percent Not Promoted	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%		

Stability Rates of Students								
American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
71	23	299	30	941	1,094			
83.10%	91.30%	79.31%	73.33%	85.65%	85.10%			
	Native 71 83.10%	American Asian/ Native Pac. Islander 71 23 83.10% 91.30%	American Asian/ Native Pac. Islander Black 71 23 299 83.10% 91.30% 79.31%	American Asian/ Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic 71 23 299 30 83.10% 91.30% 79.31% 73.33%	American Asian/ Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White 71 23 299 30 941 83.10% 91.30% 79.31% 73.33% 85.65%	American Asian/ Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total 71 23 299 30 941 1,094 83.10% 91.30% 79.31% 73.33% 85.65% 85.10%		



GRUENING MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	66	70
	No.	495	441
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 2	70
	No.	496	441
Total Reading	%ile	71	72
	No.	495	441
Language Mechanics	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	62
	No.	497	442
Language Expression	%ile	61	- 58
	No.	497	441
Total Language	%ile	66	60
	No.	497	441
Math Computation	%ile	69	60
	No.	509	441
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 2	78
	No.	508	441
Total Mathematics	%ile	72	70
	No.	508	441
Total Battery	%ile	72	70
	No.	481	428
Study Skills	%ile	64	54
	No.	495	44 0
Spelling	%ile	52	49
	No.	498	442
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	80
	No.	498	442
Social Studies	%ile	73	7 <u>4</u>
	No.	507	441

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
7	Total Reading	71	73	62	59				
7	Total Language Arts	66	64	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7	Total Mathematics	72	68	65	67	- 1,			
8	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 2	73	66	62	64			
8	Total Language Arts	60	60	<i>7</i> 2	68	65			
8	Total Mathematics	70	65	64	67	62			



GRUENING MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Committed to implement and mold effective middle school model	Successful
Continue to move toward a more positive school climate to include safe environment	Successful
Continue to prepare students for 21st century	Successful

	Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
7 Reading	417	26.1	38.4	35.5	61	62*
Lang. Arts	419	30.5	42.0	27.4	60	59
Math	423	31.9	41.4	26.7	64	63
8 Reading	370	34.1	42.7	23.2	64	62*
Lang. Arts	374	37.4	43.0	19.5	59	56*
Math	371	27.2	46.1	26.7	61	62

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 177

HANSHEW MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip 1,	286	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Percent of Capacity 122%		Principals/ Asst. Principals	3.0	
Special Ed	Special Education 136		Classroom Teachers	65.0	
Gifted			1 <i>77</i>	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			26	Special Education Teachers	8.4
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	.6
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Average Daily Attendance 1,189.0		Bilingual Teachers	.5		
Average Daily Membership 1,275.3			Counselors	4.0	
Percentage of I	Percentage of Daily Attendance 93.2			Nurses	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Media Aides/Career Resource Advisors	2.0
English	2	36	8	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.0
Math	0	33	11	Special Education Aides	1.5
Science	0	47	15	Clerical Support Staff	5.0
Social Studies	0	40	5	Custodians	6.0
			Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budgete	ed Amount			\$5,743,904 \$5,455,380	

1997-98 Adopted Budget \$3,782,470

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.



HANSHEW MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
1,286	90	111	59	52	312	24.3%	

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
1,033 80.5%	56 4.4%	104 8.1%	59 4.6%	32 2.5%	251 19.6%	1,284		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Secondary	1,286	. 121	9.4%					
* Esti	mate of children	from low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report						
Grade Repeated	7	8	Total			
Number Not Promoted	20	1	21			
Percent Not Promoted	3.2%	0.2%	1.6%			

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	117	62	6	43	1,114	1,398		
% in One Sch.	79.49%	91.94%	67.74%	65.12%	84.47%	83.05%		
% in Same Sch.	<u>47.</u> 01%	37.10%	41.94%	30.23%	43.81%	43.28%		



HANSHEW MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	64	65
	No.	580	608
Reading Comprehension	%ile	71	66
	No.	583	608
Total Reading	%ile	69	67
	No.	580	608
Language Mechanics	%ile	70	65
	No.	585	609
Language Expression	%ile	60	60
	No.	585	608
Total Language	%ile	65	63
	No.	584	608
Math Computation	%ile	68	50
	No.	580	611
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	69	76
	No.	582	612
Total Mathematics	%ile	70	64
	No.	580	611
Total Battery	%ile	70	67
	No.	567	594
Study Skills	%ile	64	52
	No.	580	612
Spelling	%ile	52	51
	No.	585	609
Science	%ile	71	80
	No.	583	613
Social Studies	%ile	70	68
	No.	581	613

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93		
7	Total Reading	69	69	65	64			
7	Total Language Arts	65	63	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7	Total Mathematics	70	67	72	67	14/11		
8	Total Reading	67	67	63	63	67		
8-	Total Language Arts	63	61	66	65	66		
8	Total Mathematics	64	67	65	60	66		



HANSHEW MIDDLE LEVEL SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Use an integrated school-wide study skills program to help students acquire tools necessary for life-long learning	Successful		
Implement and integrate technology school-wide to enhance learning process	Successful		
Develop an increased awareness of personal and social responsibility	Successful		

Grade/Area	Number Tested	% Achieved Less Than One Year's Growth	% Achieved One Yr.'s Growth	% Achieved More Than One Year's Growth	1996 Mean	1997 Mean
7 Reading	435	29.2	38.9	32.0	61	61
Lang. Ar	ts 439	39.0	37.8	23.2	62	58*
Math	436	43.1	38.1	18.8	66	61*
8 Reading	517	31.7	42.4	25.9	62	60*
Lang. Ar	ts 518	32.2	35.1	32.6	59	58
Math	522	35.2	44.1	20.7	61	58*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



MEARS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Table 178

September 30,	1996 Membership	1,	126	STAFFING	FTE		
Percent of	Capacity	11	.1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	3.0		
Special Ed	ucation		155	Classroom Teachers	43.0		
Gifted			125	Librarians	1.0		
Bilingual			54	Special Education Teachers	9.8		
	GE DAILY ATTE			Gifted Teachers	.4		
Average Daily		1,020.		Bilingual Teachers	.5		
Average Daily	Membership	1,111.	1	Counselors	3.0		
Percentage of Daily Attendance 91.8%		Nurses	1.0				
CL	ASSROOM GRO	UPS		Health Attendant	.6875		
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Media Aides/Career Resource Advis	sors 2.0		
English	2	32	4	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.0		
Math	1	30	7	Special Education Aides	6.875		
Science	0	36	2	Bilingual Tutors	2.0		
Social Studies	1	25	10	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	.5		
				Clerical Support Staff	4.0		
				Custodians	6.0		
	Budget *						

1995-96 Expenditures \$4,112,684 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$3,873,375 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$3,894,015

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



MEARS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility						
Membership	New E nrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
1,126	127	142	56	52	377	33.5%

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996						
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
827 73.5%	55 4.9%	98 8.7%	106 9.4%	39 3.5%	298 26.5%	1,125

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendanc e <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Secondary	1,126	192	17.1%						
* Esti	* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.									

Retention Report					
Grade Repeated	7	8	Total		
Number Not Promoted	5	2	7		
Percent Not Promoted	0.9%	0.4%	0.6%		

	Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	114	115	66	53	909	1,257			
% in One Sch.	68.42%	84.35%	72.73%	67.92%	80.75%	79.00%			
% in Same Sch.	25.44%	38.26%	22.73%	30.19%	37.84%	35.64%			



MEARS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	58	61
_	No.	562	487
Reading Comprehension	%ile	65	66
	No.	562	487
Total Reading	%ile	63	65
	No.	562	487
Language Mechanics	%ile	67	63
•	No.	562	488
Language Expression	%ile	52	58
	No.	562	489
Total Language	%ile	60	61
	No.	562	488
Math Computation	%ile	70	63
	No.	55 <i>7</i>	486
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	65	-00 77
	No.	55 <i>7</i>	488
Total Mathematics	%ile	69	71
	No.	55 <i>7</i>	486
Total Battery	%ile	66	68
	No.	556	485
Study Skills	%ile	5 <i>7</i>	51
	No.	558	488
Spelling	%ile	49	52
	No.	562	488
Science	%ile	63	76
	No.	558	488
Social Studies	%ile	65	65
	No.	558	488

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	_94-95	93-94	92-93		
7	Total Reading	63	64	59	60			
7	Total Language Arts	60	5 <i>7</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7	Total Mathematics	69	67	58	61	14/11		
8	Total Reading	65	60	60	59	63		
8	Total Language Arts	61	51	63	58	60		
8	Total Mathematics	71	63	61	60	62		

MEARS JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Increase vocabulary and improve spelling skills	Partially Successful		
Show greater respect for each other and their diversity through involvement in curricular and extra-curricular activities	Successful		
Implement standardized School Assignment Calendar in academic courses	Successful		
Emphasize technology through acquisition of more computers and other technology	Successful		

	Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
7 Reading	360	23.9	36.4	39.7	55	57*
Lang. Arts	361	33.5	37.7	28.8	5 7	56
Math	357	25.5	37.5	37.0	58	61*
8 Reading	387	31.5	40.8	27.6	60	59
Lang. Arts	389	29.6	40.1	30.3	5 7	57
Math	390	27.7	41.5	30.8	62	63

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 179

ROMIG JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membersh	ip .	571	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity 54%		Principals/ Asst. Principals	2.0		
Special Ed	ucation		88	Classroom Teachers	23.0
Gifted			27	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			62	Special Education Teachers	6.5
	GE DAILY ATT			Gifted Teachers	.2
Average Daily	GE DAILY MEN Attendance	ив екsн гр 514.1		Bilingual Teacher	2.0
Average Daily	Membership	561.4	1	Counselors	1.8
Percentage of 1	Daily Attendan	ce 91.6	5%	Nurses	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GR	OUPS	_	Special Education Aides	3.625
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
English	1	15	1	Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	1.0
Math	3	14	2	Clerical Support Staff	4.0
Science	1	18	0	Custodians	5.25
Social Studies	1	16	2		
			Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expend 1996-97 Budgete 1997-98 Adopte	ed Amount			\$2,842,159 \$2,449,881 \$3,465,407	

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only. Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., School-Within-A-School



ROMIG JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
5 <i>7</i> 1	70	84	38	51	243	42.6%	

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	ber 1996	_	
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
327 57.5%	27 4.8%	92 16.2%	89 15.6%	34 6.0%	242 42.5%	569

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information							
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *				
1996-97	Secondary	571	179	31.3%				
* Esti	imate of children f	rom low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.				

Retention Report					
Grade Repeated	7	8	Total		
Number Not Promoted	30	18	48		
Percent Not Promoted	10.0%	7.0%	8.0%		

	Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	120	98	36	42	357	653		
% in One Sch.	52.50%	80.61%	52.78%	66.67%	78.71%	71.98%		
% in Same Sch.	21.67%	45.92%	19.44%	30.95%	38.66%	35.07%		



ROMIG JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	51	53
	No.	260	238
Reading Comprehension	%ile	60	56
	No.	258	238
Total Reading	%ile	57	56
	No.	258	238
Language Mechanics	%ile	64	58
	No.	258	238
Language Expression	%ile	50	50
	No.	261	243
Total Language	%ile	58	55
	No.	256	238
Math Computation	%ile	59	54
	No.	260	243
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	57	68
	No.	261	243
Total Mathematics	%ile	59	62
	No.	260	243
Total Battery	%ile	59	59
	No.	255	238
Study Skills	%ile	53	4 5
	No.	261	243
Spelling	%ile	49	48
	No.	260	238
Science	%ile	55	72
	No.	260	243
Social Studies	%ile	55	56
	No.	259	2 4 3

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	_95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	
7	Total Reading	5 7	57	50	59		
7	Total Language Arts	58	51	N/A	N/A		
7	Total Mathematics	59	61	53	57		
8	Total Reading	56	54	55	58	57	
8	Total Language Arts	55	49	62	61	64	
8	Total Mathematics	62	59	56	58	60	



ROMIG JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Improve academic performance of students in reading and math	Successful		
Continue staff training and development in areas of special ed. inclusion and technology	Successful		
Systematically involve parents, guardians, and school-business partners	Successful		

	Number	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
7 Reading	181	22.7	43.6	33.7	55	57*
Lang. Arts	180	28.3	40.6	31.1	57	57
Math	182	25.3	43.4	31.3	57	58
8 Reading	181	29.8	44.2	26.0	56	55
Lang. Arts	184	23.9	40.8	35.3	54	55
Math	187	29.4	36.9	33.7	58	59

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 180

WENDLER MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	ip 1,:	129	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Percent of Capacity 117 F		Principals/ Asst. Principals	3.0	
Special Ed	ucation	:	138	Classroom Teachers	53.0
Gifted		:	113	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			83	Special Education Teachers	9.2
	GE DAILY ATT		_	Gifted Teachers	.2
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Average Daily Attendance 1,028.1		Bilingual Teachers	1.0		
Average Daily Membership 1,115.1		Counselors	3.0		
Percentage of 1	Daily Attendand	e 92.2	2%	Health Attendants	.6875
CL	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurses	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	3.75
English	6	29	9	Clerical Support Staff	4.0
Math	3	22	15	Custodians	5.5
Science	3	23	21		
Social Studies	0	24	14		
-	_			look #	

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$4,083,306 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$3,989,586 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$3,892,989 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



WENDLER MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
1,129	94	89	85	57	325	28.8%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
719 63.7%	149 13.2%	120 10.6%	92 8.2%	49 4.3%	410 36.3%	1,129			

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	Secondary	1,129	261	23.1%						
* Est	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

		Retention	Report	
Grade Repeated	7	8		Total
Number Not Promoted	21	12		33
Percent Not Promoted	3.9%	2.1%		3.0%

	Stability Rates of Students									
American Asian/ Data Type Native Pac. Islander Black Hispanic White Total										
Number	153	101	163	58	767	1,242				
% in One Sch	64.05%	85.15%	79.14%	72.41%	82.27%	79.39%				
% in Same Sch	33.33%	43.56%	36.81%	36.21%	41.20%_	39.61%				



WENDLER MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	56	55
	No.	511	535
Reading Comprehension	%ile	66	60
	No.	512	535
Total Reading	%ile	63	59
	No.	511	535
Language Mechanics	%ile	70	60
	No.	513	537
Language Expression	%ile	55	51
	No.	513	536
Total Language	%ile	64	55
	No.	512	536
Math Computation	%ile	61	52
	No.	516	535
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	63	72
	No.	516	535
Total Mathematics	%ile	63	63
	No.	516	535
Total Battery	%ile	64	61
	No.	508	529
Study Skills	%ile	56	45
	No.	516	536
Spelling	%ile	52	49
	No.	512	534
Science	%ile	65	72
	No.	516	536
Social Studies	%ile	69	64
	No.	513	535

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	<u>96-97</u>	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
7	Total Reading	63	63	57	58					
7	Total Language Arts	64	56	N/A	N/A					
7	Total Mathematics	63	62	57	59					
8	Total Reading	59	62	58	62	61				
8	Total Language Arts	55	52	61	63	61				
8	Total Mathematics	63	62	58	60	62				



WENDLER MIDDLE SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT		
Improve student performance on CAT language subtests by developing and implementing three strategies	Successful		
Improve access to technology for students and staff	Successful		
Improve academic performance by successfully implementing middle school strategies	Partially Successful		
Increase academic performance for at-risk students	Partially Successful		

Grade/Area	Number Tested	% Achieved Less Than One	% Achieved One Yr.'s	% Achieved More Than One	1996	1997
		Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
7 Rea d ing	389	24.4	39.8	35. <i>7</i>	58	61*
Lang. Arts	391	27.6	42.2	30.2	60	60
Math	392	23.5	44.1	32.4	58	60*
8 Reading	435	32.0	44.1	23.9	58	57
Lang. Arts	437	31.1	38.0	30.9	54	55
Math	429	27.7	45.0	27.3	58	58

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 181

BARTLETT HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30,	1996 Membershi	p 1,	595	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Percent of Capacity 68% I		Principals/ Asst. Principals/Dean	5.0	
Special Ed	ucation	:	184	Classroom Teachers	56.0
Gifted			5	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			47	Special Education Teachers	13.8
	GE DAILY ATTE			Gifted Teachers	2.48
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP Average Daily Attendance 1,422.0		Bilingual Teachers	1.0		
Average Daily Membership 1,553.0		Counselors	4.0		
Percentage of 1	Daily Attendance	e 91.6	5%	Nurses	1.0
CL	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Health Attendant/Nurse Asst.	1.6875
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutor	1.0
English	8	23	23	Special Education Aides	8.25
Math	3	10	27	Media/Career Resource Aides	3.0
Science	2	21	18	Clerical Support Staff	7.0
Social Studies	5	23	18	Custodians	11.0
				rot*	

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$6,513,719 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$6,408,679 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$6,617,326

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



BARTLETT HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
1,595	218	299	99	70	686	43.0%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996							
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
1,024 64.3%	236 14.8%	151 9.5%	102 6.4%	80 5.0%	569 35.7%	1,593		

	_	Total D	ropout Rates	3		
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
Enrollment Final Dropouts	190 25	114 5	292 18	93 8	1,132 62	1,821 118
Percent Dropouts	13.16%	4.39%	6.16%	8.60%	5.48%	6.48%

	Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total					
Number	190	114	292	93	1,132	1,821					
% in One Sch.	55 .7 9%	78.07%	68.49%	68.82%	75.97%	72.43%					
% in Same Sch.	40.00%	49.12%	47.60%	44.09%	54.86%	51.24%					



BARTLETT HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	1 0	1 1
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	51	52	51
	No.	442	360	290
Reading Comprehension	%ile	61	60	53
	No.	443	360	290
Total Reading	%ile	59	57	5 2
	No.	442	359	290
Language Mechanics	%ile	54	52	51
	No.	442	364	291
Language Expression	%ile	54	51	45
-	No.	442	364	291
Total Language	%ile	55	52	48
	No.	442	364	291
Math Computation	%ile	59	53	51
<u>-</u>	No.	442	364	291
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	68	63	61
	No.	440	359	290
Total Mathematics	%ile	64	59	56
	No.	440	358	289
Total Battery	%ile	62	58	53
	No.	438	353	285
Study Skills	%ile	55	48	47
	No.	442	363	291
Spelling	%ile	52	49	47
	No.	443	363	290
Science	%ile	64	63	51
	No.	442	358	289
Social Studies	%ile	67	62	54
	No.	442	358	289

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97						
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
11 11 11	Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics	52 48 56	54 50 51	55 N/A 52	55 N/A 55	55 N/A 56



BARTLETT HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

			SAT / ACT			
Test	Students/Subject Number Graduates	96-97 253	95 <u>-96</u> 263	94-95 298	$\frac{93-94}{287}$	92-93 314
SAT	Percent Tested	47.8%	49.4%	43.6%	57.8%	58.6%
	Verbal	506	512	451	419	440
	Math	492	499	505	453	475
ACT	Percent Tested	22.9%	25.1%	26.8%	30.7%	26.8%
	English	21.8	22.0	21.4	20.8	22.5
	Math	22.4	21.3	21.2	20.3	22.2

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned							
Area	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	12th			
Language Arts	.86	.82	.94	.93			
Mathematics	.81	.77	.60	.31			
Science	.81	.77	.58	.35			
Social Studies	.82	.83	.49	.71			
Others	1.90	1.89	2.39	2.31			
Total	<u>5</u> .20	5.07	4.98	4.61			

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve the quality of written and oral expression and communication	Successful
Continue to maintain and improve atmosphere of acceptance and appreciation of ethnic, racial, gender, and physically and mentally challenged	Successful
Continue to improve student preparation for success in the workplace	Successful
Strengthen our math program	Partially Successful
Improve quality and quantity of family involvement	Successful



BARTLETT HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
9 Reading	353	34.3	43.9	21.8	58	56*
Lang. Arts	351	29.6	41.9	28.5	54	54
Math	350	18.9	45.7	35.4	56	59*
10 Reading	290	30.3	39.7	30.0	55	54
Lang. Arts	295	29.5	44.4	26.1	52	51
Math	293	35.8	40.6	23.5	5 7	55*
11 Reading	237	42.2	42.2	15.6	58	52*
Language Arts	237	39.2	44.3	16.5	52	49*
Math	236	35.2	36.4	28.4	5 <u>5</u>	54

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 182

CHUGIAK HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1	996 Membersh	ip 2.0)14	STAFFING	FTE
	-		Principals/ Asst. Principals/Dean	5.0	
Special Edu	ıcation	2	213	Classroom Teachers	69.0
Gifted			12	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual			19	Special Education Teachers	10.2
1	E DAILY ATT			Bilingual Teachers	.5
Average Daily				Counselors	5.0
Average Daily	Membership	1,961.0)	Nurses	1.0
Percentage of D	aily Attendan	ce 93.8	3%	Nurse Assistant	1.0
CLA	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Special Education Aides	4.625
i	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Media/Career Resource Aides	1.0
English	2	31	33	Clerical Support Staff	7.0
Math	3	28	27	Custodians	9.0
Science	0	29	20		
Social Studies	0	23	36		

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$7,082,009 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$7,222,034 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$7,168,734 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Site



CHUGIAK HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	į		
2,014	135	214	37	23	409	20.3%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
1,752 87.3%	52 2.6%	102 5.1%	55 2.7%	45 2.2%	254 12.7%	2,006			

Total Dropout Rates									
	Asian/ American Pacific Natives Islanders		Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total			
Enrollment Final Dropouts Percent Dropouts	106 10 9.43%	62 6 9.68%	55 5 9.09%	52 2 3.85%	1,842 92 4.99%	2,117 115 5.43%			

Stability Rates of Students							
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	106 82.08% 61.32%	62 80.65% 62.90%	55 81.82% 49.09%	52 82.69% 50.00%	1,842 85.78% 62.98%	2,117 85.26% 62.21%	



CHUGIAK HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	1 0	1 1
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	62	66	61
	No.	502	498	405
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	<i>7</i> 1	65
-	No.	502	499	406
Total Reading	%ile	69	70	64
•	No.	502	498	405
Language Mechanics	%ile	59	62	58
	No.	502	499	406
Language Expression	%ile	63	63	56
	No.	502	499	406
Total Language	%ile	61	63	5 7
	No.	502	499	406
Math Computation	%ile	69	65	62
•	No.	501	498	406
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	78	71
1 11	No.	501	498	406
Total Mathematics	%ile	72	72	69
	No.	501	498	406
Total Battery	%ile	70	72	66
•	No.	501	497	405
Study Skills	%ile	66	61	61
,	No.	502	498	406
Spelling	%ile	53	50	44
1 0	No.	502	499	406
Science	%ile	72	74	63
	No.	502	498	404
Social Studies	%ile	73	72	404 67
- 1.5-1-1-1	No.	502	498	404

TAP Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93		
11 11 11	Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics	64 57 69	66 59 69	66 N/A 67	62 N/A 64	64 N/A 68		



CHUGIAK HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

			SAT / ACT			
Test	Students/Subject	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
	Number Graduates	388	382	338	367	353
SAT	Percent Tested	58.5%	61.5%	64.2%	58.9%	57.2%
	Verbal	530	526	442	436	435
	Math	546	534	501	485	487
ACT	Percent Tested	30.4%	29.3%	23.3%	30.5%	29.5%
	English	22.6	22.0	21.0	21.7	22.0
	Math	24.1	23.1	22.3	22.4	21.6

	P	rogress Toward C	Fraduation	
		Average Cre	dits Earned	
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	12th
Language Arts	.85	.88	.95	.93
Mathematics	.91	.84	.72	.39
Science	.83	.77	.57	.36
Social Studies	.88	.87	.54	.84
Others	1.88	1.86	2.46	2.38
Total	5.36	5.22	5.24	4.90

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Encourage leadership skills, group cooperation, citizenship, school and community involvement	Successful
Promote mastery in the areas of mathematics, science, and the humanities	Successful
Practice inter-disciplinary activities among subject areas to promote and demonstrate synthesis of ideas	Successful
Provide recognition of the personal nature of the students' environment and its effect on the learning process	Successful



CHUGIAK HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
9 Reading	429	36.8	39.4	23.8	64	61*
Lang. Arts	427	23.9	43.8	32.3	56	57*
Math	425	17.2	42.6	40.2	59	63*
10 Reading	416	27.6	38.0	34.4	60	61
Lang. Arts	418	25.8	41.1	33.0	56	58*
Math	417	25.4	45.8	28.8	63	63
11 Reading	348	39.4	40.5	20.1	62	58*
Lang. Arts	349	34.7	43.8	21.5	57	54*
Math	349	38.7	41.5	19.8	65	61*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



398 395

Table 183

DIMOND HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1	996 Membershi	ip 2,1	169	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of C	Capacity	10	0%	Principals/ Asst. Principals/Dean	5.0
Special Edu	cation	2	250	Classroom Teachers	74.0
Gifted			14	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		1	134	Special Education Teachers	15.4
	E DAILY ATT			Bilingual Teachers	2.5
Average Daily				Counselors	5.0
Average Daily	Membership	2,081.9)	Nurses	1.0
Percentage of D	aily Attendanc	e 91.9	9%	Health Attendant/Nurse Assistant	1.6875
CLA	SSROOM GRO	OUPS		Special Education Aides	8.75
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Bilingual Tutors	2.0
English	0	42	30	Media/Career Resource Aides	3.0
Math	0	26	35	Clerical Support	7.0
Science	1	34	22	Custodians	11.0
Social Studies	0	34	27		

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$7,490,205 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$7,247,412 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$7,412,614 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Special Ed. Intensive Service Sites



DIMOND HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility										
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility					
2,169	214	350_	114	64	742	34.2%					

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctob	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
1,583 73.6%	119 5.5%	157 7.3%	206 9.6%	85 4.0%	567 26.4%	2,150

		Total D	ropout Rates	3		
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
Enrollment Final Dropouts Percent Dropouts	188 29 15.43%	231 14 6.06%	138 10 7.30%	05 2 2.11%	1.819 102 5.94%	2,369 157 6.63%

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number	188	231	137	95	1,718	2,369				
% in One Sch.	58.51%	77.92%	72.99%	81.05%	79.92%	77.67%				
% in Same Sch.	50.00%	56.71%	53.28%	58.95%	59.43%	58.04%				



DIMOND HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	1 0	1 1
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	55	60	55
	No.	522	482	367
Reading Comprehension	%ile	62	64	58
	No.	522	482	368
Total Reading	%ile	61	64	57
	No.	521	481	367
Language Mechanics	%ile	57	55	52
	No.	524	483	368
Language Expression	%ile	56	54	49
	No.	524	484	368
Total Language	%ile	57	55	51
	No.	524	483	368
Math Computation	%ile	65	62	62
	No.	523	484	369
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	71	72	66
	No.	523	485	369
Total Mathematics	%ile	69	67	66
	No.	523	484	369
Total Battery	%ile	65	64	60
	No.	519	481	367
Study Skills	%ile	58	53	53
	No.	524	484	369
Spelling	%ile	52	50	47
•	No.	524	482	368
Science	%ile	64	68	53
	No.	524	485	368
Social Studies	%ile	63	61	54
	No.	524	485	368

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97 <u>Grade</u> Area 96-97 <u>95-96</u> <u>94-95</u> <u>93-94</u> 92-93 11 Total Reading 57 58 62 55 60 11 Total Language Arts 51 57 N/A N/A N/A 11 Total Mathematics 66 69 68 62 69



DIMOND HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

		· ·	SAT / ACT			
Test	Students/Subject	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-9 <u>4</u>	92-93
	Number Graduates	409	374	394	372	354
SAT	Percent Tested	65.5%	63.1%	59.9%	50.8%	61.0%%
	Verbal	509	509	425	419	423
	Math	531	524	493	492	482
ACT	Percent Tested	31.5%	37.7%	36.3%	29.3%	30.2%
	English	21.7	21.4	21.6	21.1	21.1
	Math	23.6	22.8	22.5	21.1	21.6

	Pı	rogress Toward C	Graduation	-	
		Average Cre	edits Earned		
<u>Area</u>	<u>9th</u>	<u>10th</u>	<u>. 11th</u>	<u>12th</u>	
Language Arts	.91	.95	1.01	.99	
Mathematics	.89	.87	.74	.58	
Science	.89	.82	.62	.37	
Social Studies	.87	.86	.62	.98	
Others	1.93	1.86	2.42	2.07	
Total	5.49	5.35	5.42	4.99	

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Inter-disciplinary projects be undertaken to increase student understanding	Successful
Promote respect and appreciation for the richness and diversity of peoples and cultures	Successful
Support and practice inclusion principle of placing students in least restrictive environment for educational needs	Successful
Student assistance programs will be expanded and emphasize school-wide awareness of existence and benefit	Successful



DIMOND HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
9 Reading	446	31.4	43.3	25.3	57	55*
Lang. Arts	449	28.5	37.2	34.3	53	54
Math	439	26.2	38.7	35.1	59	61*
10 Reading**	43	11.6	20.9	67.4	45	61*
Lang. Arts	364	36.5	38.5	25.0	58	56*
Math	367	29.4	42.5	28.1	62	62
11 Reading**	32	46.9	21.9	31.3	53	56
Lang. Arts	297	43.1	39.1	17.8	57	52*
Math	299	38.8	41.5	19.7	64	61*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Dimond did not administer the vocabulary test to a majority of 10th and 11th graders in Spring '96.

Table 184 EAST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

September 30.	1996 Membersh	ip 2.0)35	STAFFING	FTE
		•			112
Percent of	Capacity	104	4%	Principals/ Asst. Principals/Dean	5.0
Special Ed	lucation	2	241	Classroom Teachers	71.0
Gifted			11	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		1	164	Special Education Teachers	16.4
	GE DAILY ATT			Bilingual Teachers	3.5
1	GE DAILY MEN Attendance			Counselors	5.0
Average Daily	Membership	1,903.6	5	Indian Ed. Community Counselor	1.0
Percentage of	Daily Attendand	ce 92.4	! %	Nurses	1.0
CI	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Nurse Assistants	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	4.625
English	9	25	35	Bilingual Tutors	1.75
Math	6	31	20	Clerical Support Staff	8.0
Science	1	35	19	Custodians	11.0
Social Studies	8	20	33		ļ

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$7,247,772 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$7,253,957 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$7,237,513 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Alaska School for the Deaf, Bilingual Ed., Elitnaurvik-Within-East, Indian

Ed., Migrant Ed., School-Within-A-School



EAST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility	
2,035	216	437	102	82	837	41.1%	

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
1,096 54.2%	320 15.8%	270 13.4%	234 11.6%	103 5.1%	927 45.8%	2,023		

		Total D	ropout Rates	3		
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
Enrollment Final Dropouts Percent Dropouts	326 67 20.55%	265 32 12.08%	362 31 8.56%	116 17 14.66%	1,167 80 6.86%	2,236 227 10.15%

Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number % in One Sch.	326 54.29%	265 69.81%	362	116	1,167	2,236		
% in Same Sch.		51.32%	71.55% 55.80%	65.52% 37.07%	80.21% 61.53%	73.03% 55.37%		



EAST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	1 0	11
Reading Vocabulary		45	52	55
	No.	463	465	340
Reading Comprehension	%ile	55	60	62
	No.	464	466	343
Total Reading	%ile	52	57	59
· ·	No.	463	465	340
Language Mechanics	%ile	51	51	52
	No.	465	468	344
Language Expression	%ile	51	51	51
	No.	465	468	344
Total Language	%ile	50	52	52
	No.	465	468	344
Math Computation	%ile	56	57	59
•	No.	465	468	344
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	65	66	66
• ••	No.	464	468	344
Total Mathematics	%ile	61	62	64
	No.	464	468	344
Total Battery	%ile	56	59	60
•	No.	462	465	339
Study Skills	%ile	50	49	56
•	No.	465	468	344
Spelling	%ile	48	55	49
-	No.	464	467	343
Science	%ile	61	62	59
	No.	465	468	344
Social Studies	%ile	65	59	63
	No.	465	467	344

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93		
11	Total Reading	59	51	59	54	57		
11	Total Language Arts	52	51	N/A	N/A	N/A		
11	Total Mathematics	64	57	59	54	62		



EAST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

			SAT / ACT			
<u>Test</u>	Students/Subject Number Graduates	96-97 374	$\frac{95-96}{346}$	$\frac{94-95}{300}$	93-94 325	92-93 337
SAT	Percent Tested	62.0%	55.8%	51.0%	46.5%	45.7%
	Verbal	506	522	427	432	445
	Math	500	516	474	477	496
ACT	Percent Tested	17.1%	19.9%	23.7%	16.3%	21.1%
	English	21.3	21.8	20.3	21.0	21.2
	Math	23.1	21.3	20.1	21.3	22.0

	P	rogress Toward (Graduation	
		Average Cr	edits Earned	
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	12th
Language Arts	.87	.89	.99	1.01
Mathematics Science	.77	.73	.62	.44
Social Studies	.80 .76	.70 .77	.61 .52	.47
Others	1.79	1.68	.52 2.17	.80 2.23
Total	4.98	4.78	4.91	4.95

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve academic achievement among low-achieving, disengaged students	Partially Successful
Personalize the environment so that students feel more comfortable, secure, and cared for	Successful
Continue Community-School collaboration and partnerships	Partially Successful
<u> </u>	



EAST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grad	.e/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
9 R	Reading	393	34.1	42.0	23.9	55	52*
L	ang. Arts	396	24.0	40.2	35.9	50	51*
N	Math	390	17.7	44.6	37.7	54	5 7 *
10 R	Reading	382	25.1	41.9	33.0	54	56*
L	ang. Arts	385	29.6	46.8	23.6	54	53
	Math	385	31.9	43.6	24.4	60	59
11 R	Reading	303	40.3	39.9	19.8	60	5 7 *
L	ang. Arts	305	36.4	44.3	19.3	55	53*
	Math	305	37.0	42.3	20.7	61	59*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 185

SERVICE HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 M	embership	2,3	16	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capaci	ty	111	1%	Principals/ Asst. Principals/Dean	5.0
Special Education	ı	2	219	Classroom Teachers	78.0
Gifted			37	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual 53		53	Special Education Teachers	11.2	
AVERAGE DAI AVERAGE DA			-	Bilingual Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Attend		2,100.3		Counselors	6.0
Average Daily Memb	ership	2,258.3	}	Nurses	1.0
Percentage of Daily A	ttendance	93.0)%	Nurse Assistants	1.0
CLASSRO	OM GRO	UPS		Media/Career Resource Aides	3.0
20 &	below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	4.0
English	6	36	38	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Math	3	25	38	Clerical Support Staff	9.0
Science	2	25	35	Custodians	11.0
Social Studies	4	21	42		

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures 1996-97 Budgeted Amount 1997-98 Adopted Budget

\$7,874,873 \$7,596,448 \$7,965,135

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Community School



SERVICE HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

		Student Pop	oulation Mob	ility		
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
2,316	173	246	99	44	562	24.3%

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	ber 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
1,938 84.0%	84 3.6%	124 5.4%	110 4.8%	51 2.2%	369 16.0%	2,307

Total Dropout Rates						
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
Enrollment Final Dropouts	148 15	115 2	98 3	66 5	2,040 59	2,467 84
Percent Dropouts	10.14%	1.74%	3.06%	7.58%	2.89%	3.40%

	Stability Rates of Students						
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number	148	115	98	66	2,040	2,467	
% in One Sch.	66.89%	86.96%	74.49%	60.61%	85.59%	83.42%	
% in Same Sch.	44.59%	54.78%	47.96%	45.45%	62.16%	59.75%	



SERVICE HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	1 0	1 1
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	63	64	64
	No.	605	525	476
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	68	65
-	No.	605	525	476
Total Reading	%ile	69	68	65
	No.	605	525	476
Language Mechanics	%ile	65	57	54
	No.	606	527	476
Language Expression	%ile	66	60	58
	No.	606	528	47 7
Total Language	%ile	66	59	57
	No.	606	527	476
Math Computation	%ile	68	67	66
	No.	607	528	478
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 8	75	70
	No.	605	527	47 4
Total Mathematics	%ile	74	72	70
	No.	605	526	474
Total Battery	%ile	73	70	67
	No.	603	522	472
Study Skills	%ile	65	57	60
	No.	603	525	449
Spelling	%ile	56	51	48
	No.	606	528	476
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	75	69
	No.	603	523	448
Social Studies	%ile	72	66	62
_	No.	603	523	444

	Histor ITBS Percentile R CAT Percentile Ra		92-93 to 19			
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	_95-96_	_94-95	93-94	92-93
11 11 11	Total Reading Total Language Arts Total Mathematics	65 57 70	64 57 70	70 N/A 73	69 N/A 69	64 N/A 75



SERVICE HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

		S	AT / ACT			_
Test	Students/Subject Number Graduates	$\frac{96-97}{448}$	95-96 491	$\frac{94-95}{430}$	$\frac{93-94}{416}$	$\frac{92-93}{426}$
SAT	Percent Tested	64.1%	63.1%	63.5%	63.7%	64.3%
	Verbal	538	546	465	453	446
	Math	545	553	520	505	497
ACT	Percent Tested	43.1%	52.3%	52.6%	48.8%	47.2%
	English	21.8	22.6	22.9	22.9	22.0
	Math	24.2	23.5	23.5	22.7	22.1

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned							
<u>Area</u>	<u>9th</u>	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	12th			
Language Arts	.90	.89	.96	1.00			
Mathematics	.91	.86	.78	.53			
Science	.89	.82	.67	.48			
Social Studies	.90	.92	.57	.87			
Others	1.85	1.90	2.34	2.10			
Total	5 .4 5	5.38	5.32	4.99			

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Academic excellence for all students	Successful
Action learning	Successful
Positive school climate	Successful
Staff, student, and parent involvement in school affairs and school improvement	Successful



SERVICE HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

		% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
	Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Grade/Area	<u>Teste</u> d	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
9 Reading	528	32.6	39.0	28.4	61	61
Lang. Arts	529	25.5	40.8	33.6	58	59*
Math	529	18.0	42.2	39.9	60	64*
10 Reading	459	27.5	38.6	34.0	60	60
Lang. Arts	461	33.2	41.0	25.8	57	56*
Math	460	30.4	38.3	31.3	63	64
11 Reading	418	41.9	40.7	17.5	64	60*
Lang. Arts	418	41.9	37.3	20.8	59	55*
Math	416	36.3	42.1	21.6	63	62*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 186

WEST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

September 30, 19	996 Membershi	p 1,5	591	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of C	Percent of Capacity 83%			Principals/ Asst. Principals/Dean	5.0
Special Edu	ıcation	. 1	194	Classroom Teachers	54.0
Gifted			5	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual		1	159	Special Education Teachers	12.2
1	E DAILY ATT		_	Bilingual Teachers	2.5
Average Daily				Counselors	6.0
Average Daily	Membership	1,510.6	5	Indian Ed. Community Counselor	1.0
Percentage of D	aily Attendano	ce 89.7	7%	Nurses	1.0
CLA	ASSROOM GRO	OUPS		Nurse Assistants	1.0
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Special Education Aides	4.5
English	11	29	15	Bilingual Tutors	1.0
Math	3	14	25	Media/Career Resource Aides	2.0
Science	2	22	16	Clerical Support Staff	8.0
Social Studies	1	12	27	Custodians	11.0

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$6,319,356 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$6,148,880 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$6,355,001 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Indian Ed., Migrant Ed.



WEST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
1,591	224	349	109	65	747	47.0%			

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
863 54.4%	181 11.4%	207 13.1%	223 14.1%	112 7.1%	723 45.6%	1,586			

	Total Dropout Rates									
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total				
Enrollment Final Dropouts Percent Dropouts	260 45 17.31%	255 25 9.80%	215 21 9.77%	140 13 9.29%	939 62 6.60%	1,809 166 9.18%				

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	260 54.62% 43.85%	255 71.76% 53.73%	215 61.40% 46.51%	140 61.43% 46.43%	939 76.04% 56.23%	1,809 69.49% 52.18%				



WEST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	1 0	11
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	48	50	49
·	No.	359	365	270
Reading Comprehension	%ile	55	54	49
	No.	359	366	271
Total Reading	%ile	53	53	49
-	No.	358	365	269
Language Mechanics	%ile	58	50	52
5 6	No.	358	367	272
Language Expression	%ile	52	47	45
• •	No.	358	368	272
Total Language	%ile	55	49	48
0 0	No.	35 <i>7</i>	367	272
Math Computation	%ile	5 7	53	56
•	No.	359	369	271
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	63	64	59
• • •	No.	358	369	271
Total Mathematics	%ile	60	58	58
	No.	358	369	271
Total Battery	%ile	59	55	53
	No.	353	365	269
Study Skills	%ile	49	4 5	4 5
•	No.	357	364	272
Spelling	%ile	50	48	46
	No.	358	367	271
Science	%ile	59	59	48
	No.	357	365	272
Social Studies	%ile	60	52	48
	No.	354	365	271

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97									
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93			
11	Total Reading	49	50	54	58	55			
11	Total Language Arts	48	45	N/A	N/A	N/A			
_11	Total Mathematics	58	58	55	61	65			



WEST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

			SAT/ACT			
<u>Test</u>	Students/Subject Number Graduates	$\frac{96-97}{246}$	$\frac{95-96}{248}$	94-95 217	$\frac{93-94}{229}$	92-93 258
SAT	Percent Tested	56.9%	46.4%	51.6%	56.8%	60.5%
	Verbal	511	517	461	405	426
	Math	519	519	521	482	481
ACT	Percent Tested	14.2%	12.9%	19.4%	17.9%	22.9%
	English	19.5	21.4	23.5	20.9	22.2
	Math	22.1	21.1	23.0	21.7	22.4

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned								
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	12th				
Language Arts Mathematics	.88 .78	.90 .75	.94 .64	.96 .38				
Science Social Studies Others	.85 .78	.71 .68	.63 .74	.35 .89				
Total	1.71 5.01	1.83 4.89	2.03 4.99	2.07 4.65				

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Promote strong instructional programs for 21st century	Partially Successful
Meet specific department goals	Partially Successful
Increase parent involvement in West	Partially Successful
Improve ability of all students to read through proven instructional strategies	Partially Successful



WEST HIGH SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gra	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
9	Reading	300	38.0	37.0	25.0	55	53*
	Lang. Arts	300	29.7	37.0	33.3	51	54*
	Math	299	27.4	44.1	28.4	57	57
10	Reading	287	25.1	38.3	36.6	52	54*
	Lang. Arts	288	22.9	45.5	31.6	50	51
	Math	291	43.3	37.8	18.9	61	56*
11	Reading	219	48.9	41.1	10.0	58	51*
	Lang. Arts	220	36.8	39.1	24.1	53	51*
	Math	217	42.4	39.2	18.4	59	56*

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 187

BENNY BENSON SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership		STAFFING	FTE
Search	96	1	
SAVE	234	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Percent of Capacity		Classroom Teachers	16.0
Search	104%		
SAVE	102%	Special Ed. Teachers	3.0
Special Education	37	Counselors	1.0
Gifted	0	Nurses	.5
Bilingual	27	Bilingual Tutors	.25
AVERAGE DAILY ATTEN		Clerical Support Staff	2.0
AVERAGE DAILY MEMI	BERSHIP		
Average Daily Attendance	40.5	Custodians	1.0
Search	69.9		
SAVE	177.4		
Average Daily Membership			
Search	81.0	1	
SAVE	203.1		
Percentage of Daily Attendance			
Search	86.3%		
SAVE	87.4%		
CLASSROOM GROU	JPS		
20 & below 2	21 to 30 31 +		
English			
Math			
Science			
Social Studies			
			
	Buc	lget *	
	Search	SAVE	
1995-96 Expenditures	\$438,560	\$1,107,059	
1996-97 Budgeted Amount	\$434,999	\$1,045,332	
1997-98 Adopted Budget	\$428,516	\$1,045,332	
* Dollars hudgeted or expended a			



* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed.

BENNY BENSON SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility								
Membership Search 96	New Enrollment 57	Withdrawals 156	Transfer Out 39	Transfer In <i>7</i> 7	Total Mobility 329	Percent Mobility 342.7%			
SAVE 254	65_	250	9	124	448	176.4%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
Search						•			
59	12	17	1	6	36	95			
62.1%	12.6%	17.9%	1.1%	6.3%	37.9%				
SAVE									
138	47	30	12	11	100	238			
58.0%	19.8%	12.6%	5.0%	4.6%	42.0%				

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	High School	330	56	40.5%					
* Est	imate of children fro	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.					

	Total Dropout Rates									
C l	American Natives	Asian/Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total				
Search Enrollment	20	7	20		445					
	39	7	30	11	115	202				
Final Dropouts	24	· 4	13	8	61	110				
Percent Dropouts SAVE	61.54%	57.14%	43.33%	72.73%	53.04%	54.46%				
Enrollment	5 <i>7</i>	14	<i>7</i> 3	23	239	406				
Final Dropouts	34	9	32	11	118	204				
Percent Dropouts	59.65%	64.29%	43.83%	47.83%	49.37%	50.25%				



BENNY BENSON/SAVE SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

		Stabil	ity Rates of S	tudents		
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total
Search						
Number	39	7	30	11	115	202
% in One Sch.	2.56%	0.00%	3.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.99%
% in Same Sch. SAVE	7.69%	0.00%	6.67%	9.09%	9.57%	8.42%
Number	5 7	14	73	23	239	406
% in One Sch.	15.79%	14.29%	26.03%	8.70%	20.92%	20.20%
% in Same Sch.	14.04%	14.29%	32.88%	8.70%	22.59%	22.17%

ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST				GRADE		
	<u> </u>	7	8	9	10	11
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	37	24	43	35	34
	No.	26	4 3	41	33	54
Reading Comprehension	%ile	44	29	48	41	29
	No.	25	44	41	33	54
Total Reading	%ile	40	26	46	37	30
	No.	25	43	41	33	54
Language Mechanics	%ile	4 5	22	49	31	36
	No.	25	44	40	33	49
Language Expression	%ile	30	28	48	34	24
	No.	25	44	40	32	50
Total Language	%ile	35	24	49	34	29
	No.	25	44	40	32	49
Math Computation	%ile	26	16	31	33	30
	No.	27	44	37	30	53
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	37	41	45	40	39
•	No.	28	44	38	30	53
Total Mathematics	%ile	30	23	36	34	33
	No.	27	42	37	30	53
Total Battery	%ile	36	23	47	36	30
-	No.	23	41	36	30	47
Study Skills	%ile	37	19	52	31	32
•	No.	28	44	38	30	53
Spelling	%ile	46	26	45	36	29
. 0	No.	26	44	41	33	52
Science	%ile	42	46	64	50	32
	No.	28	44	38	30	52 53
Social Studies	%ile	42	28	61	30 37	33 32
	No.	27	43	38	30	52 53



BENNY BENSON SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93	
8	Total Reading	26	37	39			
	Total Language Arts	24	24	33			
	Total Mathematics	23	29	31			
11	Total Reading	30	31	37	40	39	
	Total Language Arts	29	31	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total Mathematics	33	30	31	30	16	

<u>Progress Toward Graduation</u> Average Credits Earned							
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	<u>12th</u>			
Language Arts	.25	.25	.00	.00			
Mathematics	.00	.25	.50	.00			
Science	.00	.25	.00	.00			
Social Studies	.00	.50	.00	.00			
Others	.38	.88	.75	.00			
<u>Total</u>	.63	2.13	1.25	.00			

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Coordinate and sequence instructional materials used in SEARCH and SAVE	Successful
Improve reading scores of students who have attended for one year	Successful
School-wide plan for computer education	Successful



BENNY BENSON SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
_		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
8	Reading	8	50.0	37.5	12.5	46	41
	Lang. Arts	8	25.0	25.0	50.0	35	38
	Math	8	62.5	25.0	12.5	40	30
9	Reading	20	30.0	25.0	35.0	37	46
	Lang. Arts	19	15.3	42.1	47.4	39	46
	Math	16	18.8	43.8	37.5	34	39
10	Reading	8	37.5	25.0	37.5	39	41
	Lang. Arts	8	0.0	50.0	50.0	32	40*
	Math	7	42.9	14.3	42.9	37	34
11	Reading	12	41.7	16.7	41.7	40	36
	Lang. Arts	10	50.0	40.0	10.0	41	35
	Math	12	33.3	25.0	41.7	38	40

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 188

DENALI ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

September 30	1996 Membershi	n i	536	STAFFING	TOTAL
sec 35	1))0 Menthelan	·Y	JJU	SIAFFING	FTE
Percent of	Capacity	113	3%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Ed	lucation		80	Classroom Teachers	14.0
Gifted			51	Librarians	1.0
Bilingual	51		51	Special Education Teachers	6.3
	AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE/ AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP			Music Teachers	1.2
Average Daily elem. 462.7 se	Attendance	adensair		Art Teachers	.7
Average Daily elem. 494.3 sec	Membership			Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.2
ľ	Daily Attendand	e		Counselors	1.0
	ASSROOM GR	OUPS		Title I Specialists	1.5
	20 & below	21 to 30	31 +	Nurses	1.0
Primary	-	2	-	Health Attendant	.8125
Intermediate	1	-	-	Teacher Assistants/Aides	1.75
Combination	4	6	1	Special Education Aides	5.25
:				Title I Coordinators	.5
				Bilingual Tutors	2.0
				Indian Ed. Tutor/Counselor	1.0
				Clerical Support Staff	1.875
				Custodians	3.0

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$2,131,070 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$2,224,754 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$2,054,825

* Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Full-Day Kindergarten, Indian Ed., Migrant Ed., Montessori, Special Ed. Intensive Service Site, Title I



DENALI ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility							
Meml	bership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
Elem. Jr. Hi.	501 35	47 2	4 3 3	73 6	50 5	213 16	42.5% 45.7%

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total				
Elem. 289	52	91	35	36	214	503				
57.5%	10.3%	18.1%	7.0%	7.2%	42.5%					
Jr.Hi. 27	1	6	0	2	9	36				
75.0%	2.8%	16.7%	0%	5.6%	25.0%					

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97 * Esti	Elementary Secondary imate of children fro	501 35 om low income famili	159 6 ies is based on Free/Reduce	31.7% 17.1% ed Lunch Program.					

Retention Report										
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total			
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	1	1	2			
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	00	0%	1.5%	1.7%	0.5%			

Stability Rates of Students										
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Elementary										
Number	119	37	<i>7</i> 5	43	312	586				
% in One Sch	56.30%	54.05%	61.33%	65.12%	83.65%	72.01%				
% in Same Sch.	50.42%	59.46%	46.67%	58.14%	67.63%	60.24%				
Secondary						00.2270				
Number	7	2	2	1	31	43				
% in One Sch	71.43%	100.00%	50.00%	0.00%	70.97%	69.77%				
% in Same Sch.	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	32.26%	25.58%				



DENALI ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		_
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	57	67	63	60
•	No.	<i>7</i> 0	50	61	53
Reading Comprehension	%ile	63	65	71	66
•	No.	69	51	62	53
Total Reading	%ile	63	68	69	64
· ·	No.	69	50	61	53
Language Mechanics	%ile	44	52	71	53
	No.	70	52	62	54
Language Expression	%ile	66	59	70	67
	No.	70	52	62	54
Total Language	%ile	56	5 <i>7</i>	72	60
0 0	No.	70	52	62	54
Math Computation	%ile	51	43	58	57
1	No.	70	52	62	54
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	60	67	<i>7</i> 8	<i>7</i> 0
1 11	No.	70	51	62	54
Total Mathematics	%ile	57	55	72	63
	No.	70	51	62	54
Total Battery	%ile	60	62	74	63
•	No.	69	49	61	53
Word Analysis	%ile	58	_•		
•	No.	70			
Study Skills	%ile		68	<i>7</i> 0	66
•	No.		50	61	54
Spelling	%ile	51	<i>7</i> 1	64	66
•	No.	70	51	62	54
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	65	60	59
	No.	<i>7</i> 0	50	61	54
Social Studies	%ile	62	60	68	66
	No.	<i>7</i> 0	51	61	54



DENALI ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	57	83
	No.	19	12
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 8	65
	No.	19	12
Total Reading	%ile	70	78
	No.	19	12
Language Mechanics	%ile	48	52
	No.	19	12
Language Expression	%ile	60	48
	No.	19	12
Total Language	%ile	54	49
	No.	19	12
Math Computation	%ile	41	44
	No.	19	12
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	52	76
	No.	19	12
Total Mathematics	%ile	46	59
	No.	19	12
Total Battery	%ile	57	65
	No.	19	12
Study Skills	%ile	57	51
	No.	19	12
Spelling	%ile	53	42
	No.	19	12
Science	%ile	66	83
	No.	19	12
Social Studies	%ile	67	84
	No.	19	12



DENALI ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97										
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93					
4	Total Reading	68	68	56	54	57					
4	Total Language Arts	57	60	43	49	44					
4	Total Mathematics	55	63	49	56	57					
6	Total Reading	64	59	55	55	52					
6	Total Language Arts	60	54	53	54	53					
6	Total Mathematics	63	60	52	59	52					
8	Total Reading	78	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
8	Total Language Arts	49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
8	Total Mathematics	59	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Increase academic engaged time	Successful
Develop and implement school-wide reading involvement activities	Successful
Expand wellness program	Successful



DENALI ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

_			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s		1007	1005
<u>_</u>	- d - / A				More Than One	1996	1997
Gr	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	42	23.8	45.2	31.0	59	60
_	Lang. Arts	41	12.2	36.6	51.2		
	Math	42				46	56*
	Math	42	26.2	38.1	35. <i>7</i>	52	53
5	Reading	45	31.1	35.6	33.3	64	65
	Lang. Arts	48	16.7	31.3	52.1	57	62*
	Math	48	27.1	33.3	39.6		
		40	27.1	33.3	39.0	60	61
6	Reading	46	39.1	39.1	21.7	63	58
	Lang. Arts	4 6	34.8	32.6	32.6	58	58
	Math	46	13.0	23.9	63.0	50	59*
					00.0	00	
7	Reading	10	20.0	20.0	60.0	67	<i>7</i> 2
	Lang. Arts	10	50.0	20.0	30.0	64	63
	Math	10	40.0	20.0	40.0	58	59
8	Reading	9	33.3	44.4	22.2	69	<i>7</i> 1
	Lang. Arts	9	55.6	33.3	11.1	61	53
	Math	9	55.6	33.3	11.1	65	60

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 189

GIRDWOOD ELEMENTARY/JUNIOR HIGH PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Percent of Capacity 7 Special Education Gifted Bilingual AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIF Average Daily Attendance elem. 110.3 sec 20.3 Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3 Percentage of Daily Attendance		Principals / Asst. Principals Classroom Teachers Librarians Special Education Teachers Gifted Teachers Art Teachers	1.0 5.5 .5 1.0				
Gifted Bilingual AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIF Average Daily Attendance elem. 110.3 sec 20.3 Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3	16 0 E/	Librarians Special Education Teachers Gifted Teachers	.5 1.0				
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIF Average Daily Attendance elem. 110.3 sec 20.3 Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3	0 E/	Special Education Teachers Gifted Teachers	1.0				
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCI AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIF Average Daily Attendance elem. 110.3 sec 20.3 Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3	E/	Gifted Teachers					
AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIF Average Daily Attendance elem. 110.3 sec 20.3 Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3			.25				
Average Daily Attendance elem. 110.3 sec 20.3 Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3		Art Teachers					
Average Daily Membership elem. 117.7 sec 22.3			.2				
1		Phys. Ed. Teachers	.5				
elem. 93.7% sec 90.8%		Nurses					
CLASSROOM GROUPS		Special Education Aides					
20 & below 21 to 30	31+	Clerical Support Staff	1.0				
Primary 2 -	-	Custodians	2.0				
Intermediate - 1	-	Music Teachers	.5				
Combination 2 1	-						
	Buc	lget *					
1995-96 Expenditures \$840,316 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$818,367 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$731,266 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only. Special Programs: Community School							



GIRDWOOD ELEMENTARY/JUNIOR HIGH PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility										
Meml	pership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
Elem.	118	7	10	2	2	21	17.8%				
Jr. Hi.	26	1	4	2	0	7	26.9%				

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total				
Elėm. 112	1	2	2	2	7	119				
94.1%	.8%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	5.9%					
Jr. Hi. 24	0	0	1	0	1	25				
96.0%	0%	0%	4.0%	0%	4.0%					

Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information								
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *				
1996-97	Elementary	118	. 9	7.6%				
	Secondary	26	2	7.7%				
* Estimate of children from low income families is based on Free/Reduced Lunch Program.								

Retention Report								
Grade Repeated 1	. 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Number Not Promoted 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Percent Not Promoted 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.0%	11.0%

Stability Rates of Students								
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total		
Number	3	2	. 1	2	119	127		
% in One Sch	66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	100.00%	86.55%	85.83%		
% in Same Sch.	33.33%	50.00%	100.00%	50.00%	78.99%	77.17%		



GIRDWOOD ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	85	72	56	76
	No.	16	12	13	23
Reading Comprehension	%ile	90	65	78	76
· -	No.	16	12	13	23
Total Reading	%ile	90	69	69	77
-	No.	16	12	13	23
Language Mechanics	%ile	67	41	61	<i>7</i> 7
	No.	16	12	13	23
Language Expression	%ile	76	<i>7</i> 0	74	<i>7</i> 9
	No.	15	12	13	23
Total Language	%ile	73	54	69	80
•	No.	15	12	13	23
Math Computation	%ile	71	55	71	81
•	No.	15	12	13	23
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	90	90	76	91
• ••	No.	16	12	13	23
Total Mathematics	%ile	87	76	77	87
	No.	15	12	13	23
Total Battery	%ile	87	69	74	83
·	No.	15	12	13	23
Word Analysis	%ile	66			
,	No.	16			
Study Skills	%ile		7 7	62	<i>7</i> 9
•	No.		12	13	23
Spelling	%ile	4 0	45	34	61
	No.	16	12	13	23
Science	%ile	83	70	5 <i>7</i>	84
	No.	16	12	13	23
Social Studies	%ile	83	70	71	82
	No.	15	12	13	23



GIRDWOOD ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST		GRADE	
		7	8
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	71	85
	No.	11	7
Reading Comprehension	%ile	<i>7</i> 4	79
	No.	11	7
Total Reading	%ile	74	84
	No.	11	7
Language Mechanics	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	82
	No.	11	7
Language Expression	%ile	52	84
	No.	11	7
Total Language	%ile	66	84
	No.	11	7
Math Computation	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	85
	No.	11	7
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	97
	No.	11	7
Total Mathematics	%ile	76	94
	No.	11	7
Total Battery	%ile	73	91
	No.	11	7
Study Skills	%ile	65	67
	No.	11	7
Spelling	%ile	50	<i>7</i> 1
	No.	11	7
Science	%ile	84	86
	No.	11	7
Social Studies	%ile	69	90
	No.	10	7



GIRDWOOD ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97										
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93				
4	Total Reading	69	<i>7</i> 5							
4	Total Language Arts	54	69							
4	Total Mathematics	76	62							
6	Total Reading	77	72							
6	Total Language Arts	80	64							
6	Total Mathematics	87	79							
8	Total Reading	84	81	70	<i>7</i> 8	53				
8	Total Language Arts	84	73	68	76	58				
8	Total Mathematics	94	85	74	66	63				

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Students gain greater understanding of scientific process	Successful
Develop more in-depth thinking skills	Successful
Continue junior high school student service program	Successful



GIRDWOOD ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE LEVEL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 **Expected Gain**

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved	-	
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gra	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
4	Reading	11	27.3	36.4	36.4	58	61
	Lang. Arts	11	27.3	18.2	54.5	52	54
	Math	11	54.5	36.4	9.1	76	66*
5	Reading	10	50.0	40.0	10.0	69	59
	Lang. Arts	10	40.0	30.0	30.0	67	63
	Math	10	10.0	30.0	60.0	59	66
6	Reading	22	50.0	9.1	40.9	65	65
	Lang. Arts	22	22.7	22.7	54.5	62	68
	Math	23	8.7	34.8	56.5	62	73*
7	Reading	9	33.3	22.2	44.4	62	64
	Lang. Arts	9	22.2	55.6	22.2	60	58
	Math	10	30.0	60.0	10.0	66	64
8	Reading	7	71.4	0.0	28.6	79	71
	Lang. Arts	7	28.6	42.9	28.6	71	71
	Math	7	14.3	42.9	42.9	75	82

^{* -} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 190

MCLAUGHLIN SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership	159	CT A FEING	TOTAL C
September 50, 1996 Membership	159	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	106%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education	69	Classroom Teachers	11.0
Gifted		Special Education Teachers	5.0
Bilingual	5	Counselors	1.0
AVERAGE DAILY ATTEND AVERAGE DAILY MEMBE	-	Nurses (state funded)	2.0
Average Daily Attendance	163.2	Special Ed. Assistants	1.0
Average Daily Membership	163.3	Bilingual Tutors	.25
Percentage of Daily Attendance	99.9	Clerical Support Staff	3.0
CLASSROOM GROUP	PS	Custodians (state funded)	1.0
20 & below 21	to 30 31 +		
English		•	
Math			
Science			
Social Studies			
	Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditures		\$1,435,690	

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,435,690 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,441,374 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,435,682 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed.



MCLAUGHLIN SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

Student Population Mobility								
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility		
159	110	196	101_	133	540	339.6%		

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996								
	White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total		
Sec.	86 54.1%	21 13.2%	33 20.8%	13 8.2%	6 3.8%	73 45.9%	159		

	Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *						
1996-97	High School	159	9	5.7%						
* Est	imate of children fro	om low income famil	ies is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.						

Retention Report							
Grade Repeated	7	8	Total				
Number Not Promoted	3	0	3				
Percent Not Promoted	25.0%		25.0%				

Total Dropout Rates										
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total				
Enrollment	91	22	49	23	186	371				
Final Dropouts	28	8	19	10	59	124				
Percent Dropouts	30.77%	36.36%	38.78%	43.48%	31.72%	33.42%				

Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native Pa	Asian/ c. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Number	91	22	49	23	186	371			
% in One Sch.	17.58%	13.64%	16.33%	21.74%	18.28%	17. <i>7</i> 9%			
% in Same Sch.	19.78%	22.73%	32.65%	30.43%	24.19%	24.53%			



MCLAUGHLIN SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE CAT Percentile Rank Scores** and Number Tested

SUBTEST	GRADE							
	<u></u> _	7	8	9	10	11		
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	51	43	43	30	31		
	No.	3	10	23	28	21		
Reading Comprehension	%ile	80	59	54	37	47		
	No.	3	10	23	28	21		
Total Reading	%ile	68	52	53	34	39		
	No.	3	10	23	28	21		
Language Mechanics	%ile	23	39	29	20	34		
	No.	4	10	23	28	21		
Language Expression	%ile	32	34	35	19	23		
	No.	3	10	23	27	21		
Total Language	%ile	27	35	31	19	27		
	No.	3	10	23	27	21		
Math Computation	%ile	33	35	46	27	39		
-	No.	4	10	23	28	21		
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	37	55	51	31	35		
	No.	4	10	23	28	21		
Total Mathematics	%ile	33	45	48	27	37		
	No.	4	10	23	28	21		
Total Battery	%ile	46	44	45	25	32		
	No.	3	10	23	27	21		
Study Skills	%ile	20	17	37	23	28		
·	No.	4	10	23	27	21		
Spelling	%ile	26	37	43	26	22		
	No.	4	10	23	28	21		
Science	%ile	33	54	49	34	23		
	No.	4	10	23	27	21		
Social Studies	%ile	50	24	45	30	28		
	No.	4	10	23	27	21		

	Histori ITBS Percentile Ra CAT Percentile Ra		2-93 to 1994			
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
11	Total Reading	39	44	41	41	37
11	Total Language Arts	27	36	NA	NA	NA
_11	Total Mathematics	37	43	33	31	33



MCLAUGHLIN SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned						
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	<u>12th</u>		
Language Arts Mathematics Science Social Studies Others Total	.17 .17 .00 .17 .50 1.00	.20 .10 .10 .30 .40 1.10	.25 .25 .25 .25 .63 1.63	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00		

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Improve school and class decorum	Successful
Promote knowledge and respect for the richness and diversity of peoples and cultures in our school	Successful
Emphasize study skills through student awareness of individual learning styles	Unsuccessful
Develop practice that aids student to transfer smoothly into standard program	Partially Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

Gr	ade/Area	Number Tested	% Achieved Less Than One Year's Growth	% Achieved One Yr.'s Growth	% Achieved More Than One Year's Growth	1996 Me an	1997 Mean
9	Reading	10	40.0	50.0	10.0	47	45
	Lang. Art	10	20.0	50.0	30.0	34	37
	Math	11	18.2	36.4	45.5	46	51
10	Reading	8	12.5	12.5	75.0	31	40
	Lang. Art	8	25.0	50.0	25.0	28	27
	Math	8	37.5	25.0	37.5	38	38
11	Reading	9	44.4	33.3	22.2	55	0
	Lang. Art	8	25.0	37.5	37.5	36	0
	Math	8	12.5	37.5	50.0	42	0

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 191

SAVE SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership	238	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	115%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education	23	Classroom Teachers	10.0
Gifted		Job Coordinators*	3.0
Bilingual	19	Special Ed. Teachers	1.0
AVERAGE DAILY ATTEND AVERAGE DAILY MEMBE	· · - ·	Counselors	1.0
Average Daily Attendance	219.2	Nurses	.5
Average Daily Membership	220.9	Bilingual Tutors	.5
Percentage of Daily Attendance	99.3%	Special Ed. Assistants	.5
CLASSROOM GROUP	S	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
20 & below 21	to 30 31 +	Custodians	1.0
English			
Math			
Science			
Social Studies		*Also teach part-time in classroom.	
	Bud	get *	
1995-96 Expenditures		\$1,103,624	

1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,101,310 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,098,703 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Bilingual Ed., Migrant Ed.



SAVE SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE **SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS**

		Student Pop	pulation Mob	ility		
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility
238	51	143	20	138	352	147.9%

		Ethnic	ity ReportOctol	per 1996		
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total
159 66.3%	25 10.4%	31 12.9%	13 5.4%	12 5.0%	81 33.8%	240

		Total D	Propout Rates	5		
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total
Enrollment Final Dropouts Percent Dropouts	62 16 25.81%	27 3 11.11%	37 14 37.84%	18 5 27.78%	257 71 28.24%	401 109 27.18%

		Stabil	ity Rates of S	tudents			
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total	
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	62 24.19% 19.35%	27 22.22% 18.52%	37 32.43% 10.81%	18 27.78% 16.67%	257 28.40% 24.51%	401 27.68% 21.70%	



SAVE SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE	
		9	10	11
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	50	40	34
•	No.	1	22	65
Reading Comprehension	%ile	48	52	34
•	No.	1	23	65
Total Reading	%ile	50	48	34
C	No.	1	22	64
Language Mechanics	%ile	1	34	28
	No.	1	23	63
Language Expression	%ile	11	42	32
0 0 1	No.	1	22	63
Total Language	%ile	3	35	29
3 8	No.	1	22	63
Math Computation	%ile	4	32	30
•	No.	1	23	64
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	41	51	42
1 11	No.	1	23	65
Total Mathematics	%ile	14	39	34
	No.	. 1	23	64
Total Battery	%ile	13	40	30
·	No.	1	21	62
Study Skills	%ile	15	35	35
•	No.	1	23	65
Spelling	%ile	30	39	27
-	No.	1	23	64
Science	%ile	4	42	41
	No.	1	21	64
Social Studies	%ile	25	44	27
	No.	1	21	64

	Histori ITBS Percentile Ra CAT Percentile Rai		2-93 to 199			-
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97_	95-96	94-95	93-94	92-93
11	Total Reading	34	47	38		
11	Total Language Arts	29	36	NA		
11	Total Mathematics	34	43	29		



- 5 -

SAVE SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned						
Area	9th	10th	11th	<u>12th</u>		
Language Arts Mathematics Science Social Studies Others Total	.00 .00 .00 .00 .25 .25	.08 .04 .04 .04 .60	.17 .06 .04 .09 .86 1.21	.09 .00 .06 .07 .81 1.04		

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Continue to provide new opportunity to acquire specific prevocational skills	Successful
Continue to gain awareness of social issues in community	Successful
Continue implementing concept and processes of conflict resolution and mediation	Partially Successful
Reduce student drop-out rate due to academic/attendance problems	Successful

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

Grade/Area	Number Tested	% Achieved Less Than One Year's Growth	% Achieved One Yr.'s Growth	% Achieved More Than One Year's Growth	1996 Mean	1997 Mean
11 Reading	7	71.4	14.3	14.3	51	45
Lang. Arts	7	57.1	14.3	28.6	40	39
Math	7	57.1	14.3	28.6	47	45

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 192

STELLER SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

September 30, 1996 Membership	298	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	108%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education	25	Classroom Teachers	12.6
Gifted	1	Special Education Teachers	1.6
Bilingual	0	Nurses	.5
AVERAGE DAILY ATTEN AVERAGE DAILY MEMI		Media/Career Resource Assist	.5
Average Daily Attendance	297.5	Clerical Support Staff	1.0
Average Daily Membership	298.4	Custodians	2.0
Percentage of Daily Attendance	99.7%		
CLASSROOM GRO	UPS		
20 & below	21 to 30 31 +		
English			
Math			
Science			
Social Studies			

Budget *

1995-96 Expenditures \$1,200,356 1996-97 Budgeted Amount \$1,120,657 1997-98 Adopted Budget \$1,113,903 * Dollars budgeted or expended are general fund only.

Special Programs: Optional Program



STELLER SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Membership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility				
298	5	3	4	3	15	5.0%				

Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total			
233 78.2%	16 5.4%	20 6.7%	17 5.7%	12 4.0%	65 21.8%	298			

		Free/Reduced Pri	ce Lunch Information	
Year	School Level	Number in Attendance <u>Area</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *
1996-97	Secondary	298	1	0.3%
* Est	imate of children f	rom low income famili	es is based on Free/Reduce	ed Lunch Program.

Total Dropout Rates									
	American Natives	Asian/ Pacific Islanders	Black	Hispanics	Whites	Total			
Enrollment Final Dropouts Percent Dropouts	20 0 0.00%	17 0 0.00%	16 0 0.00%	13 1 7.69%	239 1 0.42%	305 2 0.66 %			

	Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total				
Number % in One Sch. % in Same Sch.	20 95.00% 70.00%	17 100.00% 64.71%	16 100.00% 68.75%	13 92.31% 76.92%	239 95.40% 71.55%	305 95.74% 71.15%				

STELLER SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			_	GRADE		
		7	8	9	10	11
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	87	88	80	84	85
No.	No.	47	41	47	44	33
Reading Comprehension	%ile	91	85	85	90	82
No.	No.	47	41	47	44	33
Total Reading	%ile	91	89	85	8 9	85
No.	No.	47	41	47	44	33
Language Mechanics	%ile	84	<i>7</i> 0	68	68	7 2
No.	No.	46	41	47	44	33
Language Expression	%ile	83	<i>7</i> 7	<i>7</i> 5	83	68
No.	No.	47	41	47	43	33
Total Language	%ile	85	74	73	79	71
No.	No.	46	41	47	43	33
Math Computation	%ile	81	64	<i>7</i> 5	70	7 2
No.	No.	48	41	51	43	31
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	86	90	88	84	84
No.	No.	48	41	51	43	31
Total Mathematics	%ile	84	80	82	78	81
No.	No.	48	41	51	43	31
Total Battery	%ile	90	86	83	85	82
No.	No.	45	41	47	42	31
Study Skills	%ile	82	73	76	<i>7</i> 5	77
No.	No.	48	41	51	43	31
Spelling	%ile	<i>7</i> 0	63	68	67	69
No.	No.	47	41	47	44	33
Science	%ile	88	89	87	83	84
No.	No.	48	41	51	43	31
Social Studies	%ile	86	<i>7</i> 8	84	81	86
No.	No.	48	41	50	43	31

	Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97							
<u>Grade</u>	Area	96-97	95-96	94-95	93-94	_92-93_		
8	Total Reading	89	91	79	83	85		
8	Total Language Arts	74	82	76	76	77		
8	Total Mathematics	80	82	79	73	79		
11	Total Reading	85	84	79	84	81		
11	Total Language Arts	71	77	NA	NA	NA		
11	Total Mathematics	81	76	73	79	90		



STELLER SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

	SAT/ACT									
<u>Test</u>	Students/Subject Number Graduates	$\frac{96-97}{43}$	95-96 37	94-95 48	93-94 32	<u>92-93</u> 29				
SAT	Percent Tested Verbal Math	74.4% 616 572	83.8% 605 538	75.0% 569 582	84.4% 547 566	62.1% 537 569				
ACT	Percent Tested English Math	-	-	-	-	-				

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned									
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	11th	<u>12th</u>					
Language Arts Mathematics Science Social Studies Others Total	.92 .98 1.18 .93 1.21 5.22	.86 .93 1.14 .91 1.33 5.17	1.02 .69 .92 .65 1.45 4.72	.86 .45 .64 1.10 .88 3.93					

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Enhance community contacts at Steller	Successful
Focus on better communication with parents	Successful
Integrate the new history curriculum	Successful
Stress interdisciplinary classes	Partially Successful



STELLER SECONDARY SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Continuing Student Progress Spring 1996-97 Expected Gain

			% Achieved	% Achieved	% Achieved		
		Number	Less Than One	One Yr.'s	More Than One	1996	1997
Gra	ade/Area	Tested	Year's Growth	Growth	Year's Growth	Mean	Mean
8	Reading	38	18.4	47.4	34.2	73	76
	Lang. Arts	38	42.1	28.9	28.9	68	65
	Math	38	28.9	52.6	18.4	70	70
9	Reading	31	29.0	54.8	16.1	<i>7</i> 8	76
	Lang. Arts	31	32.3	41.9	25.8	68	67
	Math	33	21.2	36.4	42.4	7 0	71
10	Reading	35	22.9	34.3	42.9	74	78
	Lang. Arts	34	14.7	52.9	32.4	67	68
	Math	34	47.1	26.5	26.5	70	65
11	Reading	29	37.9	34.5	27.6	<i>7</i> 6	74
	Lang. Arts	29	48.3	37.9	13.8	67	63*
	Math	27	22.2	59.3	18.5	<i>7</i> 0	71

^{* --} Indicates Significant Difference in Means at .05 Level



Table 193

POLARIS K-12 SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

[C			
September 30, 1996 Membership	494	STAFFING	FTE
Percent of Capacity	96%	Principals/ Asst. Principals	1.0
Special Education	36	Student Services Coordinator	.6
Gifted	10	Classroom Teachers	21.0
Bilingual	0	Special Education Teachers	1.3
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENI AVERAGE DAILY MEMBE		Music Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Attendance		Art Teachers	1.0
Elementary	255.9		2.0
Secondary	218.9	Phys. Ed. Teachers	1.0
Average Daily Membership Elementary	2265.7	Counselors	.4
Secondary	218.9	Nurses	.15
Percentage of Daily Attendance	06.204	Special Education Aides	.625
Elementary Secondary	96.3% 100.0%	Clerical Support Staff	2.0
CLASSROOM GROUI	PS	Custodians	2.5
21 to 30 3 English	5 1 +		
Math			
Science			
Social Studies			
	Bud	lget *	
1995-96 Expenditures		#1 828 220	
1996-97 Budgeted Amount		\$1,828,239 \$1,884,406	
1997-98 Adopted Budget		\$1,884,406 \$1,041,073	
* Dollars budgeted or expended are	general fund	\$1,941,073 only.	
Special Programs: Migrant Ed., O			
<u> </u>	110814		

449



POLARIS K-12 SCHOOL PROFILE SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	Student Population Mobility									
Mem	bership	New Enrollment	Withdrawals	Transfer Out	Transfer In	Total Mobility	Percent Mobility			
Elem.	266	0	10	14	31	55	20.7%			
Sec.	228	2	10	11	11	34	14.9%			

	Ethnicity ReportOctober 1996									
White	Black	American Native	Asian / Pac Islander	Hispanic	Total Minority	School Total				
Elem. 193 85.0%	15 6.6%	8 3.5%	3 1.3%	8 3.5%	34 15.0%	227				
Sec. 199 87.3%	8 3.5%	16 7.0%	.9%	3 1.3%	29 12.7%	228				

Free/Reduced Price Lunch Information									
<u>Year</u>	School Level	Number of Children <u>Enrolled</u>	Number of Children from Low Income Families *	Percent of Children from Low Income Families *					
1996-97	Elementary Secondary	266 228	9	3.4% .9%					

		Retention	Report			_	
Grade Repeated	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Number Not Promoted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Not Promoted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%



POLARIS K-12 SCHOOL PROFILE **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE**

Stability Rates of Students									
Data Type	American Native	Asian/ Pac. Islander	Black	Hispanic	White	Total			
Elementary									
Number	11	3	16	11	249	290			
% in One Sch.	72.73%	100.00%	100.00%	81.82%	85.14%	85.52%			
% in Same Sch.	45.45%	100.00%	75.00%	45.45%	67.87%	66.90%			
Secondary					07.07 70	00.70 /0			
Number	16	2	8	3	203	232			
% in One Sch.	100.00%	50.00%	62.50%	100.00%	90.15%	89.66%			
% in Same Sch.	56.25%	100.00%	25.00%	33.33%	71.43%	68.53%			

CAT Percentile Rank Scores and Number Tested

SUBTEST			GRADE		
		3	4	5	6
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	72	85	76	64
	No.	37	40	39	39
Reading Comprehension	%ile	80	82	74	74
	No.	37	40	39	39
Total Reading	%ile	79	85	77	71
	No.	37	40	39	39
Language Mechanics	%ile	46	63	78	73
	No.	. 37	40	39	39
Language Expression	%ile	68	79	82	82
	No.	37	40	39	39
Total Language	%ile	57	74	82	78
	No.	37	40	39	39
Math Computation	%ile	48	62	66	73
	No.	37	40	39	38
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	83	87	90
	No.	37	40	39	37
Total Mathematics	%i le	6 5	<i>7</i> 7	82	85
	No.	37	40	39	37
Total Battery	%ile	69	81	84	82
	No.	37	40	39	37
Word Analysis	%ile	45			٥,
	No.	36			
Study Skills	%ile		83	<i>7</i> 6	81
	No.		40	39	39
Spelling	%ile	43	60	69	62
	No.	37	40	39	39
Science	%ile	73	86	<i>7</i> 2	72
	No.	37	40	39	39
Social Studies	%ile	7 6	82	<i>7</i> 5	74
	No.	37	39	39	
	INO.	3/	<u></u>	39	39



448

POLARIS K-12 SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

SUBTEST				GRADE		
		7	8	9	10	11
Reading Vocabulary	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	72	76	71	61
•	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Reading Comprehension	%ile	83	<i>7</i> 4	81	76	<i>7</i> 1
-	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Total Reading	%ile	83	76	80	7 5	68
•	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Language Mechanics	%ile	<i>7</i> 3	68	66	67	44
	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Language Expression	%ile	65	67	<i>7</i> 5	73	47
5 6 1	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Total Language	%ile	70	68	71	7 1	44
	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Math Computation	%ile	<i>7</i> 1	64	69	63	52
•	No.	39	39	42	44	23
Math Concepts and Applications	%ile	<i>7</i> 7	82	82	76	<i>57</i>
• • •	No.	39	39	42	44	23
Total Mathematics	%ile	76	7 5	77	71	55
	No.	39	39	42	44	23
Total Battery	%ile	79	7 6	79	76	5 7
·	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Study Skills	%ile	<i>7</i> 3	64	<i>7</i> 5	65	48
·	No.	· 39	39	41	44	23
Spelling	%ile	59	51	64	60	59
	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Science	%ile	<i>7</i> 5	83	<i>7</i> 3	72	52
	No.	39	39	41	44	23
Social Studies	%ile	73	67	<i>7</i> 5	69	61
	No.	39	39	41	44	23



POLARIS K-12 SCHOOL PROFILE ACHIEVEMENT PROFILE

Historical Performances ITBS Percentile Rank Scores 1992-93 to 1994-95 CAT Percentile Rank Scores 1995-96 and 1996-97								
<u>Grade</u>	Area	_96-97	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93		
4	Total Reading	85	87	<i>7</i> 0	N/A	N/A		
4	Total Language Arts	74	80	76	N/A	N/A		
4	Total Mathematics	77	86	78	N/A	N/A		
6	Total Reading	<i>7</i> 1	67	73	N/A	N/A		
6	Total Language Arts	78	<i>7</i> 5	67	N/A	N/A		
6	Total Mathematics	85	72	70	N/A	N/A		
8	Total Reading	76						
8	Total Language Arts	68						
8	Total Mathematics	75						
11	Total Reading	68	77	<i>7</i> 1	N/A	N/A		
11	Total Language Arts	44	69	N/A	N/A	N/A		
11	Total Mathematics	55	66	59	· N/A	N/A		

			SAT / ACT			
Test	Students/Subject Number Graduates	<u>96-97</u>	<u>95-96</u>	94-95	93-94	92-93
SATPerce	ent Tested 10 Verbal Math	619 576		-	-	-
ACT	Percent Tested English Math	-	-	-	-	-

Progress Toward Graduation Average Credits Earned					
<u>Area</u>	9th	<u>10th</u>	<u>11th</u>	<u>12th</u>	
Language Arts Mathematics Science Social Studies Others Total	.82 .84 .97 1.30 1.64 5.57	.97 .73 .84 1.34 1.51 5.39	1.14 .58 .49 1.35 1.71 5.27	.90 .62 .25 .98 1.71 4.46	

GOAL	LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT
Demonstrate academic accountability, responsibility and leadership	Successful
Opportunity to learn in a variety of multi-age settings	Successful
Assure we are holding to the Mission Statement and improve progress and planning	Successful





U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



(over)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Blanket Document)

. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION (Class of Documents):
-----------------------------	----------------------

All Publications:			
Produ	iced by the Anchorage School	l District for public distri	Thomas a second
,	COOCE TEDOLES. DIOSINI DI	Valuations and decompasts	Dution including
Series (Identify Series)	: A11	***************************************	***************************************
Division/Department Pu	ublications (Specify):	including Curriculum, Asses	······································
Instructional supp	oort departments.	including Curriculum, Asses	ssment and
II. REPRODUCTIO	N RELEASE:		
paper copy, and electronic/	Optical media and sold through the EDIC D	materials of interest to the educational commination (RIE), are usually made available to use ocument Reproduction Service (EDRS) or ottanted, one of the following notices is affixed to	rs in microfiche, reproduced
If permission is grante the bottom of the page.	d to reproduce and disseminate the identified	d document, please CHECK ONE of the follow	wing two options and sign at
F720	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents	
Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.
	Level 1	Level 2	
"I hereby gra this docume. ERIC emplo reproduction Sign here please Organization/Addrési	nt to the Educational Resources Information C nt as indicated above. Reproduction from the yees and its system contractors requires period by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy.	ded reproduction quality permits. If permission and, documents will be processed at Level 1. Tenter (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media be mission from the copyright holder. Exception is sty information needs of educators in response Printed Name/Position/Title: Printed Name/Position/Title: Telephone: (907) 269-221/	duce and disseminate by persons other than is made for non-profit to discrete inquiries.*
Anchorage	School DisTuicT 196614 e, AK 99519	E-Mail Address: Dal	9/74/97